THE CHRISTIAN MESSENGER.

accepted as true, must ruin him in this world lor ever.

I am positively assured by a person present, that the church's agents did not decline before the Council to accept their decision as final. I have shewn they had bound themselves to accept it.

Of course Dr. Pryor would not require them to accept the decision if unfavorable to him. Why stuff the case with puerifities ?

Yours affection ately, E. A. CRAWLEY.

ERRATA .- In the 4th line of the above letter, omit "before." In the last line of 4th paragraph. 4th column, after " these in" read " Dr."

For the Christian Messenger.

Letters from Granville St. Church to the Baptists of Nova Scotia.

No. 6.

DEAR BRETHREN,-

86

Once more we are compelled to address you. Our reply to the foregoing letter will lead us, before we close, to speak of principles which are dear to you and us in common, and we crave your indulgence, and your patience.

As Dr. Crawley has so persistently urged bis view of this paintul controversy, and seems to be so possessed with prejudice as to be almost beyond the reach of facts and arguments, we will, for the time being, take his representation of the case. The first important thing he labors to establish is, that the Church, whilst engaged in the discipline of Dr. Prvor, indulged in an argry and vindictive spirit. He has also laboured much to prove that the church pledged itself to accept the decision of the Council as final.

Let it be assumed that both these statements are correct. Now, what was the decision of the Council so far as they reecommended action for the church? They advised the church to " reconsider' the vote by which Dr. Pryor was suspended from church fellowship. "Reconsider" means here, says Dr. C., 'rescind' To rescind that vote, then, was the extent of the council's recommendation. This done, Dr. Pryor would have been restored to good standing as a member of Granville Street Church. But what would have been his true relation to the church, and his position in the denomination had this been done? He would have been a regular member and an excluded pastor. "I helped exclude Dr. Pryor from the pastorate," says Judge Johnston. The moral force of this act, with"the tacit yet significant endorsement of the Council would have been exclusion from the pulpits of the denomination. But how in the name of religion and reason could the church retain one in full fellowship as a member, whom, though a prominent preacher-a doctor in divinity-they had excluded from the pulpit, thus striking him down from the ministry? What is the straighttorward and legitimate reply to this question? There is none, except such as is contained in the facts and statements already given in previous letters, pointing to dishonesty and impurity .---These facts and circumstances could not be accounted for on the supposition of innocence, and the church were compelled to exclude him from the pulpit. How could he, we ask again, be retained as a member of a christian church after being for such reasons excluded from the ministry ? This is the true issue, the only conceivable point reached by Dr. Crawley's argument. We shall leave it for him to shew the consistency of such a state of things, and its harmony with christian morals. We will now consider as briefly as may be, the charges which for the sake of showing the result to which Dr. C's argument leads, we have treated as if true. It will be seen from our letter in the Messenger of March 3rd., that the assumption upon which Dr. C. charges the church with froud and falsehood, is itself false, and must be abandoned by him. He in a previous letter, laid it down as a fact that the church had bound itself by a solemn engagement to accept as final the Council's decision ; into this agreement he says the church entered and by it they bound themselves, not by word or writing, but by a silent understanding. We exposed this assumption before, but Dr. C. lays hold of it again, and ence more endeavours to crush out our honor and our christian name among the churches of Christ. He tells us that we have made like charges against Dr. Pryor, and he cannot see why such an "indignant flourish " should be made when he thinks fit to oharge us with fraud and falsehood. We assure Dr. Crawley that when he produces an equal amount of evidence in support of his charge, which he has compelled us most reluctantly to furnish in order to show why we were obliged to consider Dr. Pryoi's actions as we did, we shallacknowledge the charge and confess our sin. But let us remove beyond the hope of recovery the ground assumed by Dr. C. in order to make his charge.

that in such a case as was then under consideration, it could not transfer ultimately the duty of livered, but had they so agreed would have knowingly done vio ence to the solemn convictions of what they believed to be their duty on this point, and no surprise was expressed by any member of the part of the church; and that four days after the delivery of the decision, the Secretary (Rev. Mr. Armstrong) on learning that the church intended no surprise at such a course."

It is now made plain that Dr. Crawley has taken a fancy for a fact, that he fell unfortunately for his undertaking into a fatal error .--He has now no shade of just ground for with. suggested. It has appeared in this correspond- government: holding an admission in the first place that he has been so mistaken, and in the second place a confession of the groundlessness of his heavy charge against us, which he professes to have grawn out of his assumed facts. His charge is " traud and falsebood" and conduct comparable to that of a lewd woman, and this! not against an individual, but against a Church reports of the Committees, has continued to government is not binding-it is optional with of Christ numbering about one hundred and fifty souls. Dr. C. would " awake us from our dream of innecence." What ! defame a church in the public print with such charges !-- brand it with these black crimes simply to "awake it from a dream of innocence !" We would remind Dr. C. that truth is the only weapon crdained of beaven with which to reach the consciences men. If ever a christian man, was called upon, in view of all that is manly-and more especially in view of the claims of religion, to admit and confess an offence against his brethren, Dr. Crawley is that man. When he declared his conviction of Dr. Pryor's mnocence we regarded him sincere, but that measure of sincerity which we believed him to possess will compel him, painful though it may be, to confess this great and enormous wrong. We must notice the statements that the church " eagerness to elutch at trifles; " while the mani over to a Council, every member in the church has been guided "by the petulance and inaccur. festation of a straightforward determination is released. But by whom? By their Divine racy of youth," and has throughout this painful that knows no denial, to learn the true merits of Head? By any one appointed by Him? No. case acted under the influence of heat and excitemen'. In order that you may not be misled by Dr. C'sstatement we may remark that the only facts, a want of honesty, forbearance, and 3. The bypothesis that a church can commit the one, of the "old leaders" of whose counsels we have been deprived is Judge Johnston, and we are thankful to be able to inform you that bretbren language is too strong to express their abhor- ling fact that a church can allow a person to are left among us and are acting in harmony with us, tipe in years and christian experience. The entire action of the church in condemned by Dr. C., because among these upon whom the Church has from time to time imposed labor, in this matter, are, as he asserts, mere Dr. C. says he no where uses ; and complains were a subject of belief, it leads a church to youth. But the fact is, the youngest of these brethren is over 30 years of age and others are men of grey hairs. This we think a sufficient reply to Di. C's complaint against petulant and "When the members are lifted up with self should be excluded, when the church might be inaccurate youth. In order that no possible means might be left irritated . . . into a vindictive and spiteful censure; or the Council might decree that the untried of showing that Dr. I'ryor had been un- temper." At the close of Dr. Crawley's first person should still remain a member, while the justly condemned, (except that of explaining letter this pamphlet of Judge Johnston is en- church would be convinced that the person. the evidence !) Dr. Crawley has endeavoured dorsed and recommended. To shew that this is deserved excommunication. The same abmost diligently to make it appear that we acted correct we point to what Dr. C. says in his surdity follows when the subject for adjudicaunder the influence of heat and excitement - fourth letter. Here it is :- " The true solu- tion might be dectrine. Judge Johnston undoubtedly made use of very tion of this extraordinary conduct is I conceive | This principle, dear bretbren, of the direct warm language, and he has placed specimens of the disturbing influence of pride, or prejudice and final responsibility of a church to her Divine it upon record for the perusal of all. From your or ill-will such as Judge Johnston testifies he Head, is the one which we have been holding knowledge of the case, we think you have al- continually saw." Dr. C. endorses Judge John- sacred; and we supposed, and still believe, that ready seen that both Dr. Crawley and Judge stor's' language in his first letter; he used it in this is the principle, held distinctly and with un-Johnston have entirely failed to shew in what his fourth. It is now his own. "Spitetul and wavering firmness by Baptists all over the world. respect Dr. Pryor received injustice at our vindictive" are charged upon us. We did not Upon this principle we have taken our stand, hands. Has it not been shewn that our prc- say they were Dr. C's words. He and Judge and we are not less convinced now of its scripceedings were regular in all essential joints ?- Johnston, we conceive are joined together in tural soundness than we have been heretofore. When the nature of the case and the sudden- accusing and aspersing 'the church of Dr C's first Now in our case, it was not a matter of minor inness with which it was launched upon us are love.' We mean no taunt by this quotation, portance, upon which we asked advice of a considered, how does the existence of so great a neither did-we in instances where we have Council. Had it been a question in dispute degree of order and regularity consist with the already used it. supposition that the church acted under the con- Here we may discuss the important question : principles of church discipline, we might have trol of unboly passion? Our action has, we What authority may be delegated to a Council handed it over to a Council for final adjudicabelieve been subjected to the most searching and by a church governed by the congregational tion. It was not a comparatively trifling affair powerful scrutiny that was ever brought to bear principle, so as not to ignore or violate this of this kind. A subject of no greater imporupon any of our chur hes, and we submit to your fundamental principle of New Testament church | tance could engage the attention of a church. judgment whether the result has been such as to policy? lend countenance to the statement that it was We are laboring under a great mistake, if our exclusion of a pastor from membership were not instituted and carried through under the guid views on this point are not in harmony and only possible issues, but one an issue in fact, for ance of rash impulse, heat, and passion. When identical with those of Baptists everywhere. it has been shown that Dr. Pryor received an We believe that the government of the church before the advice of a Council was sought. unjust condemnation at our hands, then it will is committed to the whole bcdy-officers and But Dr. Crawley affirms that a Council should be in point, we submit, to enquire and search members-who are assumed to be believers in have absolute power to make a final settlement out adequate causes for so fearful a course - the Lord Jesus Christ, consequently under such of whatever it submitted to them, or at least, But until such has been done, does it not savor restraints and guidance individually and col- he says in cur case, that we ought to have of heat, and passion, and prejudice to be thus lectively, as spring naturally from the religion bound ourselves. only") in support of this charge; and states laws of Christ, their divine Head. believed we had condemned an innocent man, doctrine.

church regarded it as fundamental in Baptist polity rectifude." This you will remember is parallel or any question which would not involve with Dr. Crawley's own avowal. We solemnly the execution of any of the fundamental deciding for itself; that the Councillors were in- affirm that we are not conscious of having been laws of church discipline. We conceive vited to report their opinions to the church; that under the dominion of heat and unholy passion that any matter of this kind might be given into the representatives of the church not only had no as alleged by Mr. Payzant. As we have so the hands of judicious and pious councillors for authority to agree to accept a decision, not yet de- fully exposed this charge in our " Reply " to final adjudication, for whatever their conclusion Judge Johnston, we think it unnecessary to ad- might be, there would not necessarily be any the Church ; that they not only did not do so but ex. duce here the statements of many at least equally violation of scriptural law, and no violation of pressed their determination not to do so; that when well qualified to testity on this subject with Mr. P. trust reposed by Christ in a church. But with the decision was delivered in the presence of the to show that he, like Dr. C., has allowed his regard to the defence of sound doctrine, the ad-whole Council, the Church unmistakably indicated early and deep convictions on the case, to warp, mission and exclusion of members, the election early and deep convictions on the case, to warp- mission and exclusion of members, the election his mind, and that his charges on this point are and ordination and removal of ministers, involthe Council at the same; that the decision itself equally groundless with Judge Johnston's and ving as these acts do tundamental principles, a points to the subsequent exercise of judgment on Dr. Crawley's. It is with deep pain that we church is not at liberty to transfer to others the are compelled to write thus, and we believe trust which has been committed to it alone in that no one will, hereafter, more deeply regret relation to these matters. Upon the hypothesis to exercise such independent judgment, expressed than Mr. Payzant himself that he has been led, that these duties could be scripturally given by from any combination of influences, to defame a church into the bands of a council, for final those who ever esteemed him a triend and settlement, it would follow:

brother. The fearful power of prejudice, even 1st. That a fatal blow would be struck at the

sulis, you have doubtless observed, almost in- congregational form of government.

impossible that, when evidence is adduced, they of a church is hound to form and express an shou'd so profit by it as to medify their views opinion upon the worthiness of a person to obor correct their judgments. On the contrary, tain or hold membership He, on joining the the presentation of evidence which makes church, pledges himself to Christ and the against their strong convictions, only initates church, to be ready to forego any personal contheir minds and increases their impatience. siderations, and give his opinion and suffrage in That others should regard certain facts as in- all these important matters for church adjudiportant, they consider nothing more than carron. But it there matters can be handed certain alleged facts which make the other way, Did He give any permission of this kind? The is characterized as a 'disingenuous evasion of teaching of Holy Scripture answers, No. christian spirit, sneering, deatness to the voice discipline of a church member into the bands of of reason, humanity and religion.' In short, no a council for final settlement involves the startance of the searching attitude of impartial remain a member of the church, whom the minds, which they, surrendered as they are to church believes: worthy of excommunication, the control of convictions prematurely formed, and whom she should have excluded; or it might necessarily misunderstand and misrepresent. | allow that a person who should be retained in that they are attributed to him. Let us see. impose upon itself some teaching, believed, by

"From these statements it is evident that the deepest conviction of his innocence and moral did not involve the question of dishonesty,

regul

they

to fil

chur

act (

alone

C.)

fora

deci

WOU

of t

migl

defe

bre!

C. 1

Cou

chui

from

yet,

man

Cou

give The

and

chu

Wro

bec:

We

dari

beli

the

with

con

1.

Tre

whe

the

quo

wit

pro

fell

rast

ber

tha Bu suf

chu

plic Asi

of

arg

by she

in

aid

su

68

CO

bu

live

foo

of

or

of

wi

fus

the

the

ist

kii

TIA

fea

the

N

4.6

4

over able christian minds, has been already root of the congregational principle of church

ence that Dr. C. formed his opinion of Dr. If a church could commit a most important Pryor's case at an early stage in its history, and matter to a council, it certainly would follow that that his usual calmness, self-restraint, and age matters of minor importance might be given into have not prevented him from being betrayed the hands of the same body. From this it into the use of language as strong and stronger would follow that the church is at liberty to emthen Mr. Payzant's; and this early conviction, ploy a council to decide ony matters that are for formed as he tells you, without seeing even the church adjudication; then the congregational betray him into the making of the gravest the church to practice it, or to transfer the recharges and statements against us which we sponsibility to another body ! Why not then, have conclusively shewn are without any for convenience, have a standing body to which toundation whatever, in fact; and yet we bi- all matters may be reterred? This would be lieve Dr. C's. statements are entitled to as much Presbyterianism, Methodism or Episcolacy or respect as Mr. Payzant's. Such deplorable re- something of the kind, and destructive to the

variably follow, when men surrender them. 2. To grant that a church can give up to a selves to the guidance of convictions formed so council, for final decision, a case of discipline, early in the history of any matter as to exclude | involves a release from an obligation to Christ the corrective influence of evidence. Their to which every Baptist has bound himsel'. Our early and strong convictions render it almost system takes it for granted, that each member

The church did not pledge itself to receive the decision of the Council as final. The representatives of the church were not silent before the Council. The church emphatically declares that it did not so pledge itself. Four men at the least remember that this subject was spoken of before the Council. They do not remember different things, but all remember the same thing. Others present may not have heard-some may have forgotten, but it is absolutely impossible that four men should remember and relate what they heard or said on a certain occasion and these recollections all agreeing, unless the thing remembered was indeed said as recollected. The summary of evidence which puts this subject beyond all contradiction we quote from the letter in the last issue of the Messenger.

persistently assigning the worst of causes for a which they possess, and such as are imposed upon We would ask you to pause here, and look course of action which for aught that has been a church by the Scriptures-their rule of prac- upon the subject fairly, and in all its bearings; established to the contrary was just and righteous. tice. The pastors especially the aged, are and what it involves. If we had committed to We should suppose that considerations like " worthy of double honor;" Deacons are to be the Council full power, what might they not have these would induce Dr. Crawley to pause. He respected for their office, which they magnify. done? We will keep within the range of protdoes not, however. The charge seems to be one The aged are to be treated according to their ability. They might have required us to rewhich be delights to reiterate. He quotes from piety and years. With relations thus modified, scind the vote which suspended Dr. Pryor as a the letter of Mr. J. Y. Payzant to the Rev. E. all the members of a church are required to bear nember of our church ; they might have asked M. Saunders (printed " for private circulation an individual responsibility in executing the us to have rescinded the vote by which he had been excluded from the Pastorate; and then that Mr. Payzant was forced away from the The most important duties which the church required us to retain him in these relations; church by his sense of our injustice to Dr. has to perform are, the admission and excom- or, more probably, to have given him a dismis-Pryor. It Dr. Crawley means that Mr. munication of members; the election and re- sion as a member, and to have received his Payzant felt compelled to leave us because he moval of pastors; and the defence of sound resignation as a pastor, in a regular way, as if his moral character had never been questioned : we must do Mr. Payzant the justice to correct We believe it is sometimes expedient and 1. The church would have empowered another Dr. C's. misrepresentation. Here are Mr. scriptural to seek the advice of Councils; and body, if this is granted, to compel it (the Payzant's words on this point : " Whether these, while we admit that there are some subjects the church) to declare to the world that a man was charges (against Dr. P.) were true or false is peculiar character of which would admit of innocent, whom in their hearts they did not foreign to the purport of this letter, and remotely their being handed over to a Council for final believe innocent. if in any way connected with my act of separa- settlement, yet, for the security of the congre- 2. Upon this hypothesis, the church would tion." The italics are ours. It will not escape gational form of church government, it would, have empowered the Council to restore a susyour attention that Mr. Payzant in the quota- we think, be better for the churches always to pended member whom they believed deserved tion, adduced by Dr. C., says : " From an early request Councils to advise and not decree. It exclusion.

"Spiteful and vindictive" are words which fellowship, might suffer expulsion. Or, it it Judge Johnston in his letter, (page 6,) pointing the church, to be talse. A Council, called, to Granville Street Church uses this language. | might decree that the person, under discipline, conceit, inflamed by pride, obstinate in self will, of the opinion that the member only deserved

about some matters not touching the great Exclusion of a pastor from the ministry-and

our pastor had been excluded from the pulpit

stage of the proceedings which led to bis (Dr. might, for example, be a dispute between 3. It would have left it in the hands of a, P's.) exclusion from the church, I have had the two brethren about matters of money which Council, to compel the church to give a