Erysinthoand the the dneys,

stules, mors. Scald Ilcers.

ain in

emale

rising terine

dis-

iation.

ng the

tion of

Man-

lodides

e most

y com-fect of

o mild

n, it is

om the

aptions

derived

which

e coun-

erience

s have

being

ases are

vincing

is Sarterative

superi-

n, that

ure the

as ever

Mass.,

ists.

VHERE.

fax,

genst.

rd.

I. S.

ions

&c.,

Jan. 3.

er.

OODILL,

Street.

ns, Tea-

Sweeter,

of Time,

believe?

UNDBY.

sent Free_

LLS.

nt,

w in use.

umatism.

hilblains,

id in man

able, and

invented.

Agents

or terms,

, N. S.

ENGER

y. Terms

aid in ad-

ver three

nths \$2.50.

RIETOR,

e Street,

ax, N.S.

ets, Cards,

, &e., on

ON HAND.

t.,Cincinnati.

AX.

ease.

for

155 Enuel,

RELIGIOUS FAMILY NEWSPAPER. GENERAL

NEW SERIES. 9 Vol. XXII., No. 39.

Halifax, Nova Scotia, Wednesday, September 26, 1877.

WHOLE SERIES. Vol. XLI., No. 39.

Alone with Jesus.

BY MRS. E. R. DUNBAR. Alone with thee, dear Jesus We wrestle and we rest. Through weakness we're victorious, Through suffering we are blest.

Alone with thee, our visions ... To loftier heights are led, While down among the shadows, Heaven's clearer light is shed.

Alone with thee, earth heartaches, Its conflict and its pain, Sink into soft-veiled blessings. And we rejoice again.

Alone with thee, dear Jesus, Ilw ball When wreck and storm sweep past, There comes no fear, no terror, Thy hand will hold us fast.

Alone with thee, dark mysteries Take angel forms, and bear 1 Fond messages, assuring A Father's constant care.

Alone with thee, life's pathway Seems far less steep and wild, Thine arm to help, so ready, Thy weak yet trusting child.

Alone with thee, the coming, And the going feet of time, Seem but as joyous marchings To a fairer, brighter clime.

Alone with thee, dear Jesus, We feel, how deep, thy love; Wasteless through all earth's needing, Ceaseless in realms above.

Alone with thee, the crowning We see, beyond the cross, And all the eternal glories Make fleeting ones as dross.

Alone with thee, triumphant We cross the narrow sea, Our latest song, and sweetest Is: "Evermore with thee."

Religious.

Christian Union : Real and Unreal

BY REV. T. ARMITAGE, D. D.

An address by Rev. T. Armitage, de-livered at a meeting held in the Dutch Reformed church, Fifth Avenue, New York, to promote the objects of the Christian Union enterprise. The various denominations were represented by Dr. Smith, Episcopal; Dr. Rice, Presby-terian; Dr. Vermilye, Reformed Dutch; Dr. Armitage, Baptist.

Concluded.

IV. Where open communion at the Lord's table does exist, either among the different Pedobaptist denominations or between them and Baptists, it never made the test of Christian union by any one of the parties interested.

Presbyterians, Episcopalians, Re-

formed Dutch brethren, and Methodists are open communion, so far as that they may all sit down together at the supper table spread in each other's congregations. But are they any more united among themselves, in consequence of this species of open communion, than they are with the Baptists? Nay, indeed, isolated individual cases excepted, do they commune together at the Lord's table at all? It is notorious that as denominations, they never think of such a thing. Who ever heard of Dr. Cotton Smith presiding at the Lord's table in Dr. Gardiner Spring's church, or of Dr. Vermilye breaking bread in Dr. Tyng's church, or Dr. Rice administering the supper in Dr. McClintock's church? Well, why not? Do they not recognize each other as regenerated men? Certainly they do. Do they not regard each other as baptized? Most certainly they do. What is the trouble then? I do not know. I know that my Episcopalian brethren do not regard my Presbyterian brethren as properly qualified to administer the ordinance. But I cannot see how that should be in their way as regenerated men, with their views of Baptists and baptism. A number of these brethren are here, they must answer for themselves. They are able. Some years ago, many members of various denominations celebrated the supper in a public hall in Philadelphia, as an illustrabut there was no denominational or him to other Christians? Let facts

ed to meet on neutral ground. I won- the bitterest war has been waged against ber. Perfect oneness must subsist in acting towards you as if it were. delphia Baptists as very "exclusive," for not opening one of their church edinot open their own. It may be said that these various bodies can meet tofor Christian union itself among themthey feel quite guiltless when they uta Presbyterian, Dr. Smith, an Episcopalian, and Dr. Vermilye, a member of the Reformed Dutch church, are here this evening, all pleading for Christian unionamong Pedobaptists.

Again: many Baptists are open communion, but does that bind them closer to other Christian denominations than the strict communion Baptists are bound? The Freewill Baptists of this country are open communion. They number over 50,000—and an excellent people they are, too-but what Pedobaptist denomination takes special interest in them on these accounts? I have been a pastor in this city for seventeen years, and have watched with great interest little Freewill Baptist Church among us struggle for an existence. Those brethren have one small meetinghouse, immediately in the rear of the elegant edifice, in Twenty-eighth street, and they have been deliberating for years as to whether or not they could pay their debts, and keep it. Well, how many of you Pedobaptist brethren, who feel deeply about our "close communion," have helped them, in order that you might enjoy Christian union with them? Yet you can sit down at the Lord's table with them whenever you please. I have never heard of any of your churches so much as taking a collection, to save that edifice from the hammer of the sheriff. Does this show that open him to be honest. communion is the bond of Christian union? Another question. Does open communionism promote the prosperity of Freewill Baptists? Are other Christians so enamored of their Baptist principles, aside from that, that they gladly embrace them, and unite with them? Let us see. In 1844 they numbered 61,372 in the United States; in 1865, twenty-one years afterward, they numin those years our strict communion more than 300,000. According to Bro.

"Yes, but they are Arminians, and it speak of the Godhead as a unity. Then is this that interrupts our Christian for what does Jesus pray? That all union with them." Well, so are that his followers may be one, precisely as glorious people, the Methodists, yet he and his Father are one-not that they increase more rapidly than any of they formed a union, but that they conus, and every new convert is as unyield- stitute a unity. Then his prayer coning a Methodist as the old ones, and templates that believers should attain a they are all open communion too; but oneness of mind in the faith, and not you have no Christian union of a denominational character with them, for of heart in the obedience which they all that, which you have not with the offer-and a oneness of effort in the Freewill Baptists. All this goes to show that open communion has nothing whatever to do with Christian union, and that you so consider it when the Baptists are counted out of the ques-

Now, let me ask you to look in anthe English Baptists are open commun- and the Son are one in feeling, action, ion. Well, does that system work dif- counsel, name. Therefore the prayer ferently in Great Britain from what it union? Not at all. Who is so lusty a defender of open communionism as

der that they did not regard the Phila- him by Christian people in Great among the people of God, and their Who sacrifice conscience, then, and Britain that has been waged against any unity must agree in agreeing, and not London pastor for the last century. in differing, just as the unity of the fices for the service when they would True, he loves to belabor his own strict Father and the Son agrees. We brethren, but does that render him more are to hold the same affections, the acceptable to his brethren, of other same doctrine, and the same ordinances, gether at the Lord's table, as churches, churches? Not one jot or tittle, so long if they please. But the fact that they as he chooses to remain a Baptist. If them, or else our union is a counterfeit mit to what you hold to be according do not please so to sit down with each he chooses to abandon his Baptist prinother shows either that they do not con- ciples they will love him well enough. unity, as Jesus prays for it, and it done that already, and your act will at sider this act to be a test of Christian Are the other religious bodies more union among themselves, or else that, closely bound to the open communion me, that nothing else is. Who would after all, they have but little concern Baptists of England than to their strict suppose that the Father holds one form communion brethren there? Not a of truth, and the Son another, but that selves as Pedobaptists-so little, that wit. Scarcely a week passes that the for the sake of peace they "agree to English papers do not publish some disagree"—that is, that they mutually of God. And if this be Christian unterly neglect to apply the test. The fact scandalous act on the part of clergy- agree to suppress the varying express- ion its profound sanctity overwhelms is, the various Pedobaptist bodies find it men of the established church refusing sions of their mind, and that they call me; its infinite tenderness moves my a moral impossibility to commune with to bury infants of Baptist parents in this being at one? The very thought whole being. The delicious conception each other, till they can settle the points consecrated ground, because, for sooth, is offensive. Christ was exclusively of its purity and preciousness, and powupon which they predicate their several they are unchristened. The honest one with the Father in doing his will, er makes me tremble with holy lawe. I existence as distinct bodies. If this is truth is, that where other Baptist prin- and our unity must be like the unity remember that when my Redeemer not correct, let me ask why Dr. Rice, ciples are held, it is a matter of no of the Father and the Son. In other bowed beneath the ponderous load of consequence with Pedobaptists whether words, Christian unity must be Scrip- my sins this holy thought soothed his Baptists are open communion or not. tural, or it is unreal, it is nothing. bleeding heart, and just before the pur-So far as appears to the contrary, you Therefore, nothing but a return of all might annihilate strict communion to- those who love the Savior to the naked day, and it would not inaugurate Chris- teachings of the Bible, as the Father tian union among the various sects. and the Son avowed those teachings, And this simply because communion at | can ever result in Christian unity. the Lord's table is not and cannot be made Christian union. Why, then, should those who plead for Christian thing to be peaceable and another to be union feel themselves at liberty to perpetually ring the changes of disunion over the heads of close communion Baptists with a sort of holy horror, as if they were the scape-goats to bear away the sins of all the religionists in of infinite thought, and that man who the world? A man that does that does not scruple to obey every part may assume great pious complacency, it is the most catholic believer. His exand may cherish his own views of his clusiveness is exclusive oneness with manliness, not to say meanness, never- Christ in obeying his will, and therefore theless. I do respect a man who sin- he partakes of the spirit of the cerely attempts to show that our baptism | catholicity of God. But no man can is not Scriptural, and meets as in a fair obey that will without sympathy with square, manly way, upon the real differ- the catholic God. Hence David, says, erences between us and others, namely, What is Bible baptism, and Who are the "when thou shalt enlarge my heart, I proper subjects for it. But when otherwise respectable man creates a false issue by attempting to show that my views of the Lord's supper are wrong, when he knows them to be his own to a shade, he must excuse me if my frankness will not allow me to respect him, as I otherwise would, if I could believe

V. What, then, is Christian Union? Ah! truly, that is a delightful question, Let me attempt to answer it. There were no sects in the apostolic church; therefore we want no union of sects in the modern church for that would be the sum total of sectarianism. But we do want a union of Christians. The prayer of Jesus is, that those "who believe on me may be one, as thou Father art in bered 55,676, that is 6,000 less, while me and I in thee, that they also may be one in us." Not "one" as men may Baptists in America have increased be one in one ecclesiastical body, but "one in us." Jesus prays to the merely a oneness of feeling-a oneness works which they do.

And then Jesus measures this Christian unity by the standard of the divine nature. "That they may be one as we are one." If this unity among Christians consists in mere heart-kindness, there is no visible oppositeness in

and we are to hold them as Jesus held Christian bodies may declare an armistice and be peaceable, but it is one united. It is a shame that one man should denounce another as exclusive, because that other more fully obeys the word of God than himself. God has expressed his will in the broadness not "when thou shalt contract," but will run in the way of thy command-

I take it. then, that the only way in which we Christians can be united is to agree that we will mutally obey whatever is positively enjoined in the New Testament, and insist upon nothing beyond that. Let each man appeal to the Bible only, and he will need to ask for no concession from his brethren Opinion will then give place to Christian faith, convenience, and preference, and expediency to divine authority. How common it is for Christians to retain their distinct peculiarities, because they are not forbidden in the word of God. This is a dangerous principle it is one of the rocks on which Christian sects split. The things that are specially required are the things that are to be done, and not the things that are not specially forbidden. Luther fell into this trap. Carlstadt demanded of him, Chambers' views of Christian union, Father that " they may be one, as we | " Where has Christ commanded us to what prospect is there of the millennium? are one." Now who would speak of elevate the host?" "Where has he But my Pedobaptist brethren say: the Trinity as a union? Yet all may forbidden it?" Luther retorted. So, because it was not positively forbidden, Luther concluded that it must be done. Our trouble springs largely from this false position. If we should only ask that each other's tastes and preferences should yield to God's word, we would soon begin to respect each others views of it, and to grow into real unity. Do you wonder that when a man asserts. for instance, that my views of baptism are Scriptural and apostolical, and then adds, that for some reason aside from their Scripturalness, he prefers something else, and requires me to give np my views in order to accommodate him, that he may unite with me-do you wonder that I resent it as an insult to my convictions? I am tempted to say: other direction. For the most part, this momentous petition. The Father "Rather give up what is a matter of indifference to you, be baptized with what you confess to be Bible baptism, means that Christians are to be one in and we are one in a moment." So far does here, in the matter of Christian the same sense; that is, one in feeling, as I know, no denomination of Chrisaction, counsel, name. So completely tians hold an immersed believer to be are the Father and the Son one, that an unbaptized man, All say, that is tion of good will towards each other, Mr. Spurgeon? But does that endear the same purposes are formed by both. gospel baptism. They sacrifice no con-Such is their identity in these respects, science in recognizing it. We hold nice she looks in her new wrapper, and even church union about it; so far from speak. Recently he has very boldly that the eye of the keenest archangel that your sprinkling and pouring, either hope it wont's hurt her much when it, indeed, that they could not meet in attested that infant baptism, so called, cannot see a shade of variation. This of a child or a believer, is not gospel she has that tooth filled. And then the church edifice of any one denomin- is unscriptural, and injurious to the is the real Picture of Christian unity, baptism, and of course we should sacri- we'll say, "good bye, Mrs. Brown ation for the purpose, but were compell- church of God; in consequence of which as Jesus hung it up in the supper cham- fice conscience in saying that it is, and come and see us some time or other,

break the "unity of the faith;" we, in not submitting to a baptism that we think contrary to the gospel, or you, in refusing to submit to one which you conscientiously believe to be taught in the gospel? If you want union, suband a pretention. This is Christian to the gospel in this thing; we have seems to me, with the open Bible before once restore the primitive "one faith, one baptism."

> These are my views of Christian union, and the method of attaining it. I can see no other in harmony with the word ple blood-drops forced their passage through every pore of his body he breathed out this intercession for every ransomed disciple, and for me-" That they all may be one, that the world may believe that thou hast sent me."

been in examence for several The Devil dead at last,

The Spanish papers narrate a fact of some gravity which occurred at Cevera, province of Rioja, on the 9th of the current month, and which we reproduce. A rich proprietor, well known in the country for his advanced opinions, refused spiritual aid on his deathbed notwithstanding the supplications of his family and the pressing of his friends. There was a moment, however, when it was thought the patient would modify his resolutions. The cure of the parish then presented himself at the bedside of the dying man, but he persisted in his refusal; the father retired precipitately, saying, in a loud voice to those around, that after the death of the reprobate the devil would come in person to search for him and lead him to hell. The family watched for two days by the corpse of the loved one they were to lose, and in a few hours he would be buried, when the door of the mortuary house opening suddenly, an indefinable being clothed in red, armed with a pitchford, smelling of burning brimstone, dragging a long tail, presented himself. At once fear and fright produced an effect. The women fainted and the men ran away or followed the women's example. However, one of the men-servants, occupied in an adjoining room, hearing shrieks and a great confusion, thought there was an attack of thieves. Seizing a loaded revolver, he went to the mortuary house. Entering there, he was terrified at the sight of the devil, but thinking it better to kill him than to be killed by him, he fired three shots at him. The howling of the apparition was changed at once into lamentations and groans, and then followed a profound silence. After some minutes the family of the dead man returning, found the sacristan of the church transformed into a demon, with three bullets in its breast and death foam on its lips. The authorities entered and made an enquiry, which gave reason for four priests being sent to prison. The corpse of the sacristan was buried next day, and the servant was set at liberty after a short detention. - Letter from Spain in English Paper.

Force of Example.

"What kind of house will we play?" asked one little girl of another. "Oh, play calling," replied the other. " Mary, here, she can be Mrs. Brown and sit on the step, and me and Julia will call on her and ask her how she is, and how her husband is, and if the baby's got over the measels, and tell her how