

New Advertisements

LA S. L. CAPITAL PRIZE, \$150,000. We do hereby certify that we supervise the arrangements for the Monthly and Quarterly Drawings of the Louisiana State Lottery Company...

General Business

JUST ARRIVED! A large lot of plain and fancy GLASSWARE and EARTHENWARE, HANGING LAMPS, PLAIN FLOWER POTS, ETC. HANGING FLOWER POTS, MILK BASINS, BUTTER CROCKS, -which Goods we will sell at extremely low figures.

A. H. & H. Marquis, opposite Golden Ball, Chatham.

BOSTON PALACE STEAMERS OF THE

International S. S. Co.

SUMMER ARRANGEMENT

BOSTON DIRECT!

400 M. SHAVED CEDAR SHINGLES.

ROBERT FLANAGAN

Burdo BLOOD BITTERS

M. A. Dauphin, New Orleans, La.

F. W. RUSSELL

WATERBURY'S YELLOW OIL

FREEMAN'S WORM POWDERS.

JUST RECEIVED.

Malaga Grapes.

CHOICE WINTER APPLES.

CHEESE ETC. ETC.

BOTTOM PRICES.

Horse Liniment.

GOOD, FRESH & RELIABLE DRUGS

PATENT MEDICINES

Flower Pots, Sponges, Toilet articles and Fancy Soaps

Notice to Mill Owners.

S. C. HAMS, Etc.

NEW MOLASSES.

EX PLAYFAIR.

JUST RECEIVED.

The ADVANCE office is removed from the old stand Upper Water Street, to the building next (east) to Messrs. Guy, Bevan & Co's Office, Lower Water Street Chatham.

Miramichi Advance,

CHATHAM, N. B. - - - JUNE 3, 1886.

The Senate.

With each recurring session of Parliament the efficiency of the Senate as a branch of the legislature comes up for criticism, mostly of a questioning character. Having neither antiquity nor any record of constitutional merit to place at its credit, it has lost caste to such an extent that its existence and conduct have become matters of indifference to the people, while it entails all the expense that an institution of usefulness would incur.

How far the Senate of Canada meets these objects it is not necessary to inquire, as it may be asserted, point blank, that it performs none of them at all.

It is somewhat amusing to Liberals, of course, who are told by their Tory neighbors that "there's no word yet." Hereafter, "the word" used to be sent down by Mr. Mitchell to his trusty followers in Newcastle who would communicate it to their followers in Chatham and thence down up the river and the region round about.

In order to make the Senate efficient in the performance of its presumed functions in the parliamentary machine, it must be differently constituted to what it is now.

The situation among the Tories in Northumberland seems to be very puzzling to some people, many of whom belong to the class who are most interested in things they understand least.

It is an open secret, however, and we ought to inform them that owing to successful opposition from Mr. Adams to his recommendations at Ottawa and his own resignation, Mr. Mitchell has been forced to oppose the Government.

CONFECTIONERY

FRESH GOODS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY

Always to be found at M. J. STAPLES'S

CHATHAM, N. B.

MIRAMICHI LIVERY STABLE,

Castle Street, - - - Newcastle

TEAMS FURISHED FOR DRIVES PARTIES

EXCURSIONS FISHING TRIPS ETC

JOHN MORRISSEY - Proprietor

and crime, the freedom to vote for members of the House of Commons, where taxes are imposed, revenue spent and all legislation is mostly initiated, let the Senate be, if we are to have one, a filter equal to the need, through which legislation may be refined from its crudities, injustice and party spirit.

The Advocate - which is, no doubt, as ready as the rest of the Tory supporters in Newcastle to throw Mr. Mitchell overboard in the interest of the new Ottawa patronage-dispenser in Northumberland - takes the liberty of stating that "for once in his life the editor of the ADVANCE is satisfied with an appointment made by the Dominion Government."

But perhaps he will deny these statements also; if so, I am prepared to prove them too. Indeed, it does not need much investigation to show that Mr. Forbes has branded the wrong man.

For myself I can truly say that, remembering the circumstances of that meeting, my feeling towards Mr. Forbes is one of pity rather than of anger, notwithstanding all the hard things he has seen fit to say of

Yours truly, G. HADLOW.

DAHLHOUS, May 27. To the creditors of Peter Grant, who were present at the meeting held last February, 1884:

GENTLEMEN: In giving my testimony before the public committee at Ottawa, regarding the "Inch Arran" matter, I said that Mrs. Grant had stated before her creditors that the minister of railways had promised her funds to build the hotel at St. John, N. B., and that Mr. G. Forbes, of St. John, who was present as her solicitor, also stated that he had personal knowledge of the fact that she had such a promise, and that he was going to Ottawa with Mrs. Grant to see the minister.

Yours respectfully, DALHOUSIE, 26th May, 1886.

We, the undersigned, were present at the meeting of creditors referred to in the above statement, and we are in a true statement of what was said by Mr. Grant and Mr. Forbes.

It is gratifying, after reading the rant of the N. Y. Herald and other American papers which take extreme views of the fishery question, to find that the legislators of the United States - who are more responsible in the matter - are disposed to discuss it in a sensible and dignified manner.

The Fisheries Troubles.

It is gratifying, after reading the rant of the N. Y. Herald and other American papers which take extreme views of the fishery question, to find that the legislators of the United States - who are more responsible in the matter - are disposed to discuss it in a sensible and dignified manner.

Cable Telegraphic Rates.

Those of the commercial community who have occasion to use the Atlantic telegraphic cables, are interested in the rate of rates between the old companies and the Commercial, or Mackay-Bennet company.

A Question of Veracity.

We published, last week, some correspondence and other matter in reference to the Inch Arran Hotel investigation at Ottawa, in which the impulsive St. John lawyer, Mr. J. G. Forbes, gave the lie to G. Hadlow, Esq., late M. P. for Restigouche.

you had personal knowledge that Sir Charles promised the government would pay for construction of Inch Arran. Is this correct? CHARLES H. TUPPER

Mr. Forbes answered this telegram as follows: ST. JOHN, N. B., May 12.

Now as Mr. Forbes has dared to characterize my statement as absolutely false, I take the liberty of asking you to publish the enclosed communication, which will serve to show who should be branded as a liar.

Mr. Forbes, in his telegram to Mr. Tupper, says "it was long after the meeting of creditors I visited Ottawa."

Now, I would add a little more said by Mr. Forbes at the creditors' meeting, which I did not tell the public account committee. He stated that the account was that was promised for the hotel, and that he was worth in the world that their claims would be all paid in a very short time.

Yours truly, G. HADLOW.

DAHLHOUS, May 27. To the creditors of Peter Grant, who were present at the meeting held last February, 1884:

GENTLEMEN: In giving my testimony before the public committee at Ottawa, regarding the "Inch Arran" matter, I said that Mrs. Grant had stated before her creditors that the minister of railways had promised her funds to build the hotel at St. John, N. B., and that Mr. G. Forbes, of St. John, who was present as her solicitor, also stated that he had personal knowledge of the fact that she had such a promise, and that he was going to Ottawa with Mrs. Grant to see the minister.

Yours respectfully, DALHOUSIE, 26th May, 1886.

We, the undersigned, were present at the meeting of creditors referred to in the above statement, and we are in a true statement of what was said by Mr. Grant and Mr. Forbes.

Taxes on Food and Fuel.

The following Hansard report of a speech delivered by Hon. Peter Mitchell in the House of Commons on 20th ult. shows that at least one advocate of the vaunted National Policy is beginning to realize its effects upon the country.

HOUSE OF COMMONS, OTTAWA, MAY 20. Mr. Mitchell - Before we go into Committee of Supply, I propose to bring under the consideration of the House a subject which is of very considerable importance, and one which affects the whole Dominion.

Those of the commercial community who have occasion to use the Atlantic telegraphic cables, are interested in the rate of rates between the old companies and the Commercial, or Mackay-Bennet company.

Dominion Subsidies for Local Objects.

Dominion subsidies to railways, many of which are of a local character, embody a policy which it is not necessary to discuss in detail.

ships suffered after all that it is possible or all that he was capable of conferring public benefits; but when they are granted they ought not to expect the support of the central authority.

Grand aid of private enterprises are in their very nature delicate things to deal with. Members of parliament have a strong temptation to be directly or indirectly interested in them, and in case they yield they are in the position of voting grants to themselves.

The general government ought surely to confine its railway grants to roads which are of national importance, and not to subsidize the production of Canada itself.

Yours truly, G. HADLOW.

Neglecting an Important Matter.

The Canadian Government has treated the Imperial Government very shabbily in the matter of the fisheries. Canadians who may be disposed to think that the Imperial Government is not looking after colonial interests as keenly as they should be looked after, ought to remember that early in the year 1883, and in 1884, the Home Government earnestly pressed upon the Governor General of Canada the desire to be informed of the wishes or intentions of the Canadian Ministry.

Mr. Mitchell - Then I will read it: Number of bushels of wheat and barrels of flour imported into the different Provinces of the Dominion of Canada for the years 1877 and 1885, and the value of each -

Table with columns: Province, Year 1877, Value. Rows include Ontario, Quebec, Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Manitoba, British Columbia, P. E. Island.

Table with columns: Province, Year 1885, Value. Rows include Ontario, Quebec, Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Manitoba, British Columbia, P. E. Island.

Table with columns: Province, Year 1877, Value. Rows include Ontario, Quebec, Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Manitoba, British Columbia, P. E. Island.

Table with columns: Province, Year 1885, Value. Rows include Ontario, Quebec, Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Manitoba, British Columbia, P. E. Island.

PERSONALLY AGAINST THE IMPOSITION of duty on the food of the poor people, and while, had I been elected, I would have endeavored to shape the policy of the Administration of that day so as to exclude the duty upon cereals and grains, which was necessary to warm the people, while I would have done that, unfortunately or fortunately for myself, and perhaps for my constituents, I was defeated, and therefore had but little to say in the framing of the National Policy.

Mr. Mitchell - Before we go into Committee of Supply, I propose to bring under the consideration of the House a subject which is of very considerable importance, and one which affects the whole Dominion.

It is gratifying, after reading the rant of the N. Y. Herald and other American papers which take extreme views of the fishery question, to find that the legislators of the United States - who are more responsible in the matter - are disposed to discuss it in a sensible and dignified manner.

Yours respectfully, DALHOUSIE, 26th May, 1886.

Neglecting an Important Matter.

The Canadian Government has treated the Imperial Government very shabbily in the matter of the fisheries. Canadians who may be disposed to think that the Imperial Government is not looking after colonial interests as keenly as they should be looked after, ought to remember that early in the year 1883, and in 1884, the Home Government earnestly pressed upon the Governor General of Canada the desire to be informed of the wishes or intentions of the Canadian Ministry.

Mr. Mitchell - Then I will read it: Number of bushels of wheat and barrels of flour imported into the different Provinces of the Dominion of Canada for the years 1877 and 1885, and the value of each -

Table with columns: Province, Year 1877, Value. Rows include Ontario, Quebec, Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Manitoba, British Columbia, P. E. Island.

Table with columns: Province, Year 1885, Value. Rows include Ontario, Quebec, Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Manitoba, British Columbia, P. E. Island.

Table with columns: Province, Year 1877, Value. Rows include Ontario, Quebec, Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Manitoba, British Columbia, P. E. Island.

Table with columns: Province, Year 1885, Value. Rows include Ontario, Quebec, Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Manitoba, British Columbia, P. E. Island.

New Brunswick Wheat, bush, 541 2,706 Manitoba - - - - - 7 6 Wheat, bush, - - - - - 2 9 Wheat, bush, - - - - - 16 85 Flour of wheat, bris., - - - - - 16 85

The total imports for the years 1877 and 1885, in the whole Dominion, were as follows: Year 1877. Value. Wheat, bush, 4,589,051 \$4,841,824 Flour of wheat, bris., 549,063 2,964,273 Year 1885. Wheat, bush, 3,128,143 3,102,422 Flour of wheat, bris., 565,662 3,272,355

EXTREMELY HARD AGAINST THOSE PROVINCES. Take the case of the Province from which I come, or of the adjoining Province of Nova Scotia, but I will particularly refer to New Brunswick. This Province is an agricultural Province, which depends chiefly upon its lumber, its grain, its fish caught on its shores, having but few industries established within its bounds.

Yours truly, G. HADLOW.

We, the undersigned, were present at the meeting of creditors referred to in the above statement, and we are in a true statement of what was said by Mr. Grant and Mr. Forbes.

It is gratifying, after reading the rant of the N. Y. Herald and other American papers which take extreme views of the fishery question, to find that the legislators of the United States - who are more responsible in the matter - are disposed to discuss it in a sensible and dignified manner.

Yours respectfully, DALHOUSIE, 26th May, 1886.

We, the undersigned, were present at the meeting of creditors referred to in the above statement, and we are in a true statement of what was said by Mr. Grant and Mr. Forbes.

It is gratifying, after reading the rant of the N. Y. Herald and other American papers which take extreme views of the fishery question, to find that the legislators of the United States - who are more responsible in the matter - are disposed to discuss it in a sensible and dignified manner.

Yours respectfully, DALHOUSIE, 26th May, 1886.