an equivalent for their concession of this Letter after letter details the various stages in the negotiations both in France and Spain, and ultimately failure is admitted. In Spain it was desired to arrange for a commercial treaty, not only to apply to the Spanish West Indies, but to the Iberian peninsula. In both cases utter failure is admitted, but Sir Alexander retains hope that success will eventually crown his efforts in that direction.

Mizpah.

Yes brief our parting words shall be, And few our parting tears, The Lord shall watch twixt thee and me Through all the coming years; His eyes shall be our guiding light Wherever we may roam, Like beacon fires that burn at night To lure the wanderer home.

We will not fear that time or change Our perfect trust can dim. Or shadow of a wrong, estrange The hearts that rest in Him. But should they for one hour forget, For one faint hour be cold The Lord shall watch between us yet His love our love shall hold.

Beloved when we reach apart The valley lone and dread, Which side by side and heart to heart We once had thought to tread, His faithful rod thy staff and mine Through all the ways shall be, The comfort of His grace a sign Still between me and thee.

General Business.

Farm to Let.

The Subscriber desires to let the farm at present Wellington Road, about half a mile from the town of Chatham If not let before the 15th of April, it will then be leased by Public Auction.

To Let.

The well known business stand owned by the Subscriber, including store, shed and fishing privileges. Any one wishing to do country will find it the most suitable place. Terms liberal. For further particulars apply to.

Neguac, N. B., 26th Feb. '80.

For Sale.

The farm situated on Bartibogue River, in the Parish of Alnwick, the property of James Kerr, River 33 roods. There are 30 acres cleared which cut about 25 tons of hay. There is a dwelling house with a frost proof stone cellar, and 2 barns, one 30x40 ft. and the other 18x20 ft. The premises are supplied with both weil and spring water. For terms apply to the Subscriber.

JAMES KERR. Chatham, Feb. 19.

Farm for Sale.

The Subscriber offers for sale that valuable property with dwelling, barn and outbuildings, sittuate in Moorfield, opposite Chatham, containcultivation, the balance woodland. Possessi given 15th April, next. For further information apply to JOHN McDERMAID, Moorfield A. D. SHIRREFF, Chatham. Moorfield, March, 5. '80.

To Let.

The dwelling house situate on the corne Henderson and Duke Street in Chatham. Possession given on the 6 of May next. further particulars apply to JOHN HAVILAND, OF A. H. JOHNSON. Chatham, 9, of March, '80.

To Let.

The house and store on South side of Water Street, opposite the Golden Ball, lately occupied by W. H. Frecker.

MARTIN LYONS, Mill Cove.

Chatham, 28th Feb 1880.

To Let or Sell.

The house at present occupied by Mr. A. Leishman, situate on St John street, has a good Stone Wall cellar, wood-house attached and well of water on the premises. ALSO:-

The House at present occupied by Mr. T.F. Keary, on same street, on the north side of above, with similar conveniences. Possession of the above given 1st May. F. J. LETSON. Chatham, 17tl, March, 1880.

ST. JOHN

BUSINESS COLLEGE.

"This institution is now in its thirteenth year. "During this time we have had frequent proofs of "its efficiency and usefulness, and have often di "rected the attention of our readers to the good "work done in preparing young men for commer-" cial life. The opportunites it affords for obtain "ing a thorough drilling in Book Keeping, from "the simplest to the most complicated forms, in "Arithmentic, Penmanship, Business Usages. "Commercial, Law, &c., are very valuable, and "such as, properly availed of, should be a certain " means of success to any honest and industrious 'young man. We again congratulate the public "on the possession of a school of its character and "standing, and on the fact, that notwithstanding "mer efficiency."-Daily News, St. John, Feby.

Terms:—\$25 per qr. -Scholarships \$50. Diplomas granted on passing writen examina-S. KERR, Proprietor. Successor to Eaton & Kerr.

St. John, March 5 '80.

Wanted.

WM MORRISON Chatham, 23rd March, 80.

To Let.

For one year the Farm and Business Stand at Portage River, Tracadie, for particulars apply to JOHN MCDERMAID, Executors.

FLOUR! FLOUR! Teas, Sugars,

Molasses, &c INSTORE AND ON THE WAY: 500 BBLS. FLOUR, Haxal (Buda)

Crown. Snowflake. White Pigeon. Bank Sp'g Ex. " Strong Bakers'. Corn Meal, Mason Co. 50 "White Beans; 50 bbls. Oatmea'. 250 Half Chests & Boxes Choice Congou Teas 30 Hhds Choice Antigua Molasses

10 " Scotch Refined Sugar. 100 Boxes, Butts and Caddies TOBACCO, ALSO IN STORE : A FULL STOCK OF Rice, Soda, Starch, Pickles, Pails, Brooms, Canned Goods, Raisins Soap, Candles, Oils, Cod-fish, Pollock, Herring, Salt, &c., &c. For sale at lowest Market Rates b HARDING & HATHEWAY.

Molasses, Porto Rico & Barbados.

17 & 18 South Wharf. Agent for 'Mayflower' Soap, "Drury Cove" Lime 'D. W. Hoegg & Co's." Lobsteis, and Portlan Packing Co.'s Canned Goods. St. John N. B., March 15, 1880.

For Sale.

For sale by the subscribers, their valuable property situate in the centre of the town of Chatham being the stand and premises where they formerly conducted their business, it is large and commodious, has a large wharf frontage on the river, is a desirable site for a steam mill, dealyard, warehouses or erections of any description. There is a store and small warehouse on the premises also a small boom connected with it, and is altogether an excellent property for commercial or other purposes. For particulars apply to

D. & J. RITCHIE, & Co. Newcastle, March 25, '80,

Carriages. To be sold at the Subscriber's Auction Room of

FRIDAY, 23RD APRIL

at 11 o'clock a. m. 2 New Single Waggons 1 " Double Waggon Single Horse-Power, for Threshing Machine.

Terms-One fourth down, Balance, approved

joint notes, payable 1st August next.
A. D. SHIRREFF,

Miramichi Advance.

The Miramichi Valley Railway. It will, perhaps not be forgotten by some of our readers, that four or five thousand dollars were expended a few yearsago by gentlemen of Northumberland in securing a survey of the route, or routes, for the proposed Miramichi Valley Railway. That being done and a first-rate trial location made, profiles and other data of survey were laid before the Provincial Government, who declined to make a contract with the provisional . Company who appeared as promoters of the scheme. At that time it was well known to us that the Province was not in a position to subsidize any Railway, and the ADVANCE published the facts and figures which justified us in taking the ground that it was the duty of the Government to step in and refund the moneys expended by the Grand Southern and Miramichi Valley Companies in their surveys. allow the Subsidies Act to become a dead letter by the lapse of time, and affirm the policy that each of the rail ways projected in different parts of the Province, should be, thereafter. dealt with alone, on its merits, when the Provincial finances were in an improved condition. The facts presented by the ADVANCE were admit-For turther particulars apply to MRS. ROBT. JOHNSTON, JR. ed, as we know, by leading members of the Government of that time-1876 -yet, through questionable influences, which should not have been permitted to prevail, the contract for the construction of the Grand South ern was, unexpectedly to the public, made in that year by the Government. For some reason which has not

transpired, the Miramichi Company was differently treated. Its delegation was given the cold shoulder and no hope was held out to Northumberland that the road would be undertaken until the present session of the Legislature, when members of the Government suddenly announced it as a part of the policy of that body to make a contract for the construction of this important work. Some ing about 70 acres, 20 acres of which are in good members of the Government who thus declared themselves were also members of the Government of 1876 and 1877 which refused to go on with the Valley Road. The Provincial finances are now in worse condition than they ever were before and many plain-going, common-sense residents of Northamberland are wondering how it happens that these gentlemen could refuse to do in 1876, when the Province was, comparatively, well off, what they are willing to do in 1880 when there is a deficit in the Provincial exchequer. If they are sincere now, the logical conclusion that they were unwilling to do the Miramichi justice in 1876. If they were sincere in 1876 they are playing us false now. Our representatives at Fredericton who are supporting the Government had better, therefore, aid the Miramichi Valley Company in testing the Government's sincerity. Let them not miss the opportunity, of striking the iron while it is hot. We observe that Hon. Mr. Hanington is one of the new members of the Government who are ready to give us the Valley Road It would be well to take him while he is in the humour, for he is an "onreliable cuss," like Artemus Ward's bear, especially in railway matters. We remember that in 1878 he declared to the electors of Westmorland that the Grand Southern was a swindle, but he is now very much in favor of giving it every facility for being as costly as possible to the Province. Other new members of the Government have experierced a similar change of heart and sent ment respecting that most questionable work, and it may be that the A Second Class Male Teacher for School District Government, as a whole, is ready to do the right thing by the Miramichi time for our representatives to put the matter into practical shape and ascertain whether these gentlemen have the courage of their expressed convictions. In any case our members must play the game commenced

Valley Railway together. Very "Fishy," Indeed.

The Telegraph of Wednesday last

by the Government-viz., that of

constructing the Fredericton Legisla-

dealt with the question of "the Maritime Provinces and the Fisheries," in a rather personal manner. The fact is all the more noticeable, because the Telegraph usually takes higher ground in discussing matters of general public concern. Its leader on that day, very properly, represented popular feeling in ly in favor of a just apportionment of affected by the Washington Treaty. It lows rightly complained of the comparative neglect of the Maritime Provinces in the matter of Public Works, and protested against the Award, or any part of it, being appropriated for the carrying on of the expensive and extravagant public works that are going on in the Western Provinces, and by which the East can be but little benefited. The Telegraph's readers, doubtless, expected that after it had opened up the subject so commendably, it would proceed to support the propositions laid down with argument in keeping with the breadth of the theme. Instead of doing so, however, it made a weak criti- numerous reports and letters now on file thirteen millions expended on capital cism on the comparative number and

developments of which the public are

In passing from the sublime to the ridiculous in the article referred to, the Telegraph made a wholesale condemnation of the Department, because Fishery officers in Ontario receive more pay per man than they do in the Maritime Provinces. It also made a grievance of the fact that, in proportion to the value of the fisheries of the respective Provinces, Ontario has a larger number of officers duties. than the Maritime Provinces. It not necessary to say much in reply to officers is higher than that of officers in the Maritime Provinces, because those who are informed on the subject, know that the fisheries organizations of the several provinces were taken over at Confederation pretty much as they then existed, and the fact that the Maritime Provinces entered the Union could not reasonably be expected to change natural and geographical conditions which make inland fisheries quite different from those of tidal waters and rivers flowing directly into the sea. It ought, therefore, to have occurred to the Telegraph that there was average Fishery officer of Ontario, than from the average New Brunswick officer. Besides, it has been a very just complaint against the administration of Fisheries affairs under Confederationboth at the first and under the present providing offices for hungry political only clothed with magisterial functions | as they are at the present time? exercise or such powers on their part. greater number of our officers, they

New Brunswick are too small for the luties expected to be performed, that wo thirds of the officers are entirely unfit, by both nature and association, for the honest discharge of the responsibilities that are laid upon them. The Telegraph, very superficially, assumes that because the New Brunswick fisheries are six times the value of those of Ontario, the latter province should have only one officer for every six that we have. show how erroneous this kind of argument is, it is only necessary to quote the fact that more than one-half of the fish which go to make the officiallyvalued catch in New Brunswick, are deep sea, and other species, which are taken where officers never think of venturing in their official capacity. In Nova Scotia four-fifths of the fish taken, are of the kinds not subject to the protection of the Department, so tar as its ordinary officers are concerned. It is, therefore, unfair for a paper usually so well-informed and well-bal-

have not reduced to a science the pro-

blem of rendering the minimum amount

mislead the public by assuming, that the numerical ratio of Fisheries Officers should be based on the value of the catch credited in the blue books to the respective provinces of the Dominion. The most questionable port on of the article under notice, however, is that in which it makes a very pointed and, we think, undeserved attack upon the Chief of the Fish Culture Branch of the Department, Samuel Wilmot, Esq. It belittles the work done at the Newcastle and Sandwich hatcheries, which are more especially under Mr. Wilmot's personal supervision, characterising expended in maintaining them." contrasts these large establishments with "those" in New Brunswick-ignoring the expenditure on the new St. John River breeding house, and says "it is remarkable that with so ener-"getic and efficient an officer as In-"spector Venning, the claims of Nev "Brunswick have not been better at-"tended to in this particular."

anced as is the Telegraph, to attempt to

This is followed by complaints against the salary and travelling expenses of Valley Railway. If so, now is the Mr. Wilmot, a similar allusion to his nephew, who has charge of the Bedford establishment, Nova Scotia, and, in fact, the whole article seems to have been diverted from its original purpose, as disclosed in its title, which should have been changed to "the Maritime dog bays the Ontario moon." It is, evidently, not an article written by well-informed journalist on a great pubtive buildings and the Miramichi licinterest - in a fair and dignified spirit, but an attack, pure and simple, on Mr. Samuel Wilmot. As such it can neither do the person in whose interest it is written much good, nor will it work much harm to Mr. Wilmot. How it found its way into the Telegraph we cannot imagine, unless it be that some designing person took advantage of the absence of the editor and proprietor from St. John to impo e upon tle sub-editor temporarily in charge.

Since the above was written our atthe Maritime Provinces as being strong-tention has been called to a letter in the Telegraph of 2nd inst. It is on the vinces of Canada, on the basis of their | place-and, therefore, escaped our unrespective interests in the fisheries challenged observation. It is as fol-

SIR: Your issue of this morning contains an editorial article on the Fisheries, the statistics of which are compiled from the Departmental Reports, and, therefore, no exception can be taken to their correctness, nor to the deductions drawn from In the course of that article is the following sentence: "It is remarkable that with so energetic and efficient an officer as Inspector Venning, the claims of New Brunswick have not been better attended to in this pa ticular." This sentence contains both a compliment and a you for bearing witness to the energy and to perform the duties of my office, I beg described in your editorial was, year after Government as very large, had added year, pointed out to the Department in at Ottawa: but I have never received even account to the sums voted to be exsalaries of Fishery officers in the respec- no notice was ever taken of them. Of tive Provinces, and attacked the gentle- course I have no means of knowing Fund. No one had, yet, made this be ready in the spring of 1881. branch of the Fisheries Department in ter's notice, or whether they were careful-

the fact is undeniable that the state of things you describe was allowed to continue. Though I repeatedly begged for such an investigation of facts, as would show the true cause of the failure, for three years, of the Miramichi Hatching by ali. His defence of the Financial Honse, the "Ontario officers" managed to have my request refused, and the blame was adroitly shifted from the man whose neglect was the cause of the failure, to those who faithfully carried out the in structions they received from a superintendent utterly ignorant of our salt water salmon, who resides over a thousand miles from the scene of his most important

not yet had time to fully understand the manner in which the details of his bureau the complaint that the pay of Ontario have been managed by the "Ontario officers" who have heretofore been allow- the best and clearest I have vet heard ed to manipulate things to suit their own views and interests. But I believe that as soon as he does fully understand the anomalies and injustice you have pointed out, he will take immediate steps to have | Charles Tupper, whose fallacious reathem rectified; for I regret to say, the Blue Books of the last six years contain still stranger things than your article I am, sir, yours, W. H. VENNING.

St. John. March 31. Insp. Fisheries. Our readers have a pretty fair knowledge of the impertinent and over-bear ing character of the author of the above letter, but they were hardly prepared to find him lashing, not only his fellowofficers, but the Minister of Fisheries as much more service required of the he does in his epistle. The officers aimed at are, however, gentlemen; they have often, both personally and officially, been obliged to snub the ignorance and presumption of Inspector Venning, and can well afford the smile at his attempt to have a little revenge. As for the Government—that in New Brunswick Minister, it was once believed of him and Nova Scotia, Wardens and Overseers | that he was hardly the man to be told have been appointed, not because they through the public press, by an employee were necessary, but for the purpose of of his Department, that he did not understand his position and duties. partizans. These men receive little When he is found so tamed as to put pay, because, in far too many cases, but up with such lectures as the above, from little service is required of them. In such a source, should it excite surprise Ontario the Fishery officers belong to a that fishery affairs are in such a wretchdifferent class of men. They are not ed condition in portions of this Province

A significant feature of the Inspector's they have the education, experience letter is its absolute agreement with and sound judgment which warrant the every statement made by the Telegraph against the Department, and especially They are men who devote their time to its expressions of approval of the attack their omeial duties, and, unlike the on Mr. Wilmot .- Our readers will remember that it was this same Mr. Wilmot who was sent by the Department a few years ago to investigate certain of public service for the maximum charges made in connection with the amount of public money. Truth Miramichi Hatching House, then under obliges us to state, while admitting that control of Inspector Venning. Mr. the salaries paid in the Province of | Wilmot as an officer of integrity reported truthfully. He showed that Venning had neglected his duty, and grossly deceived the public and Department. In consequence of this, Venning was relieved of his duties as a fish-culturist, which, in his ignorance and presumption, he had assumed. It is evident that the Telegraph has been led to assist Mr. Venning indirectly, in getting revenge on Mr. Wilmot, but as the inspiration of that paper's attack is as apparent as it is irregular and censurable; it will therefore fail, as it deserves to

The Tariff Debate.

Having waited in order to get Mr Snowball's speech on the Tariff from the Hansard copy, we did not receive it in time, and then only a portion of it came to hand. We publish, in this issue, what we have received from the official report, and will give the speech in full in next week's issue. So far as it has come to hand, it shows that our representative has handled the subject very ably. It is to his credit also, that his statements reflect the experience and knowledge of a practical business man, who gives evidence that he understands commercial questions, both by his career as a successful leading merchant and manufacturer in his own Province, and by his speeches in Parlia-Facts and figures from such source have much more weight than the sophistries of professional politicians theoretical financiers. Northumberland has reason to feel grateful to her representative for the stand he takes against the unjust tariff, and proud of the fact that he ranks among them as "costly shams, which yield no the foremost speakers in Parliament

Our Ottawa Letter.

The House resumed business on Tuesday, with an evident determination to go on with the work of Legislation. The Budget debate was the order of the day. It was understood that several members from New Brunswick, with others from various provinces, were vet to speak. The Citizen, the Government organ, had announced that the discussion would close with a general reply from the Minister of Finance, which would be his parliamentary right, though if it were to be no more effectual than his reply to the New Brunswick members last year he had better, for his own sake, omit it altogether. However, there is no predicting what may occur in the House of Commons, and the Knight of St John did not, on this occasion, air his eloquence at all, of which more anon.

Mr. Snowball had the floor, having on Friday night, moved the adjournment of the debate, and he entered upon his work in vigorous style. This was the best thing to do, as the debate had before pretty well tired the patience of the House, and members were in rather a listless mood when he began. But Mr. Snowball soon awakened interest enough, eliciting cheers from his friends and cries of dissent from the other side. He made a capital speech, that the late Speaker never employed as I think all who read the full report the Fishery Award to the different Pro- fourth page-a not very prominent from Hansard will agree. In opening he dealt with the speech of Mr. White, member for Cardwell and editor of the Montreal Gazette. This gent leman had made one of the best delivered speeches tion that he had been "overpowered" on the Ministerial side; - indeed the by applications from Conservative only one of any note after Sir Charles members. Tupper's. Mr. White had boasted. too, of his accurate knowledge of public affairs from his long connexion with the newspaper press, boards of trade. &c., before becoming a member of Parliament. But he had mistaken matters sadly on several points which Mr. Snowcovert condemnation. While thanking ball keenly, but in a gentlemanly way, exposed. He showed that the Tory champion in his eagerness to represent

their orator and oracle had blundered scheme along-"just to spite St. John." terribly. Mr. Snowball dealt with the no doubt. It is quite probable that larger questions in a forcible way, and Messis. Gibson and Randolph are able in a manner that could be understood to inform Mr. Armstrong that a vesse policy of the late administration was West Indies in the Spring with sugar very effective, as he placed in a light that every one could see the fact that the outward voyage. We shall next while paying year after year large addi- hear of some sapient Armstrong protional interest and contributions to the sinking funds. Mr. Mackenzie's Gov- in carrying on his lumber business. ernment had so curtailed other ex- To prove that Armstrong is not the The present head of the department has penses as to scarcely at all allow the only person who has become demented annual expenditure to increase. His over the capital question, we may menexposition of the balance of trade was in Parliament. Not less effective was "The ideas put forward by Mr. Armstrong are real good, and we would the way in which he turned the tables upon the Finance Minister and Sir

soning, and misleading statements he cleverly exposed. I don't think S.r. Charles Tupper will again, as he did in this debate, claim that Lord Derby had approved of the Canadian protective tariff. Sir Charles, with his usual recklessness, had made this statement, befieving that no one could prove the contrary. But Mr. Snowball, who had been in England when the speech was made, produced the report of it and read extracts, proving that Lord Derby was not only a sound free trader him self, but had predicted that the Protectionist bubble in Canada would inevitably burst. Mr. Snowball threw new interest into the debate and was warm-

ly cheered when he sat down. After another speech or two, the House began to be impatient, and at the close of each there were cries of "question" "carried" etc. Farrow, an agricultural member from Huron and a ministerialist, had the floor, and many members had gone out when he began. He ended sooner than was expected. Mr. Auglin, who mtended to speak was out of the cuamber. home, believing that the debate would go over to another day. There was a long pause. The cries of "question grew louder. The Speaker looked from

side to side and hesitated, but at length declared the motion "carried." Samuel, troubled with Mr. Snowball's speech, was bound to have his reply and rose. Mr. Mackenzie said the motion was carried, and the Minister could no have the right of closing a debate already closed. S.r Samuel turned pale. and said he would speak to the first item, (The first item was the duty on demijohns!) and struck off on a general reply such as he intended to have made. He was called to order. The Opposition were willing for him to proceed it only the Government would allow others who wished to discuss the general question to do so. To this S.r Samue would not consent. There was great ministerial embarrassment, and Sir John was sent for. He came in looking anxious. But Government would no consent to the Opposition member making more speeches after the motion was carried. The Opposition, therefore was bound not to let the Finance Minis ter speak, and called for the Speaker' ruling. And the Speaker would no allow the Minister to speak beyond th subject of "demijohns." So S: another occasion to make his speech

Samuel angrily said he would tak Sir John went out again. Sir Samuel who was, therefore, again leading the House, was asked whether the subject would come up again on Thursday, the next Government day, but replied that the Fishery Award debate would be then resumed. In this he was wrong On Thursday the order for resumin

the adjourned debate on the Fisher Award was called, and Mr. Richey Halifax had risen to speak, beginning in his usual grandiloquent style, when he was stopped by a roint of order This was a "Government day," and as the Fishery Award debate arose or the motion of a private member. must be considered on a "private day." Sir John was again sent for and came, return whatever for the enormous sums who deal with the great questions of but all the Ministerial Knights together could not be permitted to override the take up Gevernment business, which Mr. Mackenzie, truly and forcibly, re-

minded them was "terribly in arrears." Thus the Ministry were not only out-argued in the debate, but every time out-mance ivrel in parliamentary tactics. It is clear that without Sir John the Ministerial party, numerous as it is, has no one in its ranks fit for

On the third reading of the Bill to provide salaries for two more Judges in British Columbia, Blake moved an mendment setting out that the additional outlay of \$8,000 for that purose was altogether unnecessary. It was voted down by a vote of 95 to 43, though I am sure many of the majority are ashamed of the vote they gave, and one staunch ministerialist - Mr. Longley of Annapolis, N. S., whose conscience is becoming awakened-voted for the

Last night we entered on the Estimates and an interesting, though chiefly conversational discussion was held the items of Administration of justicincluding Penitentiaries, and on the vote for the House of Commons. The shameful extravagance of last session in employing so many sessional clerks and messengers was again referred to, and counter charges against the late Speaker were made, but it was shown more than 39 sessional clerks while the present Speaker had last year employed 89! The messengers' staff was proportionally increased. Mr. Speaker Blanchet gave the very frank explana-

A "Colostial" Armstrong Gun.

A Fredericton man named Armstrong writes to the Reporter proposing that Fredericton people shall no longer patronise St. John. His darling proposition is opened up thus :--

" Now sir, I propose to get up a company to build a vessel for the West India trade, so as we can get our sugar permission to say that, the state of things the expenditure under Mr. Mackenzie's and molasses and all other articles in their line that we require, at first cost, without having to call on independent St. John. I propose to have a vessel, say 250 tons, or as large as can come up pended on account of Consolidated the river loaded, and come in safety, to Beaconstield has done without striking

a manner quite unprovoked by any interests are opposed to their duties; but even the ministerial side conscious that prising gentlemen would help the tory will be most far reaching. We don't spenditure of \$507,000 less than the esti- a criticism of the speech of the hon, mem-

ought to be coming home from the and molasses, instead of commencing posing that Mr. Gibson ignore St. John tion that the Reporter refers in a serious manner to the letter and says :-

suggest their consideration to the members of our Board of Trade. The italics are ours and they are real good." The Armstrongs of Fredericton will, we hope, all be improved in their mental condition before

THAT PAMPHLET.-In the Legislature on Saturday last Mr. Barberie asked what steps the Government had taken to prevent the Surveyor General's Immigration pamphlet from falling into

ary declined to answer. CREDITABLE. - Messrs. Hutichson. Davidson and Gillespie recorded their votes in the Assembly last week against the bill to extend the time for completing the Grand Southern Railway. In taking this course they did what they could to prevent the Province from being further burdened on account of that almost useless work.

The Elections in Great Britain

conservatives 289, Home Rulers 60, During the past week the Parliamenary elections have been going on in Great Britain. The result is a foregone onclusion, and in a great Liberal victory the Conservative Government of ord Beaconsfield will soon be a thing of the past. It is, at least an open mestion whether the great change will be for the welfare of the Empire It must be remembered that Liberalism n England is not at all identical with Liberalism in Canada, any more than t is with the Liberalism of France and Italy. English Liberals, however, and those of Canada, hold more principles n common than do the former and the Canadian Conservatives, who appear to have taken an interest in Beaconsfield's Government that is warranted only by the fact that it is the fashion of our Tories to fawn upon those who are in power. Regret will be felt among a majority of those who wish to see Great Britain's foreign policy a successful one, over the prospective change of Government, simply because they believe, in the aggressive and prominent which England has of late taken in erting her right to protect her mpire of Eastern Asia, as well terests somewhat nearer Among the late despatches on the sub ject of the elections are the following LONDON, April 4th :- The returns that vative districts show no diminution in he ratio of Liberal gains, and the result of the four days polling places the fact of a great Liberal victory beyond the possi bility of reversal. Special attention now turns wholly upon the composition of the Cabinet. On this subject the Times, in a pading article, says :--

"After Mr. Gladstone's defeat in 1874 e not only resigned the Leadership of the Liberals, but declared his intention vithdrawing as far as possible from active political life, and the logical consequence f this resolution would be, that he would not take office in the coming administra tion. But this resolution has already been in spirit very conspicuously infring ed, and it cannot be doubted that a very strong pressure will be brought to bear mon him in order to induce him to abonon it. Nobody can doubt that Mr. Hadstone is a most potent force on the Liberal side. But for him, there is no ign that the policy of the late Govern nent would have been so vehemently hallenged. It is Mr. Gludstone who has ed on the assault, which has now been o conspicuously successful, and he must b rules of the House, and so they had to regarded as representing the views of that class of voters to whom the Liberal ma-

> The same article points to the remark of Mr. Gladstone in a speech at We-Calder, yesterday, to the eff et that ! neither directly nor indirectly insinuated o any one, that he had come there as the hief of the party, or that a return t office at his time of life was his desire The Times continues: "This is a very lifferent thing from refusing to accept the responsibility naturally attaching to the course he has recently pursued, and it therefore scarcely conceivable that M Gladstone, while remaining in public life will not form a member of the new

"abinet." The cohesion of the Liberal party and he ability shown by its leaders in the ar rangement of the campaign have surprised everyone. The Saturday Review in it summary of the situation says: "To constituencies could not have given a mumore decided answer to Lord Beaconsfield appeal, but why they have given it nobod can exactly say. Very probably man electors are tired of the Government merel because it had been six years in office, bu there are some things the electors have placed beyond contest. They show that the Publicans have not exercised the influ nce it was expected they would. Th the Liberals were not divided by crochets. that their party organization is much improved, and that the moderates have as rule neither abstanged nor gone over, bu have voted with their party." The Spectator, which is strongly Liber

in quite enthusiastic over the "rea opinion" of England as expressed at th polls. At home and abroad it claim the effects of the victor will be most beneficial. In an editoria comment it says "nothing surprises peoplmore in the elections than the evidence they give how easy it is to live in Englan and know nothing about the real opinio of England. Wherever you went you were told whatever Scotchmen or Irisla men might think England would suppor Lord Beaconstield's policy with enthus asm." Speculating still further on the consequence of the Liberal victory, the Spec tator adds: "It is a severe blow to the Tory democracy; the next man who pro fesses faith in the monarch and the mul titude must appeal to the latter on Socia ist grounds, or undertake enterprises re quiring sacrifices that will speedly restor people to their senses. No other man wi ever wave the banner of prestige as Lor strike for it. In the second place, we ar man in charge of the artificial breeding | whether they ever came under the Minis. point clear, and Mr. Armstrong intimates that Mr. rid of personal government. It is, however the light upon it in a way that made Gibson, Mr. Randolph and other enter- in its effect abroad that the Lileral vi

distracts certain views of the Liberal going out of office. cha fs, especially Mr. Gladstone's far more than she dreads a ministry which can be coerced into secret complets, but millions of Greeks, Armenians and Bulgarians will that Prince Bismarck is sorrowful and the world all freemen feel that with the

lin says he has good reasons for stating prospect of a Liberal victory in the Par the new Legislative buildings are erectunder a Tory than a Whig Government. Returns show the net Liberal gain thus far as fifty-ix seats.

RECAPITULATION. Liberal elected at the elections, 235; at last election from the same constituencies, the hands of intending settlers or the 179: Conservatives at this election, 137 general public? The Provincial Secre- at the last, 193; Home Rulers at this election, 16; at the last 16. Thus far elected at this election, 388; at last, 388 Liberal gain over Conservatives 54; over

Home Rulers 2 Total 56. The representation in the last Parliament was Con er vatives 345, Liberals 245, and Home Rulers 60. There are still 262 seats to hear from. In 1874 they were represented by 152 Conservatives, 60 Liberals and 44 Home Rulers. Should these districts re main relatively unchanged, the representation in the next parliament will stand

The Liberal net gain is now 50 seats. LONDON. April 6. - The Observer of thi morning says: "We understand there ment shall resign at once or wait the result of the polling, on Friday, it became known that a special messenger was sent to Baden with a desnatch for the Queen We have reason to believe that Mr. Glad stone disapproves of the arrangements suggested in various quarters, that he accept a subordinate office in the new administration. He still adheres to his intention of not resuming office, but will cordially support the recognized Libera! of Hartington. R ports about the composition of the new Ministry are obviously We mention the following rumors possessing a certain amount of probability, although resting, as yet, on no basis of ascertained fact : It is said that Earl Derby will be offered the Foreign office, but if he refuses, as is probable, the office will be a signed to Lord Kimberly. Mr. W. E. Foster will be Minister of the Colonies: Mr. Goschen, Chancellor of the Exchequer: Lord Cardwell Secretary of War: Mr. Childers first Lord of the Ad miralty; Sir William Vernon Harcourt, Sir Charles Dilke and Mr. Fawcett, as representatives of the advanced section of the party, will occupy seats in the new Cabinet. Lord Roseberry, it may also be taken for granted, will hold an important post in the Liberal Administration.

Mr. Snowball's Speech on the

[From the Hansard Report.] Mr. Speaker, in resuming the debate on this question, said it seems to me very un ortunate that after a year's experence of his tariff, so many hon, gentlemen on this side of the irouse feel compelled to come forward and reiterate in the strongest nanner the convictions they expressed ast year in relation to this subject. 1 ave been struck during the course of this bate by the earnestness which has been lisplayed by so many hon, gentlemen on hought that the hon, members on the ther side of the House, have not in all ases tried to meet the arguments which have been brought forward on this side, is fully as might have been expected of

the usual force of that hon, gentleman; beech, it presented the advantage of losely following the lines laid down by the lealers of the Government in retion to the subject.

A BIG BLUNDER EXPOSED.

The hon, gentlem in opening his rerarks, told us that the first Budget of the atement could be proved. But that serious matter, and one which only can be nly the Supply bill. The hon, gentleman told us that he had studied this quese had been in the press gallery, and that, ng about. Is it possible the hon, gentl an made that statement with the clear itention of deceiving the country? Or id he make it, not knowing that he was noting from the supplies that had no rect connexion with the estimates, and at those supplies contain d an item 13.107.000, which belonged to capital ac unt? Whether it was an error or not he statement will not raise the hon, ge eman's character as a financial anthority find the hon, gentleman's speech is pubshed in the Montreal Gazette, but in that aper he is not reported so fully, and as nent in reference to that point is entirely unitted, but I find that in the first porion of this speech he is reported as say no that "the hon, member for Centre Haon, (Sir Richard Cartwright) in the first

believe that there will be joy at St. mates, as the fair basis on which to esti-Petersburg at all. Russia dreads and mate their last expenditure previous to

ON THE WRONG TRACK I find the hon, member for Cardwell, after laboring very nearly an hour to prove that the Liberals, after coming into power, rejoice at the prospect of a new career made had in their first year, that is in 1874-5, possible to them, and their rejoicing will imposed \$3,000,000 additional taxes on the be feeble compared with the exultation of country, now abandons that statement our own millions in India, no longer taxed entirely, and later in his remarks states to death in order that a Premier may pose the expenditure in the year 1874-5 reached

as arbitrator of the destinies of Central the sum of \$1.417.000 in excess of the greatest expenditure of the previous at ministration. By what rule of argument did the hon, gentleman so suddenly drop from the first position he took, and adopt Tory democratic Government of England, a sum of less than half of the amount that he argued so long to sustain? This state-The correspondent of the Times at Ber. ment, however, is equally at variance that in the highest official circles, the of the Public counts, the expenditure for 1874-5 was \$23,713,000, and for this liamentary elections in England is regarded | I defy contradiction; whereas, for the with no small fear and trembling. The year previous, for which the previous aduniversal belief in Germany is, that the ministration was responsible, the expendipeace of Europe would be more assured ture was \$23,316.000, making a difference of only \$397,000, and an over stat ment of the hon, gentleman of \$1,020,000 from his reduced figures. On what grounds can the hon, gentleman justify such gross misstatements?

STILL AFTER WHITE.

Now the h n. gen le nan goes through

the whole five years of the Mack nzie administration, giving figures for each year's excessive expenditure which are equally at variance with the truth; but let us fol ow him and ana vze his statements. The expenditure for 1875 6 was \$24,488,000. Deduct now their expenditure of 1873. which as before stated was \$23,316,000. and we have a balance of \$1,172,000 which the late administration expended more than the previous one did in 1873 4. But the hor, gentleman is not willing to put the su.n. at \$1.172,000. He puts it at \$2.152,000. In 1876-7 the exp aditure was \$23,519,000. Take from this amount again the highest expenditure authorised by the previous administration, viz: \$23, 316,000, fand this is what the hon, gentle men askel), and you have a balance of only \$203,000, whereas the hon, gentle-The next year 1876-7, the hon, gentleman s ated the extra expenditure was \$1.203,-000, whereas it was only \$186,000. For the year 1878.9 he puts the excessive expenditure at \$2 155,000; it was only \$1. 130,000, and for this excessive expenditure the Mackenzi- Government was not wholly responsible, as the hon, gentlemen opposite came into power this year, and over exleaders-Earl Granville and the Marquis pended the estimates by \$786,308. I would, however, be willing to give them the benefit of all this if they wish it, as I will show that the Mackenzie Government actually reduced the expenditure during their administration. To sum the whole atter up, the hon. gentleman sa s there was an excessive expenditure during those years of \$8,152,000, whereas, according to the Public Accounts published by themselves, the excessive expenditure during those five years is only \$3,097,000. There is an alarming difference in these figures and some person should be able to account for them But I can go still further and

> THE LATE ADMINISTRATION PAID MORE on the morey borrowed by the Government to carry on the public works of the country, than did the f rmer administra-

show that in those years, not only does the

extra expenditure not exceed \$3,097,000

18:4-5 Extra Interest \$ 866,353 88 676,465 76 1,072,790 94 1,324,447 24 1,470,297 83 \$5,410,355 65 THEY ALSO CONTRIBUTED TO THE SINKING

extra amounts above the contributions of the for per Government, as fo'lows : • In-1874 5.... \$ 41,853 32 1875-6...... 309,033 32 314.453.59 1877-8...... 431,826 02

Total..... this side of the House. I have also which dde! to the additional interest makes a grand total of \$7,030,821.66. Deducting from this the several amounts expended yearly, as I have shewn, in excess of the expenditure of 1873-4, viz :-

> 18/5 6 1,172, 55 36 Total.....\$3,09~.700 98 we have he sun of \$3,933,120.68. These

figures show that THE LATE GOVERNMENT HAD TO PAY \$5,410,000 more in those years than their predecessors did. This money did not go into the ordinary expenditure of the country and, therefore, they should receive te Finance Minister imposed a tax on credit for it. They also paid \$1,620,000 his country of \$26,000,000. Now, I have into the Sinking Fund more than their ought in the public records to find on predecessors, taking the year 1873 4 as a criterion, clearly showing that the Macormation, and so far have not been able kenzie administration not only were able o find any ground for such a statement. to check the enormous expenditure of do find that in 1874 the late Finance | their predecessors, but that they saved to dinister brought down an estimated ex | the country \$3,933,000 during these penditure for 1874 5 of \$23,713,000. In years, instead of having, as the bon he face of that fact, where did the hon, member for Cardwell stated, expended ent eman get his information in reference over \$8,000 000 additional. We all know the \$26,168,000 he spoke about? When it is very easy for Ministers, as it is for individua's, to increase their expenditure from year to year, but to check it is a more

> ccomplished with courage and ability. The hon, the late Finance Minister was able to reduce the expenditure of this country during the time he was in office, and I think that in the face of his having to pay the large amount of interest on the capital borrowed to construct our public works, and of his having paid these large sums into the sinking fund, that his succeeding to do so is a marvel.

A LITTLE MORE SUGAR I find that the next subject the hon entleman dwelt upon was the price of efined sugar; I do not intend to deal with is subject, as it has already been largely alt with by other speakers. There are, really require a passing remark. Hetold us that he found that the average price of sugar in 1876 was \$9.50; in 1877 \$10.66. in 1878 \$9.34, and in 1879 \$9, and he adds that the effect is, that the people of Canada er than they would if the present tariff h d not come into operation. This is a most extraordinary statement to makethat after an incre se of 25 per cent in the Touse, imposed upwards of \$3 900 000 | shape of duty, the people bought i for one This cent less per pound. If that argument holds good, they had better put on another irst Budget speech of the hon, member sympothy with the increased cost of raw or Centre Huron. He asserts that that sugar. Now, if that is the reason, and it on, gentleman added \$3,000,000 addi undoubtedly is so in part, why did he not onal taxation to the burdens of the go on and ell us that the price went down eople of the country. I suppose hon, last year in sympathy with the price of entlemen opposite are quite willing to raw material, and if it had not been for ccept the estimates for 1873 4 of \$23,823. the extra duty it would have been selling (89) as theirs, and the actual expenditures at \$8 instead of \$9.

r that year of \$23,316,000, showing an I saw in the Toronto Mail of 24th March