

FREDERICTON, N. B., February 13, 1889.

Who is the Herald's "Investigator?"

The letters of "Investigator" on the political situation in New Brunswick, one of which we publish in part to-day, are causing much discussion in this province as well as in Nova Scotia. There is considerable speculation as to the authorship of the letters, which so far as we can judge show a wonderfully correct knowledge of the matters of which they treat. Up to the present, the name of nearly as many persons have been mentioned as the writer of them, as have been charged at different times with the authorship of the letters. Soon after "Investigator" wrote from St. John, we heard on alleged good authority, that the writer was Mr. McCready of the Telegraph, because the letter paid a well deserved compliment to that gentleman for the able manner in which he was dealing with the taxation question. Later on, the report came from St. John that there was good reason to believe that Mr. Payne of the Sun was the real "Investigator." The ridiculous statement was made that Mr. Payne was jealous of his co-worker, Mr. Scott, and had gone out of his way to say something very friendly in the Herald about Mr. McCready and at the same time not forget to mention his own strong points as a journalist. When the Herald's commissioner wrote from a northern county, former theories regarding the authorship were discouraged, and many there, we are credibly informed, pointed to Mr. John Sievright as the writer. The latter, and Mr. K. F. Burns, it was said, were great friends, and it was charged that Mr. Sievright had written the letters to boom Mr. Burns for the vacant senatorship. It remained for a tri-weekly publication, here, however, to make the most ridiculous guess of all as to who is the Herald's "Investigator?" It actually charges that Mr. Blair, through his private secretary, has written or dictated the series of letters from newly arrived in the province so as to stab a man who is so politically dead in this county, that his name would never be mentioned if he did not every now and then obtrude himself on an over-generous public. Mr. Risteen has thought it worth his while to send a copy of the letters to the editor of the Atlantic. He has written at the dictation of Mr. Blair, or otherwise, the Herald's articles, and his well written letter appears elsewhere in this issue. We see that "Investigator" writing from Calais, Maine, makes a complimentary reference to our local newspaper, the Evening Free Press, and to Brother Clarke of the Courier, and we soon expect to hear that Mr. Clarke has written all the Herald's articles, his object being to get a chance of telling the people of Nova Scotia how clever he is. Capt. Cropley has published some of the Herald's articles, and his paper has spoken very highly of the ability of the now aged legislator, and the writer. We understand that the captain has good reason to believe that "Investigator" is a well known commercial traveller of natural newspaper ability; and we are inclined to believe that Mr. Cropley has sent him the mail on the head. One thing is certain from all the different articles which he has written, and he is becoming more interesting all the time; and while not so blood thirsty as "Jack the Ripper" his movements are quite as mysterious. Nobody seems to have seen him in New Brunswick, and yet he writes as intelligently of our politics from all the different counties as though he had lived in each county all his life. We trust that "Investigator" will not speak too friendly, if at all, of ourselves or we may find that we are also accused of being the Herald's special commissioner in New Brunswick.

A Mean Attack.

The Reporter makes a very mean and malicious attack in its last issue on Mr. J. A. Vanwart, because he appears in defence of the parties charged in the police court, with violation of the Scott Act. The Reporter alleges that it is inconsistent on Mr. Vanwart's part to accept a fee for his defence, inasmuch as he appears the champion of a cause his position in social and moral matters disavows. This is certainly a very peculiar style of journalism. Is a lawyer to be attacked in the public prints because in his professional capacity he accepts a retainer strictly in line with the business? The Scott Act is a law, and we take it that when it is broken, a lawyer has the same right to appear for the offender as he would were the latter charged with the violation of any other law, and nothing but extreme fanaticism would put forward an opposite view. If the Reporter's views were carried through the calendar of offences, it would be wrong for a lawyer to accept a fee from a thief, a murderer, or any other offender under the law, and thus is the absurdity of its contention clearly shown. We do not see where the apologist of Mr. Vanwart, nor do we desire to see Mr. Vanwart, nor do we desire one, but a spirit of fair play has suggested this in reference to the Reporter's assault upon a very worthy member of the bar, and a gentleman who deserves better treatment than he has received at our contemporary's hands. Mr. Vanwart's private and professional reputation can stand any amount of such attacks.

A Comparison.

The following sums were received from the Dominion Government for advertising by Fredericton newspapers during the past year:— Religious Intelligencer, \$394 09; Reporter, 298 75; Capital, 245 00; Farmer, 208 85; Temperance Journal, 91 00. If these newspapers received the above amounts as rewards for political services, it is clear that Brother McLeod, who runs a religious paper is the biggest "boodler" of the lot, that Mr. Pitts, who boasts of independence ranks next, and that the Farmer with the exception of the Temperance Journal, is the least guilty of any.

The Dominion voters' lists are to be revised this year. Notice is given in the Royal Gazette that application is to be made by Alexander Gibson, John R. McConnell and Chas. H. Hatt for letters patent in incorporation of themselves into a company to be known as "The Alexander Gibson & Sons (Limited)." The object for which incorporation is sought is: "To carry on the business of dealing in all descriptions of cotton and woolen goods and other such like fabrics, and the manufacture of bricks and the erection of works, buildings and structures, and the business of the company is to be carried on at the place known as the 'Marsville' and the capital stock \$3,000,000, in 30,000 shares of \$100 each. The three first named of the applicants are to be provisional directors of the company.

Gathered from every Quarter.

The Haldimand election is to be protested. Hon. J. H. Pope, Minister of Railways, is very seriously ill at Ottawa. The Winnipeg Free Press has concluded the purchase of the Call and the latter ceased publication. Peter Duffy is Mr. Emmerson's opponent in the Albert election which occurs on the 23rd inst.

The total number of settlers in the Dominion last year was 88,700, an increase of 4,200 over 1887.

Dr. D. H. Muir was elected mayor of Toronto last week defeating Dr. Bent by an overwhelming majority.

Mr. Ellis has introduced in the Commons a bill to authorize the taxation of civil service incomes for municipal and school purposes.

Division No. 1, A. O. H. Woodstock intend to celebrate St. Patrick's Day by a banquet in their rooms. Speeches, music, songs, &c., will entertain the occasion.

Chas. Schroeder, formerly proprietor of the Parker House in Toronto, has returned to the States. He left Toronto several months ago to seek better health in the United States.

Hector Langevin celebrates the 23rd anniversary of his appointment to the position of cabinet minister on March 20th. He will be entertained at a banquet at Ottawa by his admirers.

John Livingstone, editor of the Toronto Empire, who has been quite seriously ill, is considerably better and is already doing considerable work. Mr. Farrar of the Mail progresses slowly.

The Montreal Action regrets to learn that Hon. D. Hastings of Shelburne Falls, fell out of bed the other night and received serious injuries. Mr. Hastings is upwards of 80 years of age.

In Parliament, Monday, Hon. John Haggart, postmaster general, in answer to an enquiry, said it was not present the intention of the government to reduce the rate of postage to two cents.

Rev. Dr. Macrae of St. John, sustained a painful accident on Saturday afternoon, within a few feet of his residence. He was walking along the icy sidewalk, and slipping, fell on the ground, breaking both bones of the left arm at the wrist.

For the restoration of faded and gray hair to its original color and freshness, Ayer's Hair Vigor remains unrivaled. This is the most popular preparation in the world; all who use it are perfectly satisfied that it is the best.

Mr. Mills, M. P. for Annapolis, has presented a petition to the governor general praying for the pardon of the late Henry Phillippe for alleged implication in the Tobique river shooting affray, in August last.

W. C. Drury, registrar of probates and registrar of deeds and wills, St. John, and brother of Lady Allen, has been granted six months leave of absence, and will shortly set sail for the Atlantic.

The frame of Hon. Gains S. Turner's new ship at Harvey Albert Co. is completed and both for model and excellent workmanship is said to be equal to the best ever framed in the Dominion.

The vessel will be launched in May, or first part of June next.

The spoils and goblins that delight to fill with terror the night, That stalk abroad in hidden dreams, Will never trouble with their ill, The man who trusts in Pierce's Pills.

Dr. Pierce's Pleasant Purgative Pellets—vegetable, harmless, painless, sure.

Miss Gertrude Smith, who eloped from Halifax last summer with Rev. Mr. Hutchinson, is now living in England, having separated from her husband. Her father, it is said, supports her, but never sees her. Hutchinson is understood to be in the United States.

What Toronto's well-known Good Samaritan says: "I have been troubled with Dyspepsia and Liver Complaint for over 20 years, and I have tried many remedies, but never with success, until I used Dr. Williams' Pink Pills for Pale People. I feel like a new man, and my health is now as good as that of a young man."

Dr. Williams' Pink Pills for Pale People. Dr. Williams' Pink Pills for Pale People.

Hugh G. Lumsden, chief engineer of construction of the Short Line railway, arrived at Ottawa on the 6th inst. He says the line is completed to Mattawamkeag, except that a little blasting will yet require to be done. He is not prepared, however, to commence the running of trains until May.

An Ottawa despatch says that Senator Vawter is pressing upon the government the advisability of purchasing and making free of the board of the St. John's Railway bridge. It is contended that by the government taking this action through rates per carload could be reduced about \$5.

All beds seem hard to the rheumatic. Then sufferer's relief is found in Dr. Thomas' Electric Oil to your aching joints and muscles. Rely on it you will experience speedy relief. Such, at least, is the testimony of those who have used it. The remedy is likewise successfully resorted to for throat and lung diseases, sprains, bruises, &c.

The statement telegraphed from Ottawa to some Maritime Province papers, notably the Halifax Chronicle, that Principal Blair, during the capital recently, said unrestricted reciprocity would be an immense benefit to Canada, is absolutely false. What Principal Blair really said was that he recognized the impossibility of securing it. Not only did he consider unrestricted reciprocity to be the most desirable, but he actually advocated a discriminatory tariff by Canada in favor of Great Britain, and vice versa.

A horrible tragedy took place at Montreal at an early hour Saturday morning in the boarding house kept by Mrs. Jones. A room in the upper part was occupied by Luther McGrath, a mulatto waiter in the Balmoral Hotel, and his wife, who is an educated woman and the sister of the late Hon. John A. Macdonald. The opposite room was held by Billy Holden, who, coming home at midnight half intoxicated, was accompanied by a girl of questionable character. After drinking together the girl left, and it appears Mrs. McGrath's husband was alone. Mrs. McGrath's husband was alone. Mrs. McGrath's husband was alone.

The body of a woman concealed in a wooden chest found in a chest Monday by police in Dundee. Her abdomen was ripped open and the body otherwise mutilated. The chest was so small the murderer had been compelled to squeeze the body into it. The husband of the woman has been arrested on suspicion. A despatch from Dundee says the murderer of the woman whose mutilated remains were found in a chest there Monday, is W. H. Burr, husband of the victim. Burr was a resident of Whitechapel, London and his antecedents suggest he is probably the murderer of the woman.

It is said all over the world. It is far superior to plain Old Liver Oil, palatable and easily digested. Dr. Martin Miller, Stanton, Ohio, has prescribed St. Emulsion, and taken it myself. It is palatable, efficient, and can be tolerated by almost anyone, especially where the system is debilitated. Sold by all Druggists, 50c and \$1.00.

St. Emulsion of Pure Cod Liver Oil and Hypophosphites. Sold by all Druggists, 50c and \$1.00.

St. Emulsion of Pure Cod Liver Oil and Hypophosphites. Sold by all Druggists, 50c and \$1.00.

St. Emulsion of Pure Cod Liver Oil and Hypophosphites. Sold by all Druggists, 50c and \$1.00.

St. Emulsion of Pure Cod Liver Oil and Hypophosphites. Sold by all Druggists, 50c and \$1.00.

St. Emulsion of Pure Cod Liver Oil and Hypophosphites. Sold by all Druggists, 50c and \$1.00.

St. Emulsion of Pure Cod Liver Oil and Hypophosphites. Sold by all Druggists, 50c and \$1.00.

A Breezy Communication from our well informed Correspondent.

Laurier Sails for Dewdney, And is Answered by the G. O. M.

Tales and Tattle of the House and Corridor.

Important Social Events.

(Special Correspondence of the Farmer.)

OTTAWA, Feb. 13. The opposition caucus held in the first of the week, apparently did not amount to much, yet it will now be a matter of surprise if they do not meet again in the near future. The opposition caucus held in the first of the week, apparently did not amount to much, yet it will now be a matter of surprise if they do not meet again in the near future.

On Wednesday last Sir Richard Cartwright gave notice of his intention to propose a resolution to the effect that the Government and Parliament of Canada should petition Her Majesty for the power of negotiating commercial treaties with foreign states. It is a well-known fact that Sir Richard is in favor of Canadian independence, and this resolution which he proposes may be safely set down as the thin edge of the wedge which he fondly imagines will force his way into popular opinion in Canada. He is, of course, just how far the party will follow the gallant knight. Mr. Blake and Mr. Laurier will, no doubt, endorse his proposal, but it will not be surprising if some of the liberals on the back benches kick over the traces. However, that is their own funeral. I think there can be little doubt that one of the most lively debates of the season will occur this resolution of the ex-Finance Minister for an explanation of the late changes in the cabinet. Sir John did not wait for the formal question, but volunteered the required information.

Mr. Laurier was quite happy in his congratulations to the new occupants of office. Of Mr. Foster, Mr. Haggart and Mr. Tupper he was almost eulogistic, but on the newly appointed MINISTER OF THE INTERIOR he poured the vials of his wrath. And here let me say a word or two about Mr. Laurier. He is with the exception of Sir John, perhaps the admired man in Parliament, and deservedly so. His appearance is very prepossessing, and he has been more than once pronounced a handsome gentleman of a young Sir John. His manner is courteous and affable. As a private gentleman, I know of no one more popular, more friendly, than the most persistent Tories acknowledge willingly that he is a thorough gentleman. Of the four or five hundred members and citizens who were presented to Lord and Lady Stanley in the Senate Chamber on Saturday evening, I know of no one more popular, more friendly, than the most persistent Tories acknowledge willingly that he is a thorough gentleman.

He speaks the best of English with just enough French accent to add an extra charm to his utterance. In fact the leader of the Opposition is an orator, and while he holds him to be deeply, extravagantly in error, no one for a moment imagines he is not sincere. When then Mr. Laurier devoted his hour to what amounts to an impeachment of Mr. Dewdney, I can assure you there were many people in the House who, during that hour, were more than cared about being transformed into the minister of the Interior. It was Warren Hastings, who said during Burke's eloquent attack on Hastings, that he was so overcome by his opponent's eloquence, that he really almost imagined himself to be the villain, which Burke endeavored to portray. It would be no exaggeration to say that Mr. Laurier viewed his appointment with the greatest alarm. He held him responsible for all the sorrow and woe of the country.

He accused him of carelessness, indifference and almost brutality, and he said there had been a day in the history of England and of this country when such a man would have been impeached at the bar of the House. When Laurier said everyone agreed that he had made a master speech, yet after Sir John's reply it was evident that his remarks were characterized by more forensic than statesmanlike qualities. In fact the sense or common loyalty. The Premier rubbed in the sympathies of Laurier during the rebellion, which were more warm than loyal. He defended Mr. Dewdney as a man entirely guiltless of all blame, it blame there was, as a man from his intimate knowledge of the Northwest, especially fit for the onerous duties he has been called upon to perform. He was a man of great energy, unbounded, unwarmed, ungenerous. "Who is to blame?" some Opposition member asked. "The Government of the day and I myself," Sir John was answered, and well did he defend his colleague, so well that Mr. Dewdney will gain rather than lose by Mr. Laurier's little remarks. Sir Richard followed in the Premier's footsteps, coarse, abusive and ill-natured. With one sentence he lifted the burden of blame off Mr. Dewdney and placed on Sir John, who, in the modern country, had actually advanced a discriminatory tariff by Canada in favor of Great Britain, and vice versa.

Mr. Laurier's attack on Mr. Dewdney was a masterpiece of forensic art. He accused him of carelessness, indifference and almost brutality, and he said there had been a day in the history of England and of this country when such a man would have been impeached at the bar of the House. When Laurier said everyone agreed that he had made a master speech, yet after Sir John's reply it was evident that his remarks were characterized by more forensic than statesmanlike qualities. In fact the sense or common loyalty. The Premier rubbed in the sympathies of Laurier during the rebellion, which were more warm than loyal. He defended Mr. Dewdney as a man entirely guiltless of all blame, it blame there was, as a man from his intimate knowledge of the Northwest, especially fit for the onerous duties he has been called upon to perform. He was a man of great energy, unbounded, unwarmed, ungenerous. "Who is to blame?" some Opposition member asked. "The Government of the day and I myself," Sir John was answered, and well did he defend his colleague, so well that Mr. Dewdney will gain rather than lose by Mr. Laurier's little remarks. Sir Richard followed in the Premier's footsteps, coarse, abusive and ill-natured. With one sentence he lifted the burden of blame off Mr. Dewdney and placed on Sir John, who, in the modern country, had actually advanced a discriminatory tariff by Canada in favor of Great Britain, and vice versa.

Mr. Laurier's attack on Mr. Dewdney was a masterpiece of forensic art. He accused him of carelessness, indifference and almost brutality, and he said there had been a day in the history of England and of this country when such a man would have been impeached at the bar of the House. When Laurier said everyone agreed that he had made a master speech, yet after Sir John's reply it was evident that his remarks were characterized by more forensic than statesmanlike qualities. In fact the sense or common loyalty. The Premier rubbed in the sympathies of Laurier during the rebellion, which were more warm than loyal. He defended Mr. Dewdney as a man entirely guiltless of all blame, it blame there was, as a man from his intimate knowledge of the Northwest, especially fit for the onerous duties he has been called upon to perform. He was a man of great energy, unbounded, unwarmed, ungenerous. "Who is to blame?" some Opposition member asked. "The Government of the day and I myself," Sir John was answered, and well did he defend his colleague, so well that Mr. Dewdney will gain rather than lose by Mr. Laurier's little remarks. Sir Richard followed in the Premier's footsteps, coarse, abusive and ill-natured. With one sentence he lifted the burden of blame off Mr. Dewdney and placed on Sir John, who, in the modern country, had actually advanced a discriminatory tariff by Canada in favor of Great Britain, and vice versa.

Mr. Laurier's attack on Mr. Dewdney was a masterpiece of forensic art. He accused him of carelessness, indifference and almost brutality, and he said there had been a day in the history of England and of this country when such a man would have been impeached at the bar of the House. When Laurier said everyone agreed that he had made a master speech, yet after Sir John's reply it was evident that his remarks were characterized by more forensic than statesmanlike qualities. In fact the sense or common loyalty. The Premier rubbed in the sympathies of Laurier during the rebellion, which were more warm than loyal. He defended Mr. Dewdney as a man entirely guiltless of all blame, it blame there was, as a man from his intimate knowledge of the Northwest, especially fit for the onerous duties he has been called upon to perform. He was a man of great energy, unbounded, unwarmed, ungenerous. "Who is to blame?" some Opposition member asked. "The Government of the day and I myself," Sir John was answered, and well did he defend his colleague, so well that Mr. Dewdney will gain rather than lose by Mr. Laurier's little remarks. Sir Richard followed in the Premier's footsteps, coarse, abusive and ill-natured. With one sentence he lifted the burden of blame off Mr. Dewdney and placed on Sir John, who, in the modern country, had actually advanced a discriminatory tariff by Canada in favor of Great Britain, and vice versa.

Mr. Laurier's attack on Mr. Dewdney was a masterpiece of forensic art. He accused him of carelessness, indifference and almost brutality, and he said there had been a day in the history of England and of this country when such a man would have been impeached at the bar of the House. When Laurier said everyone agreed that he had made a master speech, yet after Sir John's reply it was evident that his remarks were characterized by more forensic than statesmanlike qualities. In fact the sense or common loyalty. The Premier rubbed in the sympathies of Laurier during the rebellion, which were more warm than loyal. He defended Mr. Dewdney as a man entirely guiltless of all blame, it blame there was, as a man from his intimate knowledge of the Northwest, especially fit for the onerous duties he has been called upon to perform. He was a man of great energy, unbounded, unwarmed, ungenerous. "Who is to blame?" some Opposition member asked. "The Government of the day and I myself," Sir John was answered, and well did he defend his colleague, so well that Mr. Dewdney will gain rather than lose by Mr. Laurier's little remarks. Sir Richard followed in the Premier's footsteps, coarse, abusive and ill-natured. With one sentence he lifted the burden of blame off Mr. Dewdney and placed on Sir John, who, in the modern country, had actually advanced a discriminatory tariff by Canada in favor of Great Britain, and vice versa.

Mr. Laurier's attack on Mr. Dewdney was a masterpiece of forensic art. He accused him of carelessness, indifference and almost brutality, and he said there had been a day in the history of England and of this country when such a man would have been impeached at the bar of the House. When Laurier said everyone agreed that he had made a master speech, yet after Sir John's reply it was evident that his remarks were characterized by more forensic than statesmanlike qualities. In fact the sense or common loyalty. The Premier rubbed in the sympathies of Laurier during the rebellion, which were more warm than loyal. He defended Mr. Dewdney as a man entirely guiltless of all blame, it blame there was, as a man from his intimate knowledge of the Northwest, especially fit for the onerous duties he has been called upon to perform. He was a man of great energy, unbounded, unwarmed, ungenerous. "Who is to blame?" some Opposition member asked. "The Government of the day and I myself," Sir John was answered, and well did he defend his colleague, so well that Mr. Dewdney will gain rather than lose by Mr. Laurier's little remarks. Sir Richard followed in the Premier's footsteps, coarse, abusive and ill-natured. With one sentence he lifted the burden of blame off Mr. Dewdney and placed on Sir John, who, in the modern country, had actually advanced a discriminatory tariff by Canada in favor of Great Britain, and vice versa.

Mr. Laurier's attack on Mr. Dewdney was a masterpiece of forensic art. He accused him of carelessness, indifference and almost brutality, and he said there had been a day in the history of England and of this country when such a man would have been impeached at the bar of the House. When Laurier said everyone agreed that he had made a master speech, yet after Sir John's reply it was evident that his remarks were characterized by more forensic than statesmanlike qualities. In fact the sense or common loyalty. The Premier rubbed in the sympathies of Laurier during the rebellion, which were more warm than loyal. He defended Mr. Dewdney as a man entirely guiltless of all blame, it blame there was, as a man from his intimate knowledge of the Northwest, especially fit for the onerous duties he has been called upon to perform. He was a man of great energy, unbounded, unwarmed, ungenerous. "Who is to blame?" some Opposition member asked. "The Government of the day and I myself," Sir John was answered, and well did he defend his colleague, so well that Mr. Dewdney will gain rather than lose by Mr. Laurier's little remarks. Sir Richard followed in the Premier's footsteps, coarse, abusive and ill-natured. With one sentence he lifted the burden of blame off Mr. Dewdney and placed on Sir John, who, in the modern country, had actually advanced a discriminatory tariff by Canada in favor of Great Britain, and vice versa.

Mr. Laurier's attack on Mr. Dewdney was a masterpiece of forensic art. He accused him of carelessness, indifference and almost brutality, and he said there had been a day in the history of England and of this country when such a man would have been impeached at the bar of the House. When Laurier said everyone agreed that he had made a master speech, yet after Sir John's reply it was evident that his remarks were characterized by more forensic than statesmanlike qualities. In fact the sense or common loyalty. The Premier rubbed in the sympathies of Laurier during the rebellion, which were more warm than loyal. He defended Mr. Dewdney as a man entirely guiltless of all blame, it blame there was, as a man from his intimate knowledge of the Northwest, especially fit for the onerous duties he has been called upon to perform. He was a man of great energy, unbounded, unwarmed, ungenerous. "Who is to blame?" some Opposition member asked. "The Government of the day and I myself," Sir John was answered, and well did he defend his colleague, so well that Mr. Dewdney will gain rather than lose by Mr. Laurier's little remarks. Sir Richard followed in the Premier's footsteps, coarse, abusive and ill-natured. With one sentence he lifted the burden of blame off Mr. Dewdney and placed on Sir John, who, in the modern country, had actually advanced a discriminatory tariff by Canada in favor of Great Britain, and vice versa.

Mr. Laurier's attack on Mr. Dewdney was a masterpiece of forensic art. He accused him of carelessness, indifference and almost brutality, and he said there had been a day in the history of England and of this country when such a man would have been impeached at the bar of the House. When Laurier said everyone agreed that he had made a master speech, yet after Sir John's reply it was evident that his remarks were characterized by more forensic than statesmanlike qualities. In fact the sense or common loyalty. The Premier rubbed in the sympathies of Laurier during the rebellion, which were more warm than loyal. He defended Mr. Dewdney as a man entirely guiltless of all blame, it blame there was, as a man from his intimate knowledge of the Northwest, especially fit for the onerous duties he has been called upon to perform. He was a man of great energy, unbounded, unwarmed, ungenerous. "Who is to blame?" some Opposition member asked. "The Government of the day and I myself," Sir John was answered, and well did he defend his colleague, so well that Mr. Dewdney will gain rather than lose by Mr. Laurier's little remarks. Sir Richard followed in the Premier's footsteps, coarse, abusive and ill-natured. With one sentence he lifted the burden of blame off Mr. Dewdney and placed on Sir John, who, in the modern country, had actually advanced a discriminatory tariff by Canada in favor of Great Britain, and vice versa.

Mr. Laurier's attack on Mr. Dewdney was a masterpiece of forensic art. He accused him of carelessness, indifference and almost brutality, and he said there had been a day in the history of England and of this country when such a man would have been impeached at the bar of the House. When Laurier said everyone agreed that he had made a master speech, yet after Sir John's reply it was evident that his remarks were characterized by more forensic than statesmanlike qualities. In fact the sense or common loyalty. The Premier rubbed in the sympathies of Laurier during the rebellion, which were more warm than loyal. He defended Mr. Dewdney as a man entirely guiltless of all blame, it blame there was, as a man from his intimate knowledge of the Northwest, especially fit for the onerous duties he has been called upon to perform. He was a man of great energy, unbounded, unwarmed, ungenerous. "Who is to blame?" some Opposition member asked. "The Government of the day and I myself," Sir John was answered, and well did he defend his colleague, so well that Mr. Dewdney will gain rather than lose by Mr. Laurier's little remarks. Sir Richard followed in the Premier's footsteps, coarse, abusive and ill-natured. With one sentence he lifted the burden of blame off Mr. Dewdney and placed on Sir John, who, in the modern country, had actually advanced a discriminatory tariff by Canada in favor of Great Britain, and vice versa.

Mr. Laurier's attack on Mr. Dewdney was a masterpiece of forensic art. He accused him of carelessness, indifference and almost brutality, and he said there had been a day in the history of England and of this country when such a man would have been impeached at the bar of the House. When Laurier said everyone agreed that he had made a master speech, yet after Sir John's reply it was evident that his remarks were characterized by more forensic than statesmanlike qualities. In fact the sense or common loyalty. The Premier rubbed in the sympathies of Laurier during the rebellion, which were more warm than loyal. He defended Mr. Dewdney as a man entirely guiltless of all blame, it blame there was, as a man from his intimate knowledge of the Northwest, especially fit for the onerous duties he has been called upon to perform. He was a man of great energy, unbounded, unwarmed, ungenerous. "Who is to blame?" some Opposition member asked. "The Government of the day and I myself," Sir John was answered, and well did he defend his colleague, so well that Mr. Dewdney will gain rather than lose by Mr. Laurier's little remarks. Sir Richard followed in the Premier's footsteps, coarse, abusive and ill-natured. With one sentence he lifted the burden of blame off Mr. Dewdney and placed on Sir John, who, in the modern country, had actually advanced a discriminatory tariff by Canada in favor of Great Britain, and vice versa.

Mr. Laurier's attack on Mr. Dewdney was a masterpiece of forensic art. He accused him of carelessness, indifference and almost brutality, and he said there had been a day in the history of England and of this country when such a man would have been impeached at the bar of the House. When Laurier said everyone agreed that he had made a master speech, yet after Sir John's reply it was evident that his remarks were characterized by more forensic than statesmanlike qualities. In fact the sense or common loyalty. The Premier rubbed in the sympathies of Laurier during the rebellion, which were more warm than loyal. He defended Mr. Dewdney as a man entirely guiltless of all blame, it blame there was, as a man from his intimate knowledge of the Northwest, especially fit for the onerous duties he has been called upon to perform. He was a man of great energy, unbounded, unwarmed, ungenerous. "Who is to blame?" some Opposition member asked. "The Government of the day and I myself," Sir John was answered, and well did he defend his colleague, so well that Mr. Dewdney will gain rather than lose by Mr. Laurier's little remarks. Sir Richard followed in the Premier's footsteps, coarse, abusive and ill-natured. With one sentence he lifted the burden of blame off Mr. Dewdney and placed on Sir John, who, in the modern country, had actually advanced a discriminatory tariff by Canada in favor of Great Britain, and vice versa.

Mr. Laurier's attack on Mr. Dewdney was a masterpiece of forensic art. He accused him of carelessness, indifference and almost brutality, and he said there had been a day in the history of England and of this country when such a man would have been impeached at the bar of the House. When Laurier said everyone agreed that he had made a master speech, yet after Sir John's reply it was evident that his remarks were characterized by more forensic than statesmanlike qualities. In fact the sense or common loyalty. The Premier rubbed in the sympathies of Laurier during the rebellion, which were more warm than loyal. He defended Mr. Dewdney as a man entirely guiltless of all blame, it blame there was, as a man from his intimate knowledge of the Northwest, especially fit for the onerous duties he has been called upon to perform. He was a man of great energy, unbounded, unwarmed, ungenerous. "Who is to blame?" some Opposition member asked. "The Government of the day and I myself," Sir John was answered, and well did he defend his colleague, so well that Mr. Dewdney will gain rather than lose by Mr. Laurier's little remarks. Sir Richard followed in the Premier's footsteps, coarse, abusive and ill-natured. With one sentence he lifted the burden of blame off Mr. Dewdney and placed on Sir John, who, in the modern country, had actually advanced a discriminatory tariff by Canada in favor of Great Britain, and vice versa.

Mr. Laurier's attack on Mr. Dewdney was a masterpiece of forensic art. He accused him of carelessness, indifference and almost brutality, and he said there had been a day in the history of England and of this country when such a man would have been impeached at the bar of the House. When Laurier said everyone agreed that he had made a master speech, yet after Sir John's reply it was evident that his remarks were characterized by more forensic than statesmanlike qualities. In fact the sense or common loyalty. The Premier rubbed in the sympathies of Laurier during the rebellion, which were more warm than loyal. He defended Mr. Dewdney as a man entirely guiltless of all blame, it blame there was, as a man from his intimate knowledge of the Northwest, especially fit for the onerous duties he has been called upon to perform. He was a man of great energy, unbounded, unwarmed, ungenerous. "Who is to blame?" some Opposition member asked. "The Government of the day and I myself," Sir John was answered, and well did he defend his colleague, so well that Mr. Dewdney will gain rather than lose by Mr. Laurier's little remarks. Sir Richard followed in the Premier's footsteps, coarse, abusive and ill-natured. With one sentence he lifted the burden of blame off Mr. Dewdney and placed on Sir John, who, in the modern country, had actually advanced a discriminatory tariff by Canada in favor of Great Britain, and vice versa.

Mr. Laurier's attack on Mr. Dewdney was a masterpiece of forensic art. He accused him of carelessness, indifference and almost brutality, and he said there had been a day in the history of England and of this country when such a man would have been impeached at the bar of the House. When Laurier said everyone agreed that he had made a master speech, yet after Sir John's reply it was evident that his remarks were characterized by more forensic than statesmanlike qualities. In fact the sense or common loyalty. The Premier rubbed in the sympathies of Laurier during the rebellion, which were more warm than loyal. He defended Mr. Dewdney as a man entirely guiltless of all blame, it blame there was, as a man from his intimate knowledge of the Northwest, especially fit for the onerous duties he has been called upon to perform. He was a man of great energy, unbounded, unwarmed, ungenerous. "Who is to blame?" some Opposition member asked. "The Government of the day and I myself," Sir John was answered, and well did he defend his colleague, so well that Mr. Dewdney will gain rather than lose by Mr. Laurier's little remarks. Sir Richard followed in the Premier's footsteps, coarse, abusive and ill-natured. With one sentence he lifted the burden of blame off Mr. Dewdney and placed on Sir John, who, in the modern country, had actually advanced a discriminatory tariff by Canada in favor of Great Britain, and vice versa.

Mr. Laurier's attack on Mr. Dewdney was a masterpiece of forensic art. He accused him of carelessness, indifference and almost brutality, and he said there had been a day in the history of England and of this country when such a man would have been impeached at the bar of the House. When Laurier said everyone agreed that he had made a master speech, yet after Sir John's reply it was evident that his remarks were characterized by more forensic than statesmanlike qualities. In fact the sense or common loyalty. The Premier rubbed in the sympathies of Laurier during the rebellion, which were more warm than loyal. He defended Mr. Dewdney as a man entirely guiltless of all blame, it blame there was, as a man from his intimate knowledge of the Northwest, especially fit for the onerous duties he has been called upon to perform. He was a man of great energy, unbounded, unwarmed, ungenerous. "Who is to blame?" some Opposition member asked. "The Government of the day and I myself," Sir John was answered, and well did he defend his colleague, so well that Mr. Dewdney will gain rather than lose by Mr. Laurier's little remarks. Sir Richard followed in the Premier's footsteps, coarse, abusive and ill-natured. With one sentence he lifted the burden of blame off Mr. Dewdney and placed on Sir John, who, in the modern country, had actually advanced a discriminatory tariff by Canada in favor of Great Britain, and vice versa.

Mr. Laurier's attack on Mr. Dewdney was a masterpiece of forensic art. He accused him of carelessness, indifference and almost brutality, and he said there had been a day in the history of England and of this country when such a man would have been impeached at the bar of the House. When Laurier said everyone agreed that he had made a master speech, yet after Sir John's reply it was evident that his remarks were characterized by more forensic than statesmanlike qualities. In fact the sense or common loyalty. The Premier rubbed in the sympathies of Laurier during the rebellion, which were more warm than loyal. He defended Mr. Dewdney as a man entirely guiltless of all blame, it blame there was, as a man from his intimate knowledge of the Northwest, especially fit for the onerous duties he has been called upon to perform. He was a man of great energy, unbounded, unwarmed, ungenerous. "Who is to blame?" some Opposition member asked. "The Government of the day and I myself," Sir John was answered, and well did he defend his colleague, so well that Mr. Dewdney will gain rather than lose by Mr. Laurier's little remarks. Sir Richard followed in the Premier's footsteps, coarse, abusive and ill-natured. With one sentence he lifted the burden of blame off Mr. Dewdney and placed on Sir John, who, in the modern country, had actually advanced a discriminatory tariff by Canada in favor of Great Britain, and vice versa.

Mr. Laurier's attack on Mr. Dewdney was a masterpiece of forensic art. He accused him of carelessness, indifference and almost brutality, and he said there had been a day in the history of England and of this country when such a man would have been impeached at the bar of the House. When Laurier said everyone agreed that he had made a master speech, yet after Sir John's reply it was evident that his remarks were characterized by more forensic than statesmanlike qualities. In fact the sense or common loyalty. The Premier rubbed in the sympathies of Laurier during the rebellion, which were more warm than loyal. He defended Mr. Dewdney as a man entirely guiltless of all blame, it blame there was, as a man from his intimate knowledge of the Northwest, especially fit for the onerous duties he has been called upon to perform. He was a man of great energy, unbounded, unwarmed, ungenerous. "Who is to blame?" some Opposition member asked. "The Government of the day and I myself," Sir John was answered, and well did he defend his colleague, so well that Mr. Dewdney will gain rather than lose by Mr. Laurier's little remarks. Sir Richard followed in the Premier's footsteps, coarse, abusive and ill-natured. With one sentence he lifted the burden of blame off Mr. Dewdney and placed on Sir John, who, in the modern country, had actually advanced a discriminatory tariff by Canada in favor of Great Britain, and vice versa.

Mr. Laurier's attack on Mr. Dewdney was a masterpiece of forensic art. He accused him of carelessness, indifference and almost brutality, and he said there had been a day in the history of England and of this country when such a man would have been impeached at the bar of the House. When Laurier said everyone agreed that he had made a master speech, yet after Sir John's reply it was evident that his remarks were characterized by more forensic than statesmanlike qualities. In fact the sense or common loyalty. The Premier rubbed in the sympathies of Laurier during the rebellion, which were more warm than loyal. He defended Mr. Dewdney as a man entirely guiltless of all blame, it blame there was, as a man from his intimate knowledge of the Northwest, especially fit for the onerous duties he has been called upon to perform. He was a man of great energy, unbounded, unwarmed, ungenerous. "Who is to blame?" some Opposition member asked. "The Government of the day and I myself," Sir John was answered, and well did he defend his colleague, so well that Mr. Dewdney will gain rather than lose by Mr. Laurier's little remarks. Sir Richard followed in the Premier's footsteps, coarse, abusive and ill-natured. With one sentence he lifted the burden of blame off Mr. Dewdney and placed on Sir John, who, in the modern country, had actually advanced a discriminatory tariff by Canada in favor of Great Britain, and vice versa.

Mr. Laurier's attack on Mr. Dewdney was a masterpiece of forensic art. He accused him of carelessness, indifference and almost brutality, and he said there had been a day in the history of England and of this country when such a man would have been impeached