## Christian Messenger.

A REPOSITORY OF RELIGIOUS, POLITICAL, AND GENERAL INTELLIGENCE.

"NOT SLOTHFUL IN BUSINESS : FERVENT IN SPIRIT."

NEW SERIES. Vol. 1. No. 29.

TO A

re mouth.
id of pim.

of biles.

st canker

ill humor

ng of the

orrupt and

the worst

the most

re the salt

cases of

irst bottle.

ove quan-

of this in

it in every

o sure will

t but that

for itself.

ears to me

, in some

ever been nd, that it

n rise and

ate that in

and bottles

ve been in nothing in it. There

tly for hu-

been found

which was

ed by a few

ffectual in

it few who

them aged

ses of the

Fever and

e, and par-e discovery

le spoonful

spoonful-ful. As no

tions, take

STATE of

t the Drug , 15 & 17. whom all

table Drug-

British Pro-

ents; John

EWOLF, &

Hos. Dur.

N. London-

e province. Imherst.

oro',

Contract for

Mails from

at Eastern

ttlements of

(St. Mary's) h way, are S addressed

per annum

the Mails

hours from to time be

the rate o

ggon or on

either side

and faithful

the proper

ourpose, and eneral Post

and Upper NDAY, the vice to com-

P. M. G.

day.

known. ne best you HALIFAX, NOVA SCOTIA, WEDNESDAY, JULY 16, 1856.

WHOLE SERIES

## Poetry.

For the Christian Messenger.

Lines written by Mary, Queen of Scots O Domine Deus, speravi in Te! O care mi Jesu, nune libera me,

In dura catena; in misera pæna, Desidero Te. Languendo, gemendo, et genuflectendo, Adoro, imploro, ut liberes me.

TRANSLATION.

O Lord my God, I hope in thee Salvation to obtain; Dear Jesus! now deliver me, In misery's iron chain.

Kneeling, fainting, yet adoring, Bordering on the grave, i worship thee, imploring Thy powerful aid to save.

S. SHEPHERD.

## Prayer.

How sweet it is to pray, When God has deigned to hear, Co seek him every day, For he is always near. Thou dost command Most Holy Lord To ever pray And search thy word.

Sweet to look up to him, Who died our souls to save, Who burst the bars of death And triumphed o'er the grave. Whose blood was shed On Calvary The atonement made Our souls to free.

Weymouth, May, 1856.

## Correspondence.

For the Christian Messenger.

Reply to the Rev. Wm. Wilson's Letter. MESSES. EDITORS.

paper of May the 14th, is characterized by of his lectures on Christian baptism deliver- justly entitled to that appellation. ed at Lawrencetown and elsewhere. As I Messenger "with personalities and carica- celebrated character he has introduced. tures," I will inform you and your readers

W. feels much sympathy. religious bodies" owe to each other. His Mr. W. gives expression to the idea they abhor in popery, namely, "We are

ler,' that he gloried in it, for he found it in us it is an "ostentatious parade" ! ! from him. This is true; yet the attack was defend themselves. most certainly made. Mr. W. charges me with caricature in the statements I have THE article signed "A Hearer" in your given as having been made in his lectures;

controvert them.

My article is said to be "in true Sam Slick do not hesitate to acknowledge myself the style." Mr. W. lectures us on "the respect writer, I doubt not you will grant space for | which Christian Ministers owe each other;" But lest you should bring upon yourselves | lectures on baptism and also mine, ought to

from what sources I obtained the statements in the article of "A Hearer" "are so incorreported as having been made in his lectures. rect that he cannot think at present of re-In company with a brother minister I plying to any of its details." This is a very ister cannot teach Wesleyanism in a Wesheard the Rev. Gentleman's course of three convenient way of trying to get rid of them. leyan Chapel without the impertinent inter- giving when this "protestant minister" lectures on baptism, at Lawrencetown: I But I do not deem it very honourable to ference of Baptist preachers. Might not conferred on me the title of "Pope," I am had the advantage of hearing them repeated deny statements publicly made. I am a Baptist minister complain that when on not much alarmed by any additional honours with variations at Pine Grove; I heard also amazed that he should do so. If, as he a baptismal occasion, he preached on bap- he may bestow; and if it affords him any another lecture on the same subject in Gran- alleges, my statements are incorrect, so tism "to his own people, and in our own satisfaction he is welcome to it. ville, and two others given as a rejoinder to much the better chance he has to expose place," though nothing that could be justly Mr. W. speaks of the non-essentials and myself. Of all these lectures copious notes their falsity. I challenge any person who esteemed offensive was said, and though adjuncts of our common Christianity. have been taken. Thus you perceive I have heard Mr. W's. lectures to contradict "ever there were at the time three Wesleyan min- do not like such phraseology, it savours too had a good opportunity of ascertaining what his own proper signature" any statements I isters residing in Bridgetown, yet a Wes- much of the pride of human wisdom. There was stated. If the sense of hearing there- have attributed to him, and at the same leyan minister travels about a hundred miles is no doctrine, no principle, precept or ordifore can be relied upon as a source of evi- time tell us what he did say. And I de- to preach on infant baptism and against nance comprised in Christianity by its Didence; if memory is of any value, and if mand of Mr. W. to point out the "incorrect what he calls the "ostentatious parade of vine Founder that can justly be looked upon there is any virtue in immediate transfer- statements" he says my article contains. Believer's immersion" and the Baptists? as non-essential. Though all are not equalence to paper of what is spoken, then your The Rev. lecturer says if I will publish his Has Mr. W. forgotten that Journey? ly important, yet the very least occupies and readers may rest satisfied that my representations as he delivered them, Mr. W. says that a few humble Wesley- important place—a place assigned it by intations of what Mr. W. did say are so far with any remarks I choose to make he will an members cannot express their opinion of finite Wisdom and Love. as they go correct; indeed I might add cor- reply and defend them." Let me tell Mr. their own minister in their own way and in rect beyond the power of successful con- W. that if HE will publish his lectures on their own periodical, but they must be held tradiction. If Mr. W's. lectures were not baptism, "as he delivered them," I will up to public ridicule by a person recognised Bridgetown, June 30th, 1856.

themselves caricatures I have given no cari- contribute towards meeting the expense, as a Protestant minister, and that a religious cature of them. The Christian Messenger and also promise him a contribution for the paper should bear the pasquinade with all has maintained a fair and honourable cha- same purpose from some of my friends, and its unblushing statements before the world, racter and has never been disgraced with then will deal with them as I think they sayours so much of popish priestly influence such "caricatures" as were contained in the deserve. But if he leaves out what "A that it is ill suited to protestant taste." communications of "X. X.," a writer who Hearer" has alleged as having been stated That a few humble Wesleyans at Lawrencea few years since figured in the Provincial in them, they will be incorrect—they will town should send forth to the public the Wesleyan, and with whom it is thought Mr. not be "as he delivered them." Mr. W. "unblushing statement" of exulting comsays if infant baptism and baptism by mendation of Mr. W's. lectures on baptism The Rev. gentleman gives as a reason for sprinkling agree not with the doctrine and "with all their unblushing statements," and his lectures—that he found "Baptist prin- precedent of the New Testament, they ought thus endorse them, is more than we thought ciples,' so called, exceedingly rampant' on to be given up." This is undoubtedly a any humble people would have ventured to the Bridgetown circuit. "Baptist princi- sound principle; it recognises the New do; but having done so, we know not why ples rampant !" This is a choice description Testament as the law-book of Christian exemption from criticism should be claimed indeed! If "Wesleyan principles" should ordinances; let him make infant baptism for their production. be spoken of in the same way, we might and baptism by sprinkling agree with the It is, says Mr. W., deeply to be regretted expect a lecture from Mr. W. on the "res- doctrine and precedent of the New Testa- that any body of Protestants should assume pect which Christian ministers and associated ment and Baptists will no more reject them. and try to maintain for themselves what

zeal, however, it seems could not endure to that all christian parents should feel it a the first church, &c." It is deeply to be see Baptist principles remain in the un- positive duty to dedicate their children to regretted that the Rev. gentleman is in the seemly and offensive state or position he God in early infancy in baptism. Now same category. What is he contending for? describes, so he undertakes a modern crusade positive duties require positive injunctions; Does he not "assume" that infant baptism against them. Lectures on baptism are will he show parents the positive injunction was the practice of the first church? Does given; and, according to his own account, for the baptism of infants in the New Testa- he not "try to maintain" it? with great success. The Societies to whom ment? The Rev. gentleman speaks of Mr. W. drags in the question of commuhe ministers are now free from Baptist prin- methodist converts being beguiled away nion and makes an outcry against the Bapciples; perhaps he may succeed in expelling by the ostentatious parade and scripturally tists for their adhesion to what is called some other good things from them also. groundless practice of what is called "Be- close communion. We have no objection Baptists have no objection to any Pedobap- lievers immersion." I call the attention to discuss this point with him in its proper tist minister preaching or lecturing on bap- of those who heard his lectures first and order, but as he and the writer both believe tism or any other subject as often as he last to this passage. They will remember baptism to be a pre-requisite to communion, pleases. If Mr. W. has a right to advance that in his first lectures he unequivocally it is plain that he also holds to a restricted his views and arguments on baptism, others declared that immersion is not christian communion; in fact the greater number of who deem his statements incorrect or his baptism; in his rejoinder to me he as those he baptizes are incapable of coming arguments unsound have an equal right to distinctly declared that it is christian bap- to the Lord's table, and if brought would tism; now he tells us that "believers im- be rejected.

the Bible?" But being perhaps carried "As no attack says Mr. W. was made upaway with oratorical logic, he does not on our Baptist friends it is difficult to underrecollect it now. He says it was distinctly stand what business they had to interfere." and repeatedly stated that no attack what- The Baptists were attacked, it is not there-

he heard Mr. W. say in the introduction to those who believe with them? to one of his lectures, viz:-" that the Then again the Baptists were represented

has provoked it. Mr. W. complains that a Wesleyan min- river" a "SPY?"

The Rev. lecturer need not be offended mersion" is an "ostentatious parade"! After all would Mr. W. sit down to the at having his favorite ordinance referred to Look at it. At first we are told immersion | Lord's table with those who have submitted by the title,-" Baby Sprinkling." Did he is absurd, that it is not christian baptism; to no baptism but that which he "contempnot tell us in one of his lectures that he then we are told it is christian baptism; at tuously" calls "the ostentatious parade of "wished people to call him a 'Baby Sprink- last the same man has the effrontery to tell Believer's immersion?" We do not believe him honest or consistent in his objection.

Mr. W. speaks of what he calls "impertinent inteference of Baptist preachers" as being "incongruous with that respect which Christian ministers owe to each other, &c." ever would be made upon those who differed fore difficult to understand why they should It is a pity he had not considered this before he gave his lectures. Then he would Mr. W. says "it savours much of po- have refrained from charging a respected pish priestly influence" "that in this land of and well-known Baptist minister of this Bibles a Weslyean minister cannot teach Province with denying the Priesthood of but if the term be admissible in connexion | the doctrines and usages of Wesleyanism | Christ. The charge was neither true nor the Rev. Wm. Wilson in the Provincial with the subject, his representations of the in a Wesleyan Chapel without the imperti- respectful. In Mr. W's. lectures the Bap-Wesleyan of June the 5th, as a caricature principles and arguments of the Baptists are nent interference of Baptist preachers." tists were said "to turn every mud-hole into This not very pertinent remark reminds a Jordan!" I will not ask is this true, me of what a brother minister assures me but is it respectful to Baptist ministers, or

a reply. Absence from home has prevented is this a specimen of the respect he means Baptists were like the Catholics, only they by Mr. W. as "singing doggered verses an earlier notice of his communication. to show? However, those who heard his did not persecute, or call other people here- going down the banks of Jordan!" I need tics." Mr. W. had no interference from not ask if this is true; but is it respectful a repetition of the charge insinuated by have no difficulty in deciding whose style Baptist preachers, but such as consists with to the Baptist ministry and their people? Mr. Wilson, of disgracing the Christian bears the closest resemblance to that of the fair and honourable discussion; and if this Is it "congruous with that respect which has been inconvenient to him, his intem- | Christian ministers owe each other" for this Mr. W. asserts that the statements made perate zeal against "Believers immersion" Rev. Brother to call "the Baptist divinc residing on the right bank of the Annapolis

But having survived the climax of name-

Yours respectfully, GEORGE ARMSTRONG.