GENERAL FAMILY NEWSPAPER.

NEW SERIES. Vol. XXII., No. 2. Halifax, Nova Scotia, Wednesday, January 10, 1877.

WHOLE SERIES. Vol. XLI., No. 2.

PROV. XXXI: 10-31, For her price exceeds rubies far, The heart of her husband can trust her That he needs not the booties of war. She will do him good and not evil,"
All his happy and sorrowful days,
While her hand for the poor and needy
Smooths and softens life's hard, rugged

It was not for her STATION I sought her, For I boasted no lordly estate; But she wrought with a strong devotion, "her husband was known in the

It was not for her MONEY I wooed her, But she brought me more wealth than of gold;

in

yle,

ven

UN-

vish-

aave

the

oks.

KS.

cotia

Nova

nalady

a FACT

sion of

us tes-

of our

anilies.

edicine

ion pos-A few

to the

s of the for by

Rheuma

e notice

gh their acknow

edy, the

s to in-ifacture.

and soon

tters of

ise were

s of the

d in this

-unaid

pecial ef-

envious

it has re-

erence in

mplaints.

d happy

profitable

e we oper

and cure

oractition

ult even to

fore unocering and ore the la

limbs, and ost in doc-ment and e afflicted abered by

dicine has als of Lon-enty years ty, and the remedy is ent of this

it happen it in stock

lalifax.

nd C. B.

SENCER

paid in ad lover thremonths \$2.5

OPRIETOR,

ille Street

lifax, N. S.

phlets, Caro

kc., &c.,

AYS ON HAN

RE.

came "like the merchant ships With her manifold treasures untold, For "she opened her mouth with wisdom, "In her tongue was the sweet law of

"She looked to the ways of her bouse-And she "feared the Lord all things

It was not for her BEAUTY Lichose her, But her beauty increased with her Till the day that they bore her from me,

When I scarce could discern for my But they said, who saw in the casket, From her white brow smoothed back

her brown hair, And lips as if kissed by a seraph, That "an angel could not be more fair." It was not for "vain beauty" I won her, For the vanishing gloss of a day,

But I "found a virtuous woman." With the beauties that bloom mid decay. Now her children arise up and bless her, And her husband he giveth her praise Though only her memory lingers,

Like the twilight of happier days.
But, sitting alone in the shadow. There yet lives in fond memory's eye That face with its brightness supernal, And that love cannot suffer to die. Then "give her the fruit of her doings, Let her praises her sweet works recall,

For "many have done virtuously But thou truly excellest them all." W. H. P.

Brantford, Nov., 1876.

Religious.

"Advanced Thinkers."

Tha following article from the pen of Rev. Dr. Fyfe, we believe, by the initials, we copy from the Canadian Baptist. It is a very proper protest against the assumption and presumption of those who present such unwarranted without exception mischievous. claims to greater progress than other people:-

This is a phrase which is becoming quite common in certain quarters. It does not so far as we know, belong to any country in particular. We are unable to affirm whether it was invented by critics and lookers-on, as characteristic of a type of thinkers, or whethof the Son of God. At the present time there are many of our periodicals which notice, compliment, and flatter men in proportion to the amount of unbelief which they can indicate by their utterances. As if the best proof of advance in thought, consists in the pungency of the heterodox flavor a man can give to his writings, or the amount of down right infidelity he can we can find many who are ready to paths; "The fountain of living waters," their own. They are "The deep and who may need that. earnest thinkers," "The advanced thinkers' of our time. And these objections to Home Missions in the "advanced thinkers!" Like the weight. French Countess, who would have esteemed pure water a delightful drink, we are fully agreed. No one supposes if it could only be flavored with sin, so that any system of working will of thinkers, who like the Bible, if it can with. only be mixed with their errors.

right to set up the banner of victory can "the cause be presented." till they have won the battle. And yet the Editor of Scribner's Magazine, has always been followed by the cry of and many others, are guilty of this great unfairness.

have read or heard this conceited little what point do these gentlemen commence their measurements, to ascertain the "advance" they have made? Along what path do they stretch their line? With whom do they, consciously or unconsciously, compare themthat they have started from the gaol which is set up for orthodox Christians, and have outrun them? We deny that they have started from the same goal, or that they are travelling and progress is not always apparent. on the same road. Hence they cannot tell whether they are in advance, or in the rear of us; whether they have advanced or retrograded. If they are pursuing an independent track, we do not see any means by which they can compare their progress, with that of those who are pursuing "the old paths;" if, on the other hand, they claim to be on the same paths occupied by orthodox Christians, are they not like the children spoken of by Mc-Auley, sitting upon their father's shoulders and crying, " See how much taller we are than papa!" In every sense we protest against the phrase. It is conceited, it is misleading, it is strictly untrue; and, in the use which

R. A. F

For the Christian Messenger. Home Missions in the Convention.

A REJOINDER. er it was appropriated by a certain pondence regarded merely as a passage class, as the only phrase which could at arms between an unknown contestadequately describe and identify them. ant and myself, and the chief matter to have adopted, and uttered some strange unwilling to write for the mere purand crude notions, in regard to the pose of gratifying the curiosity of one commonly received views of the Gospel whom I am supposed not even to know. I think a great question is under consideration. It is doubtful if among us there is another of as much practical importance. Certainly we have none unsettled that is. And this being so, I wish all that can be said on the other side, to be said, and in the most effective way possible. I think it fair to presume that this is now being done; I embody in his creed. On all hands of your readers generally to be kept so free that every utterance will have its laud those who turn aside from the old due effect. If I need toning down I want to be "toned down," while I am

"1." As to his first point of course,

"2." But whether "Mr. Porter's I, with many others, as firmly believe in the fact that the first step in the

mon, whether it be carelessly bestowed deed another question. Now I do not sions. This is what I am after, nor do Endowment it was reserved for the by critics or editors of Magazines, or hesitate to say that if it is the right I at all agree with J. M. that it would centre of the enlarged Home Missioncomplacently assumed by conceited and best method it will. Both Moses be as well for New Brunswick and ary work in Nova Scotia to take. writers, there lurks a most flagrant and Solomon, too were Nova Scotia each to "sustain a half And now, even while I write, comes The parties to whom the organizers. What successful man in mission" as for them to unite in susepithet, "Advanced thinkers," is at- any age has not been? They believed taining a whole one. tached, we believe, without exception in combination and co-operation, and reject a large part of "those things that as large as the circumstances which are most surely believed by us." would admit. Their views and action As the epithet is complimentary, it were in accordance with the Divine clearly teaches that the rejectors of mind, and great results followed. Let scriptural truth and doctrines, by the our people feel that this question is at parties to whom it is applied, are right last settled and Home Missions put in the positions which they have taken. where they belong and where they cau This is a clear case of petitio principii, do their best, and why should it not begging of the whole question. We increase the feeling of interest and conemphatically deny that they have fidence, and proportionably the contri-proved their right to reject any part of butions? Beside, the more efficient God's word; and hence they have no the organization the more effectively

J. M. says an "exhausted treasury and Christian people is, it seems to me, phrase to ascertain how the parties strongly indicative of two things: first, employing it justified its terms. At that something essential is wanting, and second, that combination is needed. The general and decided feeling of a Christian public is seldom a mistaken one. Looking at the changes that have taken place in our Home Mission movements as the natural steps from selves when they speak of being "ad- chaos to order, then each apparent vanced thinkers?" Do they mean failure, instead of being gloomily regarded as "the tide receded as far as ever," becomes only the retreat of the

wave preparatory to its further advance. Reforms are usually gradual, tion for Home Missions?" I say, em-"We walk by faith, not by sight." "3." "The larger organization im-

plies more difficulty in management." And suppose it does. What is greatest and most efficient is generally most difficult. But J. M. ought to be aware that bringing Home Missions into the Convention does not necessarily imply one Board. What is to hinder that And why is it not in every way econbody appointing just as many as the wisdom of the Denomination might together till the work is done that deem best? But my friend's objections | needs to be done? It must be done to one Board I do not regard decisive. some time, and if not by the whole Cape Breton and Prince Edward body, then you entrust it to less than Island are just as accessible from Fredericton or St. John as from Yarmouth, and no more so from Yarmouth Is that doing justice to the work? Is than are the most remote parts of New it doing justice to the body? "Can Brunswick. I think, too, it might be true economy to have the whole time and energies of "one man" employed in superintending this work, and hethe most efficient missionary of allbe supported by missionary contributions. Why not? Has such a thing never been? The chief reason of the unpopularity of paid agencies thus far has been that the field of operations has been so limited, their support has cost too large a proportion of the whole amount raised. As to "doing the work gratis," wherever anything has water may be added both salt and It is usually employed to indicate a be decided who has the best of the been accomplished it has always been sugar without overflowing it. If a class of semi-religious writers, who argument. And I would be equally found necessary that some one be paid heart full of love to Jesus has room for for overseeing. At present either of Acadia College and all the world, certhe existing Boards has far too much for any one man to do with much else on hand. Indeed, I think much of the failure complained of might be easily accounted for in view of the fact that pastors with their hands already full have been the ones upon whom has devolved the chief burden of this work with its "myriad of letters," &c. By what rule we can require that all this be done "gratis," I have never yet also wish my own mind and the minds seen. As to Denominational Education and Foreign Missions in the other Provinces, New Brunswick for over thirty years maintained such an Institution as she wanted, and what is there and pursue a supposed original path of equally anxious to help tone up those to hinder her sustaining a Foreign Mission? Prince Edward Island is J. M. has seen reason for giving his out of the question, it having always been denominationally a part of Nova Scotia. I have little sympathy with the idea that our failures actual or their own greatness.—We are the as I am able, ascertain their real hypothetical, are due to our weakness; I think we must look elsewhere for the cause. At the same time I fully believe that the united efforts of our Provinces is essential to the highest there are, in our day, many advanced itself "increase the means" to work efficiency of the College and Foreign objects act, reach and interact upon

short by the long combination," I admit effort on behalf of Home Mission work the danger. It exists in any combina- in New Brunswick. What we do for tion, and needs to be carefully guarded ourselves helps and inspires us to do against. No plan will succeed that is not worked, but the better worked the more the responsibility is thrown upon individuals. I do not think there is a less number engaged our present thinks "that too much business, Board business, has always been, and still is, than have been at any past period, or than would be were they subdivided to tell us that the Board arrangement to any extent. Beside, I have already is too "long a combination," and enshewn that the long combination in no dangers individual effort? But he way interferes with the short one J. adds, "I do not expect the return combination." Very well, what then? in the support of the College, and it I am glad you dont; "Blessed are they The unanimity and invariableness of certainly does not in Foreign Missions. that expect nothing, for they shall not We have been curious when we this cry by an intelligent, enlightened Nova Scotia and New Brunswick un- be disappointed." In this I think you doubtedly have "each a home feeling. a Provincial sentiment." Has it never ligent reader you have. But you " still occurred to our friend that for people related as we, it is possible to be too much under that influence? In the circumstances ought Provincial lines to have any place in our denominational work? In the past I fear they have not been wholly obliterated, even where professedly they were. have been none too "willing to combine when necessary." That we may be more so is what I am after.

"5." " Is there room in the Convenphatically, there is! There is not a word in the Constitution about breaking up Tuesday night. And I say further, that by the Constitution they are already in constitutionally. How can you consider "the general interests of the Denomination " and leave Home Mis sions out? "Is it advisable to keep the delegates together another day? omical and desirable to keep them the body; if not by the body together, it must be by the body dismembered. attention be kept up for another subject?" And why cannot attention be kept up for a subject in which we are interested and which "is to us of primary importance"? "With the people's minds full of Acadia College and Foreign Missions, will the time not be inopportune?" And why might not that question be just as forcibly asked with reference to either of these two objects in its relations to the other, as an argument for having but one of them in Convention? To a cup full of tainly a corner ought to be found in it large enough for home. I think we should not forget that it is possible to disproportionately exalt both Acadia College and Foreign Missions, and in the same degree underrate the importance of our home work. Even J. M. thinks there is among us "a greater dearth of Home Mission spirit than of Foreign." And why is this? And how comes it that the people's minds are full of Acadia College and Foreign Missions? Will J. M. ponder these questions? If the existence of the Convention in its present form causes the College and Foreign Missions to occupy a disproportionate importance in the mind of the body as compared with Home Missions, ought we not to apply the remedy by according to Home Missions an equal consideration? But is not that just what I am after? True, " when we are full it becomes us to pay more attention to the starving than to ourselves," but what are we to do when our own "Missionary ship is well nigh stranded?" But these three Mission. On this all are agreed, while each other. To me there is meaning

the intelligence with equally suggestive force that the next has just been taken "4." As to "individual effort coming in immediate connection with a new for others.

"6." J. M. says, "I believe that Home Missions might very well be managed by the Associations." And yet he thinks "that too much business, Board done at Associations." Does he mean M. has clearly shewn that it does not of those dark ages." My dear brother, are in perfect accord with every intelcherish their memory." Some people revel in the past. With them "the former days were better than these." Even the Hebrews on their way to Canaan signed for the flesh-pots of Egypt. I know some Christians that get all their hope out of a past experience. I prefer the present joined with the assurance that "dits' better on before." I don't believe that ever tithere was more real missionary work done than now," " the regions beyond reached oftener," or, "more hopeful interests started." With all our failures, I do not believe that our denominational work is all going to the bad, that the " Associations have been unsettled, shorn, whittled down to a fine point," or that "the Associational tree is now lopped of every branch, with nothing but the bare pole as a land mark" and "that it will by-and-by topple over!" A man who sees things in that light may well be in despair. Let such a spirit become general and we may bid good-bye to all progress. The croaking bird doubtless has its uses, but for myself I love neither its color, its note, nor its diet. J. M. has not held out any hope for our "well nigh stranded" Home Missions. He does not believe in the present arrangement; he has no faith in the Associations ever performing the work, and he is quite sure we have already progressed much too far in the way of intelligent organization. Let those who sympathize with his views bear this in mind. Doing so, and looking fairly at things as they are, I think they will see that we have reached a point where we cannot long remain, and from which there is no retreat, and but one way to advance. The hindrances and objections to this step, we have had presented, and seen how much there is in them. Brethren, before you is set an open door, and no man can shut it.

This is a great question as to Home Missions. It is no less important in its relations to the cause abroad and Education. But it is so chiefly because of its bearing upon onr interests as a denomination. To me it is a somewhat remarkable coincidence that the Rev. John Clifford, M. A., LL. B., before the Baptist Union recently held in Birmingham, England, should give utterance to such sentiments as the following :-

"But it seems to me that over and beyond the methods generally recognized and often urged, our principal need is a living and operative conviction throughout all our members that we are really what we profess and call ourselves—a body of Baptists, and not a fortuitous concourse of immersed atoms-and that therefore no part of the body, however remote or insignificant, can suffer with out the whole being weakened; and the one next need is the wise and speedy adoption of all our action, pre-eminently our action for the evangelization of England—so as to incarnate that principle of unity, and effectually secure the solidarity of the Baptist life of the na-

Now, as Baptists, we have developed our individualism till it is as sharp and ragged as a saw. We have so exagger-Under this little phrase, now so com- plan will increase benevolence" is in- that the same is true of Home Mis- present advance movement for College ated our rights to independence and

is generally made of it, it is almost

No. 3.

I would be sorry to have this corres-

wanderers very modestly appropriate Convention. I propose to look at these the title, take off their hats, and bow to objections in his own order, and, so far