almost ashamed to refer to it. It has been said that this matter could be settled by the three Financial Secrotaries in a week. How did it arise? As it regards Nova Scotia, it arose from the mistake of the merchants of Halifax forty years ago, in raising the price of the doubloon in connection with Province paper from £3 17s. 6d. to £4. In order to remedy the difficulty we have only to correct that error, and to enact that hereafter in the payment of all debts, Bank notes, and other obliga tions, the gold sovereign shall be reckoned at 24s. 4d., as it is in Canada and New Brunswick, or that 971 cents shall be equivalent to 100 cents of present debt in the gold value I have named, and then you will have a uniform currency in Canada, New Brunswick, and this Province.

Hon. Mr. McCully.—How will it affect Prince Edward Island and Newfoundland? Hon. Mr. Brown —I did not refer to these Colonies. I referred only to Canada, New Brunswick and Nova Scotia. After

all it is a very small matter.

Now as to the Inter-Colonial Railway. We have been told that by union we can obtain this railway on much better terms than were agreed to by the three Provinces, and that we will only have to pay one-tenth of its cost. The fallacy of that argument, as every Canadian well knows, is that the people of Nova Scotia, under any tariff, will contribute more to the general revenue than the people of Canada. The people of Nova Scotia, from the character of their pursuits in fishing, mining, ship building, &c., require to purchase a larger amount of dutiable articles, in proportion to their numbers, than the people of Canada. That is pretty well proved by the respective revenues of the Provinces.

I regard the opinions from the Home Government as having been elicited by communications from these colonies. The Canadian Government had good reasons of its own for pressing union upon the Colonial Secretary, though I do not mean to say that he previously considered it disadvantageous to these Colonies to be united. A change has been a pressing necessity with Canada for years, but there is no necessity for the proposed union in this colony.

Now as regards the question of public defence. I observe that the Canadian Ministry agreed with the British minister to expend a sum of one million of dollars for defence, if the British Government enabled them to raise the money by their guarantee. Now I ask the attention of the Solicitor General to this point. Suppose the people of Nova Scotia were asked to contribute towards defence, in proportion to that amount. According to the population of Nova Scotia, they might be asked to give one-tenth or one hundred thousand dollars, and they could afford to do it; but with respect to the necessity for it,—except at present, on account of the threatened Fenian attack,- I suppose that no one really believes that it is necessary for the defence of Nova Scotia, that we should do any thing more than qualify the Militia to act in case of emergency.

There is a disposition, just now, to lay great stress on the patriotism and loyalty of the people of this Province. It may be asked what is the ground of this patriotism and loyalty? Everybody feels attached to the country to which he belongs and, sometimes, there is an inclination to think more favorably of our institutions

than the facts will warrant.

The "Times" says that whenever the people of these North American Colonies wish to be relieved from Colonial dependence, the mother country will not object. It is well known that the time may come when it may suit the interests, both of Eng land and these Colonies, that the latter should be entirely self-dependent. The expense of defending these Colonies, in case of a quarrel with the United States, would, probably, be enormous, and England might be glad to be freed from the likelihood of incurring it. 1, for one, am willing that Nova Scotia should, either alone or jointly with the other colonies, relieve her from all anxiety and care that she does not choose cheerfully and willingly to continue.

In Europe there are small States whose rights are preserved by the agreement of surrounding nations. Even cities are so protected in Germany. But even if there were no such examples in Europe, we might have one in America. The class of people in America that has given birth to the filibustering race has very much changed. It was a party which grew up to protect and to extend the institution of slavery. That object and principle no longer exist. I therefore do not apprehend any danger of our coming into warlike contact with that

country in the present day.

gratulated the people of Nova Scotia on differ with him again on that point. Local their being allowed by the British Govern- interests will always lead to the imposition ment to send ambassadors abroad to ne- of duties on articles from another colony. gociate treaties of commerce. We do not Canadian statesmen in that direction.

has been said by the hon. member from will. How did he answer that? He says Colchester. When the Canadian ministers that the people have changed their minds. found themselves unable to carry out what He does not deny that he voted to impose they had proposed in perfect good faith, I this assessment on the people against their was astonished at the virulent manner in wishes, but he says that they have now alterwhich they were attacked by the press of ed their opinion about it May we not hope all parties both in Nova Scotia and New that the same change in public opinion, as Brunswick. Such conduct was totally in- has occurred on the question of assessment excusable. I am surprised to see the same for schools, will take place on this question, people who then got up charges against the and that public opinion will settle down Canadians now ready to fall into their arms. strongly in favor of union.

in which this matter has been brought up the difference of currency, I referred to the has been hawked about in the new papers bere for discussion in this House. The debate currencies of New Brunswick and Canada came upon me quite unexpectedly. Con- alone. These currencies have been fixed. sidering the way in which the Government | When the change was made 40 years ago dealt with the question last year, and that gold was the basis. In the United States there have been no material grounds for as well as these Provinces, they still, in

taken place.

ance than it has ever been represented. silver were disappearing, and it was thought When Responsible Government was intro- that specie could be retained in the counduced, in one aspect of it the change was try by raising the value of the doubloon scarcely perceptible, as it affected only half from £3 17s. 6d. to £4. It did not make a dozen officers, though it was a great one iota of change as to the power of rechange. The measure proposed is a very taining the specie. However, that was great change, and it is astonishing, con- the wisdom of the merchants of Halifax of sidering the manner in which the Quebec that day. Scheme has been lauded both by the Eng- The honorable member who has just sat lish press and the Government, that our down referred to the difficulty a person Government should consent to abandon would have in purchasing commodities in If these colonies could be united as closely as that wise and promising scheme!

ment to submit the new scheme to the ly the same quantity of imported or other

Government.

I cannot now believe that the people of all that he has said on this point. this country will permit this or any other measure to be passed without their consent; and, that if for no other reason than that so, as I think i ought to pass. such an attempt is made, they will band themselves together to present their remonstrances and petitions at the foot of the Imperial Government" after the words "arthe Throne.

As to the School Act which has been referred to, I think that if the hon. member from Colchester refers to the report of the Superintendent of Education, and the reports of the Inspectors, he will find that the opinions of the people have changed what would the decision be,-would it be on as regards assessment for the support of Confederation? Twenty or thirty years ex schools, and that a majority of them would perience have taught me what it would be. now support it. If it were not so, I would no considerable party would oppose the Government on that point now.

I solemnly believe that however numerof the scheme, and to correct the maladministration of Governor Gordon, and the course he has taken will lead to difficulties. Here we have not that difficulty, but the conduct of our people will depend on what is an adage that has passed current from time

resolution.

Hon. Mr. Dickey.—I would ask the hon. gentleman who has just sat down how it is that he so entirely misconceives this question. He says that the Financial Secretaries of the different Provinces could arrange the difference of currency between should be united. Britain has proved herself a the three Provinces in a week. I may say kind mother to us, and I do not think that we in the first place that they will not do it. And, why will they not do it? Because the local interests of their own Provinces are paramount with them. That is the fused to obey at first, afterwards repented and people when I know that so large a majority are simple reason, and you never can get a so- did what was right, and was commended. I put opposed to it. In deterence to them I feel conlation of the question until you make the it to the House if by the Quebec scheme the strained to vote for the amendment. interests of the whole homogeneous; and that you can only do by Union.

The honorable gentleman told us that this difference of currency was a very small matter. Now it is just this,—the British shilling is 1s. 3d. in one colony, 1s. 6d. in another, and 1s. 21d. in a third. You cannot travel 10 miles from Amherst westwardly, without being obliged to go to a money changer unless you are willing to loose on your money. The same thing occurs in going from New Brunswick to Canada, and yet we are told that this is no difficulty at all!

As to assessment for schools the honormember from Colchester that he had voted At the same time I concur in much that to impose that on the people against their

I am not quite satisfied yet as to the way Hon. Mr. Brown.—In what I said as to or's name used in this way. The Queen's name change since, except the change in their own reckoning the value of sterling exchange, party, I certainly did not expect what has refer to the old Halifax currency, In Nova ul a child as England has been a mother. I Scotia, as I have already said, the Province cannot imagine how persons can talk of the This question is of far greater import | notes became inconvenient, the gold and

the different Provinces. If he would take England and Scotland are united, union, in thet My hon friend from Colchester thought a gold coin and change it in any of them, case, might be strength, but it is impossible so that it would be right to ask the Govern- he would find that he would obtain precise- to unite countries so distant from one another as Legislature before it was finally passed. commodities for it in one Province as in If that were done in some form, it would the other; any difference would arise from be a favorable change in the policy of the other causes entering into price than the difference of currency. That is an answer to not protect us is well whether disunited or

> HON. MR CHIPMAN -1 rise to second the amendment, and have much pleasure in doing

> Hon. Sol General intimated that he de sired to insert in the resolution the words " with range a scheme of union." The tormer words having been accidentally omitted.

The resolution was then so modified.

HON MR. HOLMES.—A great many arguments have been adduced to prove that this question should be submitted to the people at he polls. I ask, it it were so submitted, on suffer in their hands. The majority in all large

silence gives consent. Those who spoke against | the Resolution only asked for time?

I am strongly impressed with the fact that the best minds in England, Scotland and these ous the majority may be by which this Provinces are in favor of this Union. I think none but those who are also pledged to it will resolution may pass, it will not have the my opinion ought, to a certain extent, to be guiddesired effect. The people in New Bruns- ed by that of these. Have the British Governwick are united to prevent the carrying out ment ever done anything to injure us? There are men in this country who would wish to see us annexed to the United States. I am not one of them, and I hope I will not be, as long as I retain common sense.

Who can deny that Union is strength? It is done subsequent to the passage of this immemorial. Where is the connection between the Provinces row? Where are the links that unite them! They are not to be found.

Taking all these circumstances into consideration, they induce me to come to the conclusion that union is a good thing. I believe that there is no gentleman here who will deny that it is to her will now. There are some persons who may be refractory now who may repent after a while, acting like the son who, although he redebt of Canada is apportioned according to her population, and that of Nova Scotia according to hers, is that not fair?

Hon. Mr. Brown.—That is not disputed. Hon. Mr. Holmes — It is disputed outside. Hon. Mr. Brown -I do not dispute it. It

has been arranged for. Hon. Mr. Holmes - It is time that we are well enough off as we are; but is there any prospect of our being allowed to remain in our present condition? Are we not exposed to a powerful neighbor holding the Monroe doctrine,-America for the Americans?

HON. MR. WHITMAN.—The hon. gentleman who spoke last, with several others, appears to be very much afraid of the people. Various Then as regards tariffs he tells us that excuses have been made why the wishes of the that all difficulty on that score can be people should not be expressed. One gentie-

The hon. member from Colchester con- satisfactorily arranged without union. I man compares it to a School Bill, which can be settled by the people, at all events, every four years. This is a question which is to be decided for ever. If this measure passes, the present Legislature will be the last one in Nova Scotia worthy of the name. The only means that the ask or require any aid from Canada or able member was told by the honorable British Constitution gives for the Government of the parent country is the well understood wishes of the people. If those wishes are not known, the Government appeal to the people. The people of Nova Scotia have expressed their wishes on this question by their votes as tar as they could get at their representatives, and by petitions.

> A great deal has been said about the Queen, in connection with this matter. With all her excellent qualities, the Queen has not really as much voice in public questions as an elector. The same may be said of her Representative here. In England the Queen's name is never hawked about in connection with any measure. It is almost a new thing even to hear a Governin relation to this question,—almost in a sacriligious style. We have been told that the Queen,—the Governor,—the General,—the Ad-

Nova Scotia has always been a dutiful child. She has never burned a Parliament House or been in open rebellion. She has been as faithvoice of the people not being a thing to be distened to. It is surely no new doctrine that it should be listened to on all great public questions.

No Nova Scotian underrates the value of Canada. I believe that Canadian statesmen have expended a great deal of money, but I think we are getting pretty well educated up to that business too, and, in time, may equal Canada in that respect. Canada is no poor country. It is on account of her greatness that I object o union with her, because I believe that she will swamp little Nova Scotia.

We have been told that Union is strength. hese Colonies, and with so sparse a population.

Union will not give us a single man more. We can make no detence ourselves against such a powerful neighbor as the United States, and we have no reason to believe that England will united. I have yet to learn that Britain wishes to force us into an alliance hat is hateful to our people. It is a very serious thing for this House to pass a hateful law in opposition to threefour his or more of the population of a country as intelligent, peaceful, and loyal as ours.

It has been said that all who oppose the resolution suppose that all the Canadians will be banded against us. Not exactly that, but all mankind are naturally selfish, and the interests of the Canadians being different from ours, they being wholly an agricultural people, and also having so much larger a representation than we have, I fear that our interests would bodies will always carry the day.

The speech of the hon, gentleman from Cumberland, (Hon. Mr. Dickey,) rather pleased me-We have been told that the ques ion should It was what might be called a non-committal be referred to the next General Assembly speech. He was something like I am in regard not consent to it. A series of accidental Now we must have either Confederation, or to Railway matters. He seemed disposed to Annexation to the United States. Now if Con- trust the Government, but had some little agement of the Government, have taught tederation is a good thing, the sooner we obtain doubts. The whole burden of his speech rested the people to see that the schools must be it the tetter, and in all that has been said in upon the action of New Brunswick. If the supported by assessment. I admit that it this debate agains it, I have not heard any one Sol General should be mistaken in what he said, was a difficult question, but I take it that say that it is a bad thing, and it is said that as to her action, the hon. gentlemen's speech, with all i's beauties, would be rather a dead letter.

I do not consider the resolution is any better than the Quebec scheme, as the Canadians are aiready thoroughly pledged to that scheme, and be sen as delegates from these Provinces.

We have heard parties opposed to this scheme charged with eating the bread of the British Government. I think a remark of the same kind would apply as well to the different Receiver Generals, Railway Commissioners and other members of this House. They are eating the people's bread whose rights are now being sacrificed, as much as the hon gentleman who has been referred to, ate the bread of the British Government.

The Sol General spoke of the immense importance of England. When she was a small country with only 350,000 people, she did not go to a toreign Government, and transfer her revenue to a country a thousand miles away. the desire of the British Government that we It was by extending her Colonial possessions, and keeping her revenue under her own control that she became great. In conclusion I may would show our wisdom by proving refractory say that if this union does not seriously check the prosperity of the Province I shall be mistaken. Hon. Mr. McHeffey.-I am not opposed to

> union, but I am opposed to pressing it upon the The question was then taken on the amend-

For the amendment — Hon. Messrs. McHeffey, Brown, Chipman, Whitman, and Tupper —5. Against it—Hon Receiver Gene al, Hon. Messrs. Ande son, McCully, Architald, Patter on, Pinco, Creighton, Homes, the Hon. So. General, Hon. Messrs. Keith, Cutler, Dickey, Hon. President-13.

ment, when there appeared:-

The amendment was therefore lest. The question was then taken on the resolution, when there appeared :-

For the motion-Hon Receiver General, Hon. Messrs Anderson, McCully. Archibald, l'atterson, Pineo, Creighton, Holmes, Hon. Sol. General, Hon. Messis. Keith, Cutler, Lickey, Hon President-13. Against it-Hon Messrs. McHeffey, Chipman. Brown, Whitman, Tupper-5.

The resolution was therefore carried, and the House then adjourned.