Micssinger. Uhristian

A REPOSITORY OF RELIGIOUS, POLITICAL, AND GENERAL INTE

"NOT SLOTHFUL IN BUSINESS : FERVENT IN

NEW SERIES. Vol. 1. No. 35,

HALIFAX, NOVA SCOTIA, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 27, 1856.

Poetry.

Speak not harshly.

Speak not harshly-much of care Every human heart must bear; Enough of shadows sadly play Around the very sunniest way-Enough of sorrows d rkly lie Veiled within the merriest eye. By thy childhood's gushing tears-By the grief of after years,-By the anguish thou dost know,-Add not to another's woe

Speak not harshly-mnch of sin Dwelleth every heart within; In its closely-covered cells Many a wayward passion dwells. By the many hours misspent-By the thoughts to folly lent-By the wrong thou didst not shun-By the good thou hast not done-With a lenient spirit span The weakness of thy brother man.

Bible Rebision.

For the Christian Messenger.

Dr. Maclay and the Bible Union.

DEAR BRETHREN, lay has adopted another course. A special subjected to such treatment? Committee having been appointed, consistto the Union," at its annual meeting in Oc- lished by Bagster and Sons, in 1851. tober. Dr. Maclay might have waited for judgment on ex parte evidence, and to hazard the breaking up of the Union, in order that supposed to have prompted him to take this step may be gratified. Dr. Maclay's numerous friends cannot but be grieved at the position in which this act has placed the man whom they so highly esteem and

Dr. Maclay's charges against the Union have been met by the Officers. They have jublished "a defence of the Bible Union." As the two documents are far too lengthy for insertion in your columns, I have prepared an abstract of the more important portions, both of the attack and the defence, which I

1. Dr. Maclay affirms that he had "understood from the Secretary" that there had been "about forty individuals actively engaged in translating the New Testament," been more than twenty-three or twenty- Union. lour.

slatement is given in these words ;- "Writlen contracts have been made with more than twenty scholars, and many of these, made engagements with others to work with them, so that the number of scholars actu- work is pronounced complete. ally engaged in the service of the Union

some of them "unquestionably lacked the yet only the Book of Job is published. essential qualifications of a translator."

unsustained by proof. No impartial person for it. While the Book of Job only is at ers, amounts to "between \$4,000 and will think it right and fair to allow Dr. Mac- present in course of publication, Dr. Conant \$5,000 per annum." lay's unsupported affirmation to outweigh is at the same time engaged in revising his the judgment of the whole Board, by whom translation of the whole of the Old Testathe appointment of translators has been ment, to be hereafter published. The Union made. If Dr. Maclay is confessedly the is in fact procuring the results of Dr. Cona translator," his statement may be admit- last forty years at a very reasonable rate. ted. All depends on that. But is that con-

3. Dr. Maclay gives a list of passages which he thinks are very incorrectly translated; and he goes so far as to say of these "shocking" and "unwarrantable translations," that " if published they must bring into discredit the most precious doctrines of his faith, sap the fundamental truths of Christianity, as indubitably revealed in the Holy Scriptures, and snake the confidence of the people in the canon of the sacred writings."

Reply. The translations referred to have never been published, and therefore the Union is not responsible for them. They are taken from the unfinished work of revisers, being copied from proof sheets which are The controversy between Dr. Maclay and undergoing constant re-examination, and the Bible Union is greatly to be deplored. many of which have been since materially fear that our venerable friend has been ill altered. Is it doing justice to a reviser, or advised. It would have been wiser and to the Society which employs him to publish more christian-like to have presented a state- such private papers? Would it be dealing ment of the alleged evils to the Board of the fairly with a mechanic or an artist to exhibit Union, and to have refrained from publica- their rough draughts, and then call upon tion till the Board refused to explain, or the public to denounce their unskilfulness offered such unsatisfactory explanations that | Would Dr. Maclay or any other literary man the exposure became necessary. Dr. Mac- be willing that his manuscripts should be

4. Dr. Maclay states that in some instaning of persons not members of the Board, ces the received Greek text has been dewhose duty it will be, as the Resolution parted from by revisers, contrary to the states, "to examine into the state and con- rule laid down by the Union, restricting redition of our affairs, and to report thereon visers to an edition of the Greek text pub-

Reply. It is admitted that a reviser is of the Report of that Committee. He has not opinion that in some cases other editions chosen to do so, but has preferred to call for give the Greek text in a more correct form, and that he has translated accordingly. But these translations, of his, from the text so the angry feelings of the individual who is altered, have not been approved in any way by the Union, nor published as specimens of revisioned Institutes the to metting

5. Dr. Maclay charges the board with incurring great unnecessary expense in printing Dr. Conant's translation of Job in six different forms.

I do not see any reference to this in the judged it expedient to issue the Book of Job in various forms. The result will show sale be remunerative it will be an advantageous speculation. We must wait for the ed. now send you, for the information of your opinion of the special Committee. The measure has been approved by the Committee of Inquiry.

6. Dr. Maclay asserts that Dr. Conant's translation of Job has been printed without subjecting it to the examination of other

This also is not adverted to in the " De-Reply. Dr. Maelay's memory fails him. fence." But it appears that it is not reissued a Circular, in which the Secretary's "contrary to the rules of the Union;" from whole work. which I infer that there was a special contract with Dr. Conant, to print his transla- his associate, nor the Committee on versions, tion at once, while that, as well as all do more than recommend persons as suitable fact, it was an unprofitable contract. in compliance with the stipulations, have other translations issued by the Union, will members of the "Final College of Re-

ally engaged in the service of the Union does not vary far from forty." Surely this is very explicit.

7. Dr. Maclay complains of the expense does not vary far from forty." Surely this is very explicit.

7. Dr. Maclay dwells at length on the alleged evils to Mr. Wyckoff. He predicts ruin as the natural result of the with so little result. He receives \$2,000 that therewas "no adequate authorization measures introduced by Mr. Wyckoff. Ac-

the revisers being "competent scholars," assistance rendered by Mrs. Conant), and as

Reply. Literary labour like that perform-

8. Dr. Maclay asserts that the original plan of the Union, with reference to re- the publication of a letter from Dr. Conant. visions, has been departed from, the Committee in versions having assumed and exercised the power of deciding whether a revision should be printed and circulated among scholars, or not, whereas it was the standing rule that the work of every reviser should be subjected to the examination of Dr. Maclay's "feeling the weight of years." every other reviser. A haptro'l in somew

of proceeding has been adopted by the ter, containing mis-statements, misrepre-Board, quite compatible however, with the sentations, and literary blunders, which standing rule of the Union, refered to above. Dr. Maclay was present when the present plan was adopted, and approved of it.

9. Dr. Maclay states that he found in some revisions various notes " of a doctrinal and practical nature," which he regards as "foreign to the work of translation, and inconsistent with the unsectarian character of the Union," besides being "attended with enormous expense."

Reply. The Board are of the same opinion, and had already taken measures to remove the evil complained of, before Dr. Maclay's letter appeared. Had he regularly attended the meetings of the Board while would not have been written.

10. Dr. Maclay thinks that unnecessary expense has been incurred by "employing tileseney, and numbers, is quite in t book."

as one of its Agents, he ought to have tho- questioned qualifications as a scholar." roughly understood?

ant, at \$ 1400 a year.

been given to the Committee on versions, and that by that Committee the whole mat-

Reply. Neither can the Secretary and the Board.

2. Dr. Maclay says, that instead of all per annum (including \$500 for valuable of the Board" for issuing the Quarterly and the MonthlyReporter : that great and " unnecessary expense" has been incurred thereby; and that the salaries of persons Reply. This is a heavy charge, totally ed by Dr. Conant is worth all that is paid employed by the Union, exclusive of revis-

Reply. The "authorization of the Board" was duly given, and the operations of the publication department have been sanctioned by the Committee of Inquiry. The best judge of "the essential qualifications of ant's investigations and studies during the statement about salaries is altogether incor-

> 14. Dr. Macley specially complains of Reply. The publication was called for, in consequence of the "great injury which the Union was sustaining from the unpar-

> alleled course of Dr. Maclay.' I will add, however, that it would have been better if Dr. Conant had not alluded to

15. Dr. Maclay says that " some articles, Reply. Some modification of the course have been published in the Monthly Reporwould disgrace the meanest scholarship of the world," and he is " credibly informed that in the Hebrew of the April number there are upwards of one hundred and forty typographical errors." monw to since thew

Reply. These are gross exaggerations. Dr. Judd made the same allegations in the Committee of Inquiry, and they were "fully met and disposed of."

16. Dr. Maclay finds great fault with the manner in which the financial department is managed, and specifies sundry apparent irregularities.

Reply. His assertions are not borne out by facts. The established rules for the manhe was president, that portion of his letter agement of the finances are regularly and faithfully observed. 161 in many want hand

17. Dr. Maclay is particularly aggrieved by the conduct of the Committee on versions several revisers to translate the same towards Dr. Judd. 'He says that Dr. Judd was employed as a reviser at a salary of Reply. This has been going on for four \$ 1500 per annum; that the Gospel of Matyears past, as appears by the Reports of thew was assigned him; and that on the the Union. If Dr. Maclay knew it, why 30th of May last he was suddenly dismissed did he not complain before? If he did not by the Committee on versions, without conknow it, was he not culpably negligent of sulting the Board, whereby the revision his duty, in not informing himself of the of Matthew was arrested, and the Union plans and proceedings of the Union, which, deprived of the services of a man of "un-

Reply. Dr. Judd undertook to revise the 11. Dr. Maclay says that in one case Gospel of Matthew, for one thousand dolwhere a reviser was employed at \$ 1200 a lars. This was in December, 1852. He year, another was engaged to be his assist- received \$ 350 on account, on his own recommendation. Mr. -- was employed Reply. Not quite so. The latter had to aid him in the revision of Matthew he "Defence of the Bible Union." The Board finished the work assigned him. The for- laboured seven months, and received \$ 583 mer, who had not finished, requested that 33. On the 24th of May, 1854, the Comthe latter might be allowed to help him, so mittee on versions engaged Dr. Judd "in how far it was a wise measure, should the that his work might be ready in time for the the service of the Bible Union" as a reviser Final Committee. The request was grant- for one year, from the 1st of June, at a and single at ovin er hand visioning salary of \$ 1500. He continued in the ser-12. Dr. Maclay states the appointment vice of the Union two years, when he was of the "Final College of Revisers" has dismissed by the same Committee which had engaged him. The reasons assigned were that he had not given his time to the ter has been delegated to the Secretary, with Union, according to the contract-that he one other member. This arrangement is and his assistant had received \$ 3933 33, whereas it now appears that there have not revisers, contrary to the rules of the considered by Dr. Maclay as extremely in- but that the Board had received from him judicious, inasmuch as the choice of the the first three chapters of Matthew revised, "Final College of Revisers" is thus practi- and nothing more—that the assistant had cally left with one man, whose "personal completed fourteen chapters of the same Two years ago, when in Great Britain, he garded by the Committee of Inquiry as predilection and prejudice" may mar the Gospel which Dr. Judd refused to give up, and that he also refused to furnish any information respecting the time when the work would be probably completed. In

18. Dr. Maclay labours throughout his lethave to undergo a final revision before the visers." The appointment will be made by ter to produce an impression unfavourable tothe Secretary, Mr. Wyckoff. He traces 13. Dr. Maclay dwells at length on the almost all the alleged evils to Mr. Wyckoff.

TUR ER neral ageo E. Mortez . Halifaz,

ne worse. AS. d mild O PILES. s them as four times the cure of

tures sold; or form for rilla Pills is truly

ed.
sed for the
rise from at la or king s flamed eyes, pains in the na, diarrhes when caused persons of e, fever an

receive the