locked in the solitary cell, and desired to follow the warden, who went first, carrying a lamp in one hand and a key in the other. In the narrowest part of the passage, Mr. Pillsbury a small, light man, turned round and looked in the face of the stout criminal.

treated me as I deserve? I have done every thing I could to make you happy. I have trusted you, but you have never given me the least confidence in return, and have even planned to get me into difficulty. Is this kind? And yet I cannot bear to lock you ior me"-

The man burst into tears. "Sir," said he "I have been a very demon these seventeen years; but you treat me like a man."

The convict had free range of the prison as before; and from that bour he began to open led his whole term of imprisonment.

For the Christian Messenger.

The Day of Prayer.

Dear Brother,-

Thursday, Dec. 5. is the day recommended by the Convention to be set apart and observed by the churches as a day of prayer. It is to be hoped that the observance will be very general.

fold necessities, or to describe with minute detail our spiritual state and circumstances. All must admit that there never was a time in which the gracious interposition of God on our behalf was more earnestly to be desired. Calamitous indeed will be our condition if the Lord should "stand aiar off," and leave us, in judgement, to ourse ves.

Among the reasons for special prayer at this time, the scarcity of ministers stands

mentioned, and seriously consider their state— the decision of the twelve brethren composing and humble themselves before God, confess- the Council, by an editorial of his own, giving ing their sins—and ask for pardon, for grace, the case a very different complexion from that for wisdom, and especially for an abundant the facts would warrant, and circulating a reoutpouring of the Holy Spirit.

Yours truly, J. M. CRAMP. Acadia College, Nov. 21. 1867.

HALIFAX, NOVEMBER 27, 1867.

Rev. I. E. Bill and the Council's Decision.

Saint John, Nov. 20th 1867.

S. SELDEN, Esq.

Editor of the Christian Messenger. MY DEAR SIR.

The enclosed speaks for itself. I trust as matter of common justice that you will give it a place in the colums of your valuable paper. An

early insertion will much ob'ige. Yours truly, I. E. Bill.

TO THE BAPTISTS OF NOVA SCOTIA. grieved to see that between the Editors of our ill-temper." A pretty serious charge, if it two demominational organs, an unpleasant disputation has arisen regarding the decision of the late Ecclesiastical Council convened with the Granville street Baptist Church, Halifax. In several editorials addressed to you through the say more on this, than, that we were not believe that the Editor of the Christian Visitor were grieved to find our brother trifling with tion. Where then is his " basis of union "? done. Or else, let him, like an honest has ignored the decision of that Council. This a matter of such grave importance. What and what had the church in that document to man and a christian, acknowledge that he has charge has been based upon a supposed discrep ancy between the report of the Council in question as given by the Visitor, and the decision itself. Both have been placed in the columns of the Christian Messenger, and you must have therefore had the opportunity of judging for yourselves as to the correctness of the charge made against the Visitor, and I, as his editorial, has also changed his position their action." And "reconsider" it, they readers, we have now a similar request to one deeply concerned, was quite willing to abide your verdict. But in a recent editorial of the Messenger, notwithstanding my repeated explan ations showing the substantial harmony between the documents in question, as understood treatment of that document, and would create by me, I am again accused of ignoring the decision the impression that we have but little respect of the Council. Some of you will see in the. for it. It is really curious to observe how our torial, he says : Visitor how I meet this accusation; but as com- brother strives now to turn attention away paratively few of you see the Visitor, I feel that from his attempt at the outset to supersede it is a sacred duty which I owe to my own the Decision by his own version of it, and character and to you, my Christian brethren,

absolutely refused to do; but when invited to tency between our demand for the Decision serve on a Council in harmony with the action itself in preference to the Visitor's article, and of the Central Association, I consented. The our opinion respecting that decision.

This request was from the Rev. Dr. Pryor .- ED. last editorial in the Messenger goes to make evil word and work."

and the state of the above the state of the state of the

it would be subjected to the ordeal of a most searching criticism not only by our ministers and churches, but by a discerving public, and more than all, that the genuineness of its sentiment and spirit would be tested by that tribunal a Council we had no party ends to serve-no man's person in admiration-no popular prejudices for or against the parties concerned to consult; that as a Council assembled in the fear of God on a case of infinite moment, we had only one thing to do, and that one thing was to do right; and in so doing to " maintain up. If I had the least sign that you cared a conscience void of offence towards God and ing: man." The decision of that Council has been placed before you, and I wish you to know, my Christian brethren, that when I put my name to it I did so with the cordial approval of my heart and conscience; that from that solemn moment "Come, let us go back," said the warden. to the present hour I have not knowingly in thought, word, or deed, " ignored " its utter ances or trifled with its spirit or purpose. his heart to the warden, and cheerfully tulfil- any of you suppose that I have done so, it is because my language has been misinterpreted, or tortured, to convey sentiments which I do not cherish. So far from ignoring it, I adhere to it with unbending fidelity as a deliverance based upon truth, justice and charity—three elements that should always blend in just proportions when dealing with an accused party. cleave to it also as a decision which constituted a fair, honorable, Christian basis for the restoration of barmony between contending parties, and for the peace of the Baptist brotherhood in than words." The substitution of his version this Council has already delivered its decision,

This frank, unvarnished statement of facts, you will please receive as coming from a brother, There is no need to expatiate on our mani- who for long years was intimately associated with very many of you in the most sacred engagements of the Christian taith, and who though now absent in body is nevertheless present in spirit, and most earnestly prays that " The grace of the Lord Jesus Christ, the love of God, and the communion of the Holy Ghost may be with you all. Amen.

Respectfully and affectionately yours in the bonds of the Gospel, I. E. BILL. Saint John, Nov. 20, 1867.

prominent. The condition of some of our Rev. I. E. Bill, and to find our contemporchurches is truly distressing. Not only are any treating this subject in a little more bethey without pastors, but they know not coming spirit than he did in his last editorial. where to look for them. Candidates for the His defence of the Decision of the Council ministry are also few in number. Other is quite unnecessary, seeing that we have made denominations are suffering in the same way. no objections to it. Our objections have been, Let the churches meet on the day above- as our readers well know, to his superceding print thereof in Halifax and elsewhere.

> The above letter is sent to us in the form of a printed slip, and dated one day earlier than the last issue of the Visitor. It may therefore have been ; rinted for the same purpose as the discrepancy between his statement and his reprint of his first editorial on the subject, and may be already in the hands of some of "the Baptists of Nova Scotia." Our brother need not indulge his tears, that our brethren in Nova Scotia will not perceive the true merits of the case. We think them quive capable of forming a correct judgment on facts as well as on matters relating to church be asks. Will we take our stand on that decir-

addition, a long editorial article in the Visitor of last week, which is also in a somewhat different style from his previous one.

Instead of giving us a "lotty stand spiritual light," &c., he ventures to affirm. respecting ourselves, that, in reference to what we last wrote on the subject: "The union, but of reconsideration. author must have been wrought up to an in-Dear Brethren-I am sure you have been article so pregnant with all the elements of were true. It may possibly be believed by some of those who read only the Visitor's remarks. It is unnecessary that we should tellowship. The council had a long discussion vice than " recons der." Christian Messenger, you have been taught to aware of being in such a condition; but we we said, was written under a sense of duty, to guide them? The expression of opinion in misrepresented the Council, the Granville course pursued by the editor of the Visitor. Our statements may, however speak for them- previous acts of the church. No reversal of preffers.

somewhat, and that he now pays a little more did, as we shall shew. to place this matter before you in its true light how anxious he is to bring out instead of that When first requested to go to Halifax, I was fact, the question, Whether or no we accept asked to serve on an exparte Council * This I it. He lancies he direcvers some inconsis-

differing therefrom, in several particulars—his union" we copy them : assertion to the contrary notwithstanding. His

" Bro. Selden, you know it is not so; you know that in heart by word, by pen and by deed, -in private, in the church, and in the public ignored the decision. Now in the face of such an open, and unceremonious rejection on your and for the sake of that religion which you profess, strive to impress your readers with the idea that you adhere to the advice of the Council, and that the Visitor is the rejecting party."

How very desirous our brother is for "barmony between contending parties!" Whether the Visitor is the rejecting party and wished to ignore it, or no, may be seen better, perhaps, by what he then did, than by of it, and then scattering reprints thereof over the city and province, we thought, looked very much like a wish to ignore it. We know of nothing else he could have done that not been subsequently published in the Mes- on the subject. senger, and afterwards in the Visitor. It this had not been done the Council would have been misrepresented, and their Decision most

certainly ignored. occasion for such action, and wish that others follows: had not. It is strange that our brother does not perceive how contradictory his own statements are, for while he unblushingly states as quoted above, "you have, in a style most offensive, ignored the decision;" he afterwards says On that decision, so formed, we take our stand to-day. To it we unswervingly cleave Bro. Selden will you do likewise? It so, between you and us there need not be another word of disputation." Is there not a curious question? But how very magnanimous and disinterested of our brother! Having applied the match, he now deprecates the destruction and ravages of the flames. Words may be softer than oil, and yet be drawn swords. It is too late to ask for peace when words like these have been so recklessly used. But iou? Of course we accept the document as

on that point and would not advise the! Let Brother Bill get the Council to

" The decision of the twelve brethren convened from the three Provinces presented a hopeful basis of union, for the Editors of the denominational press, for the membe s of Granville Street the denomination generally. Let that be ad hered to by each and all, and the unity of the denomination is preserved, and the interests of truth and charity maintained; but frown upon it ignore it, and insult it, and you have confusion,

was told it was now necessary for him to be and prayerful investigation came to a united the impression upon its readers, that the What a pity our brother did not find this decision. The Council carefully measured the editor approves of the decision of the Coun- out before! Whilst the decision says not a resposibilities of that decision. They knew that cil, but that the Visitor rejects it." Such a word about its being "a basis of union;" statement is hardly worth contradiction. The or even the " restoration of harmony," on only foundation our contemporary has for the two points on which it expresses any such conclusion, is, that we have merely de- opinion at all the verdict is that of censure mended that the Decision should have been of Dr. Pryor's conduct-stronger than any "Now," said he, "I ask whether you have from which there is no appeal. I felt that as allowed to speak for itself, which he sought previous expression of the church. As Bro. to prevent, by publishing a version, greatly Bill proposes to make them " a basis of

> " Resolved. That in the opinion of this Council, strong feelings have rather got the advantage Dr. Pryor is not guilty of immorality as charged over his judgment, or he would not have in the allegation: yet it is at the same time the written such a string of words as the follow- opinion of this Council that Dr. Pryor has shewn great want of discretion in the character of his visits to Mrs. McMillan."

> " Resolved. That the Council acquit Dr. Pryor of dishonest and fraudulent intention in his dealings as the agent of Miss Vass; but press, you have, in a style most offensive, they are of opinion that it was most unwise in him to undertake the management of her accounts at all, and that he is charged with inpart, don't we beseech you for your own sake competency in keeping accounts, and culpable negligence in not preserving vouchers."

> > On the third point in the decision, no course having any reference to union was recommended. No, nothing, it will be perceived, but a 'reconsideration.' Read it.

" Resolved, That in relation to the suspension of Dr. Pryor on the charge of fraudulent transactions in the affairs of Miss Vass the Council of opinion after a careful examination what he now says. "Actions speak louder of the whole matter in relation to which that it would be advisable for the church to reconsider their action thereon."

This then, the church adopted. We published in connection with the decisi on would so effectively reject it, ignore it, or set of the Council on the 2nd of October, an it aside, it so be that the Decision itself had extract from the proceedings of the Church

We retrained from publishing the reasons recorded, and still have no desire to do so. As, however, many enquiries have come to us, some of them from New Brunswick, re-We hardly think it becomes our brother to specting the ultimate action taken by the We are glad to receive the above from add to the appeal in the above quotation such church, we append the resolution, which we a wicked sentence as the following: "Such learn is in entire accordance with the recomtergiversation must be condemned by every mendation given by the Council, as understood honest man." It there has been "tergiver- by several of its members. Altera recital of sation" it has not been with us. We have no the reasons, the resolution concludes as

> "On the 30th of May last the church suspend. ed fellowship with Dr. Pryor until such time as be should afford satisfactory explanation of certain statements apparently confirmatory of the charges then under consideration. The main question now is, whether such explanation bas been furnished. After carefully considering all the evidence adduced before the Council the church are forced to conclude that it has not been turnished; and therefore they cannot rescind their resolution of suspension, and they see no prospect of any further light being thrown upon the matter. Considering these things and the chavacter of the evidence adduced in support of the charge of immorality and impropriety, and Dr. Pryor's conduct during the whole course of the proceedings relating to him, the Church have no course left but to declare the termination of Dr. Pryor's connection with them as a member, and hey do therefore hereby withdraw fellowship from bim."

This action we repeat, is what was fully Not only have we the above letter, but, in the decision of the Council, but we do not anticipated by several members of the Counagree with the opinions expressed on all the cil and in harmony with their recommendapoints on which it treats. And we would ask, tion. We have it under their hands. Al-Do you Bro. Bill "unswervingly cleave" to the though the Decision was a unanimous one, it decision as interpreted by yourself, or as un- was explained by the President of the Counpoint," "encompassed with a brilliancy of deistood by other members of the Council? - cil and another member as not understood by There is but one sentence in the decision that all alike. The Council did not see "eye to points to any action at all, and that is not of eye," neither were they "joined together." in the same judgment" as our brother affirm-We are assured by members of the Council ed. And cur brother knew they were tensely desperate mood before penning an they did not intend it to mean, or for a mo- not when he penned his statements that ment suppose it would effect the restoration of they were. They merely agreed together on Dr. Pryor to the fellowship of the church. Bro. | the wording of the Decision, and left the Bill bimself knows very well that the word Church to take the responsibility of future " reconsider" did not mean a restoration to action, without giving any more definite ad-

Granville Street Church to take any such ac- approve of his first article and we have and a most painful one-arising from the the decision of the Council was of such a Street Church, and the Messenger, and we nature that it more than confirmed all the shall be only too glad to accept the reace he

those acts or rescinding of resolutions was Having complied with our brother editor's We are glad to find that our brother in even hinted at. No, nothing but "reconsider request in placing his letter before our make of him: : viz., that he will give the above respect to the Council's decision; although in We think our brother's new-born admira- article, with his own letter, an early insertion deing so, he makes a great talk about our tion of that document is rather more glow- in the columns of the Visitor, so that those of ing than its authors contemplated. He writes our brethren amongst the Baptists of New of it as in the above letter, and, in his edi- Brunswick who do not see the Messenger may be more correctly informed on this mat-

> We ought perhaps to apologize to our readers for occupying so much of our space on this subject, and we most sincerely hope Church and for the ministers and churches of that there will be no necessity for again referring to it.

The following is a postcript to a letter received a few days since from a respected ministering Council met, and after seven days of patient He asserts that "the whole drift of the heart burnings, disputations, and, we fear, every brother. As it was not written for publication we do not give the name of the writer:

cision stand you. this ca Th now o forms very in P pond accou havir ward

No

" I Noel,

ening

I also

enable

with verba each to ob of v Legis Th gram anno to p Offic way sion W Scoti comn

Th

six d

exce

amou

Trav cents TI from on b It conte for Raily to be Tup sione It tary Cam M

tion

Stea

end o

York

the t Dom rang gave into color says In reply that exte the e

In Hon Bou Fish 0 tion can mitte TI the a is en the 1

H

powe

them

In ings chief ment open this cours ment ened not durin to th tions minis

that the (trodu Parli Raily prese west