

MARITIME FARMER,
the most largely circulated and most popular family newspaper published in Fredericton, is issued from the Office,
Corner Queen and Carleton Streets,
Every Wednesday Afternoon.
Subscription Price - \$1.00 per Year in Advance.

The FARMER furnishes the very best medium for advertising in Central New Brunswick. Rates will be furnished on application.
Every variety of JOB PRINTING promptly executed.

LORAN C. MACGONITT,
Editor and Proprietor.

Maritime Farmer.
FREDERICTON, N. B., January 12, 1887.

Sir Charles Tupper's Return.

The gratifying intelligence is announced, that Sir Charles Tupper is returning to Canada to re-enter the Dominion Government. The news is as unexpected to the Grit party, as it is pleasing to the Conservatives. Next to Sir John A. Macdonald, Sir Charles is the ablest statesman Canada ever produced, and he is regarded as the natural successor to Sir John. To Sir Charles, more than to any other man, Canada owes a debt of gratitude, for the origin of the National Policy and the construction of the Canadian Pacific Railway. It was his indomitable pluck that carried both through. Sir Charles has rendered good service to his country in England. He planned and carried to successful issue, Canada's great part in the Colonial Exhibition, which has done our country incalculable benefit, and now that his work in that direction is accomplished, he returns still further to assist in the development of this Dominion. Sir Charles will be a most potent factor in the approaching election. He will organize the Conservative party in Nova Scotia, and drive the secessionists from their strongholds. He of all other men, can bring order out of chaos in Nova Scotia, and command the firm allegiance of his Province for the Conservative party. It is not certain what position Sir Charles will take in the Cabinet. One report says he will take the new portfolio of trade and commerce, while another says he will succeed Mr. McLellan, as Minister of Finance, but of course this is mere conjecture. However, he will prove a tower of strength to the Government, in whatever capacity he may choose to join it.

The Political Outlook.

In their excess of jubilation over the result of the Ontario elections, says an upper province contemporary, the Liberals seem to have been seized with a belief that they have also carried the day in the Dominion contest, and that there remains little to do but select the members of their Cabinet, and arrange as to who of the workers are to fill the places of the present public servants after the general clearing out their writers openly announce is to be made. Mr. Mercier and L'Etendard (queer bedfellows indeed) have each openly thanked God for the victory that was got through the operation of a gerrymander, aided by an unwarranted alarm felt by Roman Catholics at the attitude of a journal having no right to speak on behalf of the Government, whom it would be as unjust to hold responsible for the course of the Mail, as it would be to declare Mr. Blake the authority for some of the peculiar doctrines that are given prominence in the columns of the Witness, for instance. But these anticipatory Liberal triumphs will prove but fleeting ones. The conditions that most availed them in the Provinces will be many of them absent when the time for the Dominion contest comes. Then there will be no Mowat gerrymander to neutralize the effect of thousands of Conservative votes; such deals as at Ottawa, by which the Roman Catholics were befouled out of their representation, will be impossible; there will be no Grit-represented constituencies like Algoma, which the dissolution of the house does not affect, and no iniquitous party arrangement will, as in the case of Toronto, make it possible for a quarter of the electors to choose a third of the representation. In Quebec, the Riel cry, which almost carried Mr. Mercier to power, is losing its efficacy; the people see for what purpose alone it was raised. It has spent its force. In the Maritime Provinces, the outlook for the Conservatives is as satisfactory as can be wished for, without creating that over confidence that is not seldom the cause of the defeat of the strongest party. The best men in the ranks have been selected as standard bearers, men for the most part who have already carried their constituencies by emphatic majorities, in face of the utmost efforts their opponents could put forth, and barren as are the Liberals in leaders in this section of the Dominion, the next Parliament promises to see them out an even more pitiable figure. In the Northwest, the indications are all one way. A fair harvest has been reaped, and the mouths of the Farmer's union agitators are, for the present, stopped. The railway is in active operation across the continent, and extensions to open up the country are making progress. Population is increasing, capital is being invested in the development of latent natural resources, and the people are prosperous and contented. In Manitoba, in the Territories, and in British Columbia also, it would not be surprising if not one follower of Mr. Blake found a seat. And then, the country over, there is the broad difference between the policies of the two parties, which opponents of the Government will not be able to detract attention from, by raising local issues. The Conservatives can point to the growth in the manufactures of the country, and the necessarily increased employment given to the people, which has marked the period of their rule; to the development of the railway system, which they have aided and will continue to aid, and in which every section of the Dominion has shared; to the growth of the population, as shown in the statistics of the chief cities; to the more than satisfactory condition of business, evidenced by the trade returns, and the ac-

GENERAL NEWS BUDGET.

The Doings of the Week Concisely Chronicled.
The fashionable ladies of Paris no longer wear earrings.
Victoria, Australia, has 150,000 tons of wheat to export.
Hon. Boulanger, Minister of War, has ordered 300,000 muskets to be made in France.
The town nowadays that has not a toboggan slide must be going down hill.
The immigration to the United States during 1886, has been about 400,000 souls.
The total vote of the city of Toronto is 16,000, an increase of 1,000 over 1885.
The jubilee of Queen Victoria's reign will be celebrated throughout India on Feb. 6th.
The smallest amount of shipbuilding in Maine for ten years has been done this year.
Advices from Mandalay state that the powerful Burmese Chief Hlozo has submitted to the British.
The census of France for 1886 shows a total population of 38,218,963, against 37,204,818 in 1881.
The Marquis of Londonderry, Lord Lisburne of Ireland, offered to sell his Irish estates to the tenants.
The number of gallons of spirits produced from grain in the United States in the last fiscal year was 1,000,000,000.
The number of business failures in the United States for 1886, was 9,234, the total liabilities amounting to \$114,000,000.
The United Kingdom contributes about eight million pounds sterling to the expenditure for its year's military operations.
Boston has decided that the toboggan slide is not pretty, and makes its fair wearer "look like a package of North American Indian."
The daily consumption of milk in Boston is 45,000 gallons, and it is said, if the quality was good a much larger quantity would be used.
Two hundred ministers of Glasgow, Scotland, of different denominations, have united in a effort to reach the non-church-going classes.
The Methodists of England are divided into Wesleyans, Primitive Methodists, United Methodist Free Church, and Methodist New Connection.
The Russian Military Commission has decided to adopt a new repeating rifle for use by the army, and orders for large numbers have been given.
The Washington Post says the largest cheque ever passed in the United States was for \$2,000,000, which W. K. Vanderbilt paid for the Nickel Plate railroad.
North Carolina has twenty negroes who are worth from \$10,000 to \$30,000 each.
John McKee, a Philadelphia negro, is worth \$1,000,000, and owns 400 houses.
The Dublin Express asserts that Archbishop Walsh is being boycotted by the Catholics of the upper classes because he supports the Nationalist plan of campaign.
The carrier pigeons service in Paris is now most carefully organized, and 2,500 trained birds, which can take dispatches in an hour out of the capital in the roughest weather.
Lord Randolph Churchill now explains that his resignation was caused by a desire to prepare for a general election, in which he should be made to make the Conservative party independent of Liberal support.
For Coughs, Colds, Croup, Congestion of the Throat, and all diseases of the Throat and Lungs, *Baird's Balsam of Horshorn* will give immediate relief as if by magic. Sold by all dealers. Price 25 cents.

Mr. Adam's Nomination.

The Conservatives of the County of Northumberland, have done well in nominating Hon. Michael Adams, for the House of Commons, although we regret that his acceptance necessitates his withdrawal from the local house. Mr. Adams as a public man, stands high in the estimation of his fellow countrymen, and he will do honor to his native county in the Commons. Mr. Adams is a strong candidate, and with earnest work by his friends, will defeat Mr. Snowball, the Grit nominee. He is personally known to the great majority of the electors, and his popularity extends far beyond his acquaintance. Mr. Adams although a young man, is an experienced politician. He was first elected to the Assembly when a mere youth, in 1870, holding his seat till 1874, when he was defeated, his only reverse, on the school question. In 1878 he was re-elected, and immediately afterwards he accepted the office of Surveyor-General in the Fraser Government. Returning to his county, his course was endorsed by re-election by acclamation. Mr. Adams was again re-elected in 1882, and in 1886, on both occasions leading the poll by large majorities. He held the office of Surveyor-General till the fall of the Hannington-Landry Government in March 1883, and in that responsible position, he won the respect and admiration of even his political enemies. It is safe to say, that no more successful administrator of the Crown Lands Department than Mr. Adams, ever sat in the Government of this Province. As a speaker, Mr. Adams is eloquent, courteous and witty, ready in repartee, incisive, and attractive. In the Assembly he was always sure of crowded galleries and an attentive audience. Personally, he is generous to a fault, warm hearted, and ready to bury animosities. The FARMER wishes Mr. Adams unbounded success in his present campaign, both for personal and political reasons.

Halifax Mail.

By the nomination of Hon. Michael Adams as their standard bearer, the Liberal Conservative party of Northumberland, N. B., have rendered two excellent services to the country. They have given Mr. Peter Mitchell his quietus, and they have nominated as their candidate, and will doubtless elect one of the most talented and popular young men in the Maritime Provinces. Mr. Adams has been in the New Brunswick Local Legislature for some years; and has distinguished himself there both as a member of the Government and as a prominent member of the Opposition. His entrance into the wider sphere of Dominion politics will be regarded with unqualified satisfaction by his numerous friends, who are by no means confined to one Province. We congratulate Northumberland on what it has done, and trust that it will on election day give Mr. Adams as large a majority as his personal good qualities, and the strength of his cause deserve.

Mincere.

A Montreal French Conservative paper, announces officially that the proprietors of the *Toronto Mail* having refused to discontinue their attacks upon the Catholic element, and especially French Canadians, party leaders determined to establish a new journal at Toronto, which will be the re-organized organ of the Conservative party.

Sir Charles Tupper may contest Halifax for the Commons, and in that case, Mr. J. Jones and Mr. W. S. Fielding will have to take a back seat.

We say it advisedly, that Sir Charles can carry any constituency in Nova Scotia. The French Government has decided to increase this year's extraordinary military credit from 50,000,000 to 86,000,000 francs, while there, 1,000 settled in Nova Scotia, and were an unusually valuable class of settlers.

Mayor Fenety was elected Monday by acclamation.

We learned that His Worship and another prominent citizen proposed making a splendid gift to the city, before the close of the present year. The Dominion Government has been notified, that it is the intention of the War Office to purchase 300 additional horses in Canada next season, the last purchase having proved satisfactory.

The Conservatives of Hants Co., N. S., have nominated Alfred Putnam, ex-M. P., a large shipowner of Maitland, for the Commons.

The present M. P., is Henry Allison. Mowat has lost one supporter in the Ontario Assembly, Dr. Dowling, M. P. P. for South Renfrew, having been counted out.

The FARMER regrets to hear of the continued serious illness of Hon. D. L. Hannington, at his home in Dorchester.

The Governor General of Canada was offered a seat in the Salisbury Cabinet, and declined.

Sir John has four superior Court judgments at his disposal in Quebec.

Sir John A. Macdonald is seventy-two years old to day.

The Ministerial Tour.

Hon. Messrs. Costigan, Bowell and Foster, Address enthusiastic meetings in Northumberland, Carleton, Victoria and Kings.

Mr. Costigan's Warm Reception by his Upriver Constituents.

According to the popular wish, Hon. Mr. Costigan, Minister of Inland Revenue, Hon. Mackenzie Bowell, Minister of Customs, and Hon. Geo. E. Foster, Minister of Marine and Fisheries, during the past week, addressed political meetings in four counties of this Province, making six times to large and representative audiences.

IN NORTHUMBERLAND.

The opening meeting of the tour was held at Chatham last Wednesday night. Hon. Mr. Adams was nominated for the Commons by the Conservatives of Northumberland. The Chatham Masonic Hall was packed to its full capacity, and the meeting was so large that no more could effect an entrance. Hon. Mr. Foster spoke first, and reviewed Davis' Chatham speech in a masterly manner, and by his brilliant and incisive remarks, he increased in the Savings Bank deposits, the increase in the volume of trade, and the improvements in other respects justifying the credit which had been given to the Government. Failures, which averaged \$200,000 under Mackenzie had been reduced to \$11,000,000 under Sir John. The country credit had risen from 1879, and a name and fame which had been referred to some of the fruits of the exhibition, implying to Davis' charge of personal corruption on the part of ministers, he challenged him to a public trial, and denounced him as a public slanderer. The religious cry had not been raised by Sir John but by the grins in joining with the Rielites. He showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have entertained the thought. Foster replied to Davis in detail, dispersed the Rielites, and showed that the Rielites were not a public trial, and he showed the direct falseness of Davis' statement that the franchise act gives the ballot to the Northwest Indians. Such was the nature of the article of proof, and he raised a rebellion, but no man who had a man would have