ROYAL GAZETTE EXTRAORDINARY. SAINT JOHN, TUESDAY, JUNE 30, 1812.

13 Just as our Paper was put to Press yesterday afternoon, we were handed, by a friend, the following Message of the President of the United States to Congress, on the 1st of June instant, as also the Declaration of WAR on the part of the United States of America, against Great-Britain and Ireland, and their dependencies, passed on the 18th instant, which we deem sufficient to lay before our readers in a Gazette Extra with all possible despatch.

BOSTON, JUNE 23.

WASHINGTON, JUNE 18, 4 o'clock, F. M. The injunction of secresy was about an hour ago removed from the following Meffage and A&. The Report or Manifello which preceded the act is too long for present publication.

MESSAGE. To the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States.

I communicate to Congress certain documents, being a continuation of those heretofore laid before them, on the subject of our affairs with Great-Britain.

prior blockades, unsupported by an adequate naval force actually applied and continued, were a bar to this plea; that executed edicts against millions of our property could not be retaliation on edicts confeffedly impoffible to be executed; that retaliation, to be juft, fhould fall on the party fetting the guilty example, not on an innocent party which was not even chargeable with an acquiefcence in it.

When deprived of this flimsy veil for a prohibition of our trade with her enemy, by the repeal of his prohibition of our trade with Great-Britain, her cabinet, inflead of a corresponding repeal or a practical discontinuance of its or- blockade to be comprehended in the orders in council the ders, formally avowed a determination to perfift in thein United States were compelled fo to regard it in their fubleagainst the United States, until the markets of her enemy quent proceedings. thould be laid open to British products; thus afferting an obligation on a neutral power to require one belligerent to encourage, by its internal regulations, the trade of another belligerent; contradicting her own practice towards all nations in peace as well as in wars; and betraying the infincerity of those professions which inculcated a belief that, having resorted to her orders with regret, the was anxious to find an occasion for putting an end to them. Abandoning fill more all respect for the neutral rights | cere and lafting reconciliation. The prospect, however, of the United States, and for its own confillency, the Britich government now demands as prerequilities to a repeal of its orders, as they relate to the United States, that a formality should be observed in the repeal of the French decrees nowise necessary to their termination not exemplified by British usage; and that the French repeal belides including that portion of the decrees which operates within a territorial jurisdiction as well as that which operates on the high seas against the commerce of the United States, should not be a fingle special repeal in relation to the United States, but should be extended to whatever other neutral nations unconnected with them may be affected by those decrees. And as an additional insult, they are called on for a formal disavowal of conditions and pretentions advanced by the French government, for which the United States are so far from having been themselves responsible, that in official explanations, which have been published to the world, and in a correspondence of the American Miniller at London with the Britich Minister for Foreign Affairs, such a responsibility was explicit and emphatically disclaimed. It has become indeed sufficiently certain that the commerce of the United States is to be sacrificed, not as interfeting with the belligerent rights of Great-Britain, not as supplying the wants of her enemies, which she herself supplies ; vernment, but as interfering with the monopoly which she covets for her own commerce and navigation. She carries on a war against the lawful commerce of a friend, that the may the better carty on a commerce with an enemy, a commerce polluted by the forgeries and perjuries which are for the most part the only pallports by which it can succeed. Anxious to make every experiment, fhort of the laft refort of injured nations, the United States have withheld from Great-Britain, under successive modifications, the benefits of a free intercourse with their market, the loss of which could not but outweigh the profits accruing from her in which the British nation is at all times interested, and refiriction of our commerce with other nations. And to entitle these experiments to the more favorable confideration, they were to framed as to enable her to place her advertaty under the exclusive operation of them. To these appeals a precatious and surreptitious intercourse with hostile her government has been equally inflexible, as if willing to markets, have persevered in a course of measures which make facrifices of every fort, rather than yield to the claims neceffarily put at hazard the invaluable market of a great of juffice or renounce the errors of a falle pride. Nay, fo far were the attempts carried to overcome the attachment of vantages of an active commerce. the British Cabinet, to its unjust edicis, that it received every encouragement, within the competency of the Executive branch of our government, to expect that a repeal of them would be followed by a war between the U. States and France, unless the French edicts should also be repealed. Even this communication, although filencing for ever the plea of a difposition in the United States to acquiesce in those edicts, originally the fole plea for them, received no attention. If no other proof existed of a predetermination of the British government against a repeal of its orders, it might be found in the correspondence of the Minister Plenipotentiary of the United States at London, and the British Secretary for Foreign Affairs in 1810, on the queflion whether the blockade of May 1806 was confidered as in force or as not in force. It had been afcertained that the French government, which urged this blockade as the ground of its decree, was willing, in the event of its remo- of war against the United States; and on the fide of the val, to repeal that decree ; which being followed by alternate repeals of the other offenfive edicts, might abolifh the whole fystem on both fides. This inviting opportunity these progressive usurpations, and these accumulating wrongs; for accomplishing an object to important to the U. States or, opposing force to force in defence of their natural rights, and profeffed to often to be the defire of both the bellige- shall commit a juff caufe into the hands of the Almighty Difrents, was made known to the British government. As poler of events; avoiding all connections which might enthat government admits that an actual application of an tangle it in the contells of views of other powers and preadequate force is neceffary to the exifience of a legal ferving a conflant readinels to concur in an honorable reblockade, and it was notorious, that if fuch a force had eflablishment of peace and friendship, is a folemn queffion, ever been applied, its long discontinuance had annulled the which the conflication wilely confiders to the Legislative blockade in quellion, there could be no fufficient objection on the part of Great-Britain to a formal revocation of it; their early deliberations, I am happy in the affittance that and no imaginable objection to a declaration of the fact that the blockade did not exift. The declaration would have

would have enabled the United States to demand from France the pledged repeal of her decrees; either with fuccels, in which cale the way would have been opened for a general repeal of the belligerent edicts ; or without fuccefs, in which cafe the United States would have been juffified in turning their measures exclusively against France. The British government would, however, neither refcind the blockade nor declare its non-exilience not permit its nonexistence to be inferred and affirmed by the American Plentpotentiary .- On the contrary by representing the

Without going back beyond the renewal in 1803 of the war in which Great-Britain is engaged, and omitting unrepaired wrongs of inferior magnitude, the conduct of her government presents a series of acts hollile to the United States as an independent and neutral nation.

British cruisers have been in the continued practice of violating the American flag on the great highway of nations, and of seizing and carrying off persons sailing under it; not in the exercise of a belligerent right, founded on the law of nations against an enemy, but of a municipal prerogative over British subjects. British jurisdiction is thus extended to neutral veffels in a fituation where no laws can operate but the law of nations and the laws of the country to which the veffels belong; and a self redress is affumed, which if British subjects were wrongfully detained and alone concerned, is that subflitution of force for a resort to the responsible sovereign, which falls within the definition of war. Could the seizure of British subjects, in such cases, be regarded as within the exercise of a belligerent right, the acknowledged laws of war, which forbid an article of captured property to be adjudged, without a regular investigation before a competent tribunal, would imperioully demand the faireft trial, where the sacred rights of persons were at iffue. In place of such a trial, these rights are subjected to the will of every petty commander.

The practice, hence, is so far from affecting British subjects alone, that under the pretext of searching for these, thousands of American citizens, under the safeguard of public law, and of their national flag, have been torn from their country and from every thing dear to them ; have been dragged on board thips of war of a foreign nation, and exposed, under the severities of their discipline, to be exiled to the most diffant and deadly climes, to rifk their lives in the battles of their oppreffors, and to be the melancholy inffruments of taking away those of their own brethren.

Againfl this crying enormity, which Great-Britain would be fo prompt to avenge if committed against herself, the United States have in vain exhausted remonstrances and expollulations. And that no proof might be wanting of their conciliatory difpolitions, and no pretext left for a continuance of the practice, the British government was formally affured of the readiness of the U.S. to enter into arrangements, fuch as could not be rejected, if the recovery of Britifh fubjects were the real and the fole object. The communication passed without effect. British cruifers have been in the practice also of violating the rights and the peace of our coafls. They hover over and harafs our entering and departing commerce. To the most infulting pretentions they have added the most lawles proceedings in our very harbours; and have wantonly fpilt American blood within the fanctuary of our territorial jurifdiction .- The principles and rules enforced by that nation, when a neutral nation, against armed vessels of belligerents hovering near her coalls, and diffurbing her commerce, are well known. When called on, neverthelefs, by the United States to punish the greater offences committed by her own veffels, her government has bellowed on their commanders additional marks of honor and confidence. Under pretended blockades, without the prefence of an adequate force, and fometimes without the practicability of applying one, our commerce has been plundered in every lea ; the great flaples of our country have been cut off from their legitimate markets; and a defiructive blow aimed at our agricultural and maritime interefts. In aggravation of these predatory measures, they have been confidered as in force from the dates of their notification ; a retrospective effect being thus added, as has been done in other important cales, to the unlawfulness of the course pursued. And to render the outrage the more fignal, these mock blockades have been reiterated and enforced in the face of official communications from the British government, declaring, as the definition of a legal blockade, " that particular ports must be actually blockaded, and previous warning given to veffels bound to them, not to enter." Not content with these expressions and expedients for laying walle our neutral trade, the Cabinet of Great-Britain reforted, at length, to the fweeping fyflem of blockades, under the name of Orders in Council, which has been moulded and managed, as might best fuit its political views, its commercial jeafousies, or the avidity of British cruifers. To our remonfirances against the complicated and transcendant injustice of this innovation, the first reply was that the orders were reluctantly adopted by Great-Britain as a neceffary retaliation on decrees of her enemy proclaiming a general blockade of the British Isles, at a time when the naval force of that enemy dared not to iffue from his own

A PARTICIPAL PROPERTY AND INCOMENTAL PROPERTY AND INTENTIAL PROPERTY AND INTENTY AND INTENTY AND INTENTIAL PROPERTY A

There was a period when a favorable change in the policy of the British cabinet was justly confidered as effablished. The Minister Plenipotentiary of His Britannic Majesty here proposed an adjustment of the differences more immediately, endangering the harmony of the two countries. The proposition was accepted with a promptitude and cordiality corresponding with the invariable professions of this government. A foundation appeared to be laid for a finquickly vanished. The whole proceeding was difavowed by the British government without any explanation which could at that time reprefs the belief, that the difavowal proceeded from a spirit of hossility to the commercial rights and prosperity of the United States. And it has fince come into proof, that at the very moment when the public Minister was holding the language of friendship, and inspired confidence in the fincerity of the negotiation with which he was charged, a fecret agent of his government was employed in intrigues, having for their object a fubversion of our government and a dismemberment of our happy Union.

In reviewing the conduct of Great=Britain towards the United States, our attention is neceffarily drawn to the warfare just renewed by the savages on one of our extensive frontiers; a warfare which is known to spate heither age nor sex, and to be diffinguished by features peculiarly thocking to humanity. It is difficult to account for the activity and combinations which have for some time been developing themselves among the tribes in conflant intercourse with British traders and garrisons without connetting their hollility with that influence; and without recollecting the authenticated examples of such interpolitions beretofore furnished by the officers and agents of that go-

Such is the spectacle of injuries and indignities which have been heaped on our country ; and such the crisis which. its unexampled forbearance and concillatory efforts have not been able to avert. It might at least have been expetted, that an enlightened nation, if less urged by moral obligations, or invited by friendly dispositions on the part of the United States, would have found in its true interests alone a sufficient motive to respect their rights and their tranquillity on the high seas; that an enlarged policy would have favored that free and general circulation of commerce, which in times of war is the best alleviation of its calamities to herself, as well as to other belligerents, and more especially that the British Cabinet would not for the sake of and growing country, disposed to cultivate the mutual ad-Other councils have prevailed. Our moderation and conciliation have had no other effect than to encourage perfeverance, and to enlarge pretensions. We behold our fea-faring citizens fill the daily victims of lawlefs violence " committed on the great common and highway of nations, even within fight of the country which owes them protecti-We behold our veffels, freighted with the products of on. our foil and industry or returning with the honest proceeds of them, wrefled from their lawful deflinations, confilcated by prize courts no longer the organs of public law, but the inflruments of arbitrary edicts; and their unfortunate crews dispersed and loft, or forced or inveigled in British ports into British fleets : whilst arguments are employed, in fupport of these aggreffions, which have no foundation but in a principle equally supporting a claim to regulate our external commerce in all cafes whatfoever. We behold, in fine, on the fide of Great-Britain, a state United States a flate of peace towards Great-Britain.

Whether the United States Ihall continue pallive under

Department of the government. In recommending it to the decifion will be worthy the enlightened and patriotic councils of a virtuous, a free, and a powerful nation.