

**BUSINESS NOTICE**

The "MIRAMICHI ADVANCE" is published at Chatham, N.B., every Thursday morning in time for despatch by the earliest mail of the day.

It is sent to any address in Canada, or the United States (Postage prepaid by the publisher) at one dollar a year, payable in advance.

Advertisements, other than local or by the season are inserted at eight cents per line nonpareil, for the first insertion, and three cents per line for each subsequent insertion.

Yearly or season advertisements, are taken at the rate of \$10.00 in advance. The matter, if space is secured by the year, or season, may be changed under arrangement made with the publisher.

The "MIRAMICHI ADVANCE" having its large circulation distributed principally in the Counties of Kent, Northumberland, Gloucester and Hants, New Brunswick and in the Counties of Kent, Northumberland, Gloucester and Hants, Quebec in communities engaged in Lumbering, Fishing and Agriculture, results, offers superior inducements to advertisers. Address: Editor Miramichi Advance, Chatham, N.B.

**Robert Murray**  
BARRISTER-AT-LAW  
Notary Public, Insurance Agent,  
etc., etc., etc.,  
Chatham, N. B.

**Building Stone**

The subscriber is prepared to furnish stone for building and other purposes. Apply to  
L. J. TWEDDIE,  
or at the office of L. J. Tweddie.

**G. B. FRASER**  
ATTORNEY & BARRISTER  
NOTARY PUBLIC.  
AGENT FOR THE  
NORTH BRITISH  
AND  
MERCANTILE FIRE INSURANCE CO.

**CARD.**  
**R. A. LAWLOR,**  
Barrister-At-Law  
Solicitor Conveyancer Notary Public, etc.  
Chatham, N. B.

**Homan & Puddington**  
SHIP BROKERS AND COMMISSION  
MERCHANTS.  
pruce Lumber, Laths & Anthracite  
Coal.  
129 BROAD STREET,  
Cor. South Street, NEW YORK  
Correspondence and Consignments  
Solicited.

**DRS. G. J. & H. SPROUL**  
SURGEON DENTISTS.  
Teeth extracted without pain by the use of Nitrous Oxide Gas or other Anesthetics.  
Artificial Teeth set in Gold, Rubber and Celluloid. Special attention given to the preservation and regulating of the natural teeth.  
Also Crown and Bridge work. All work guaranteed in every respect.  
Office in Chatham, Benson Block. Telephone No. 51.  
In Newcastle opposite Square, over J. G. Keith's Barber Shop. Telephone No. 6.

**Adams House**  
Adjoining Bank of Montreal.  
Wellington St. - Chatham, N.B.  
This Hotel has been entirely Refurnished throughout and every possible arrangement is made to ensure the comfort of guests. Sample Rooms on the premises.  
TEAMS will be in attendance on the arrival of all trains.  
GOOD STABLING, &c.

**THOS. FLANAGAN,**  
PROPRIETOR.  
**Furnaces! Furnaces!!**  
Wood or Coal which I can furnish at Reasonable Prices.  
**STOVES**  
COOKING, HALL AND PARLOR  
STOVES at low prices.

**PUMPS! PUMPS!!**  
Sinks, Iron Pipe, Baths, Creamers, etc., very best, also Japanese stamped plain tinware in endless variety, all of the best stock, which I will sell low for cash.

**A. C. McLean, Chatham.**  
**IMPROVED PREMISES**  
Just arrived and on Sale at

**Roger Flanagan's**  
Wall Papers, Window Shade, Dry Goods, Ready Made Clothing, Gent's Furnishings, Hats, Caps, Boots, Shoes, &c., &c.  
Also a choice lot of

**GROCERIES AND PROVISIONS**  
**R. Flanagan**  
ST. JOHN STREET, CHATHAM

**Spectacles**  
The undermentioned advantages are claimed for MacKenzie's spectacles:  
1st.—That from the peculiar construction of the Glasses they Assist and Preserve the sight, rendering frequent changes unnecessary.  
2nd.—That they confer a brilliancy and distinctness of vision, with an amount of Ease and Comfort not hitherto enjoyed by spectacle wearers.  
3rd.—That the material from which the Lenses are ground is manufactured especially for optical purposes, by DR. CHARLES BARDOU's improved patent method, and is Pure, Hard and Brilliant and not liable to become scratched.  
4th.—That the frames in which they are set, whether in Gold, Silver or Steel, are of the finest quality and finish, and guaranteed perfect in every respect.  
The long evenings are here and you will want a pair of good glasses, so come to the Medical Hall and be properly fitted on no charge.

**J. D. B. F. MACKENZIE**  
Chatham, N. B., Sept. 24, 1895.

**MIRAMICHI ADVANCE**  
Vol. 24. No. 22. CHATHAM, NEW BRUNSWICK, APRIL 13, 1899. D. G. SMITH, EDITOR & PROPRIETOR  
TERMS—\$1.00 a Year, in Advance

**MIRAMICHI FOUNDRY**  
STEAM ENGINE AND BOILER WORKS  
Chatham, N. B.

**JOSEPH M. RUDDOCK,** PROPRIETOR  
Steam Engines and Boilers, Mill Machinery of all kinds; Steamers of any size constructed & furnished complete.  
GANG EDGERS, SHINGLE AND LATH MACHINES, CASTINGS OF ALL DESCRIPTIONS.  
**CAN DIES.**  
Iron Pipe Valves and Fittings Of All Kinds.  
DESIGNS, PLANS AND ESTIMATES FURNISHED ON APPLICATION.

**ASK FOR**  
**MONARCH**  
Steel Wire Nails,  
THEY NEVER LET GO,  
AND TAKE NO OTHERS.  
Orders filled at Factory Price, and a freight allowance made on lots of 10 kegs and upwards at one shipment.  
**KERR & ROBERTSON,**  
SAINT JOHN N. B.  
N. B.—IN STOCK AND TO ARRIVE 100 DOZEN K. & R. AXES.

**Miller's Foundry & Machine Works**  
RITCHIE WHARF, CHATHAM, N.B.  
(Successors to GILLESPIE FOUNDRY, Established 1852).  
Mill, Railway, and Machine Work, Marine Engines, Boiler repairing, Our Brass and Composition Castings are worthy a trial, being noted throughout the country. All work personally supervised. Satisfaction guaranteed. Send for estimates before ordering elsewhere. Mill Supplies, Fittings, Pipe, etc., in stock and to order.

**TUG BOATS, STEAM YACHTS and other Crafts built to Order**  
Our Marine Slip has a Capacity for Vessels up to 100 Tons.  
Repairs effected with quick dispatch.  
**Jas. G. Miller.**  
Paints, Oils, Varnishes and Hardware

Ready-Mixed Paints, all shades, including the Celebrated  
**Weather and Waterproof**  
THE BEST EVER MADE.

School Blackboard Paint.  
Gloss Carriage Paint, requires no Varnishing.  
Graining Colors, all kinds.  
Graining Combs, Dry Colors, all shades.  
Gold Leaf, Gold Bronze, Gold Paint.  
Stains, Walnut, Oak, Cherry, Mahogany, Rosewood, Floor Paints  
Weather and Waterproof.  
Kalsomine, all shades.  
7 lbs. English Boiled and Raw Oil, Pure.  
1 " Turpentine.  
100 Kegs English White Lead and Colored Paints.  
1 bbl. Machine Oil, Extra Good, Neats Foot Harness Oil.  
Ready-Mixed Metallic Roofing, 92 per cent. Iron.  
10 Kegs 100 lbs. each, Dry Metallic Roofing, 92 per cent. Iron.  
Paint and White Wash Brushes.  
VARNISHES, Elastic Oak, Carriage, Copal, Demar, Furniture Hard Oil  
Finish, Pure Shellac, Dri. res.  
Joiners' and Machinists' Tools, a specialty.  
Special attention to Builders' Materials in Locks, Knobs, Hinges, etc.  
Sheet Lead and Zinc, Lead Pipe, Pumps.  
75 Rolls Dry and Tanned Sheathing Paper.  
30 Boxes Window Glass.  
20 Kegs Horse Shoes, \$3.90 per keg; 15 Boxes Horse Nails, \$3.00 box.  
10 Tons Refined Iron, \$2.50 per 100 lbs.  
Cast Steel, Bellows, Chain, Nuts, Bolts, Washers, Grindstones, Grinding  
stone Fixtures.

White Mountain Ice Cream Freezers, \$1.90. Clothes Wringers, \$2.50. Daisy Curns, \$3.75.  
Cart and Waggon Axles, Cow Bells, Wire Screen Doors, Window Screens, Green Wave Wire 14c. yd., Barbed Wire Fencing, Counter Scales, Weigh Belts, Steelyards, Carpet Sweepers, Blasting Powder and Fuse, Sporting Powder, Guns, Revolvers. To arrive from Belgium 35 Single and Double Barrel Break Loading Guns.

Barber's Toilet Clippers, Horse Clippers, Lawn Shears, Accordions, Violins, Bows and Fixings.  
**Farming Tools, All Kinds**  
Mower Sections, 70c. doz. Heads, 40c. each. Knife Heads, \$3.00.  
Guards, 35c. each, Rivets, Oilers.  
My Stock of General Hardware is complete in every branch and too numerous to mention.  
All persons requiring goods in my line will save money by calling on me, as they will find my prices away down below the lowest, prove this by calling.

**J. R. GOGGIN.**  
**WE DO**  
**Job Printing**  
Letter Heads, Note Heads, Bill Heads, Envelopes, Tags, Hand Bills.  
**Printing For Saw Mills**  
ON WOOD, LINEN, COTTON, OR PAPER WITH EQUAL FACILITY.  
Compare it with that of others.  
Miramichi Advance Job Printing Office  
CHATHAM, NEW BRUNSWICK

**NOTES AND COMMENTS**

The British House of Commons will, presently, have to deal with a question which will give rise to dissension in the Unionist party, and which, possibly, may enable the Liberals to regain their former ascendancy in the manufacturing and mining centres. We refer to the deficit which exists in the national income and which is likely to be considerably augmented within the coming twelvemonth. The shortcoming for the present fiscal year is computed at \$7,500,000 to which \$15,000,000 will be added in the next budget by increased appropriations for army and navy. How is this deficiency to be made good? By rigorous adhesion to the programme of taxation strictly for revenue, or by a tentative reversion to protectionist ideas?

The question will be answered in one way by the Liberals, and in another way by the agrarian and imperialist elements of the Unionist party. The Liberals will contend that, if a larger revenue be required, it may be easily obtained by slightly increasing the income tax, or by making small additions, to the taxes on such luxuries as beer and tobacco. The same view of the course to be followed in the impending emergency would, doubtless, be taken by the present Chancellor of the Exchequer, Sir Michael Hicks-Beach, if he were left to himself, for he is a pronounced free trader. The property-owning classes, however, which wield a great, if not a decisive, influence in the inner councils of the Unionists, hold that the income tax is already too high for peace times, and that only the stress of war would justify any further augmentation of it. The brewing interest, which has earned by services the right to be considered in Conservative legislation, would, of course, oppose any addition to their present fiscal burden. It is, nevertheless, improbable that the brewers, even though they should be called upon to bear the brunt of the threatened deficit, would, on that account, forsake the Conservative party, for they have even more to dread from a Liberal Government, which, it is expected, would sanction the principle of local option.

A very difficult method of solving the fiscal problem is advocated by the agrarians and imperialists, who, if they should win over Lord Salisbury, could force Sir Michael Hicks-Beach to carry out their wishes or to resign. They would meet the actual and prospective deficit by levying a duty of one cent a pound on foreign sugar, and of a shilling per quarter on imported wheat. The former duty would tend to rescue the British West Indies from ruin and the latter to start a revival of agriculture in the British Islands, and a consequent appreciation in the value of landed property. Nevertheless, the largest output of wheat which could be expected from Canada for many years to come, joined to the greatest possible quantity of the cereal that could be grown in the United Kingdom, would fall far short of never the wants of the British population. From this point of view the argument against a duty on wheat is far stronger than it was in the forties, when Sir Robert Peel began his onslaught upon the corn laws.

It should be remembered that operative, artisans, dock laborers, railway laborers and miners, or, in other words, all workmen who gain a livelihood by means other than agriculture, constitute a much larger proportion of the population of Great Britain and Ireland than they did in the forties. They would resent even a tax on sugar, and still more vehemently a tax on wheat, even though the latter should be so small as to produce scarcely an appreciable effect on the price of a loaf of bread. They would oppose such a tax on principle, because that, if any duty at all is to be levied on wheat in peace times, it would almost certainly be war. Nor is there any doubt that the classes which would cry out against a bread tax are now, politically, masters of Great Britain. This was not the case when the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined by the first Reform Bill. By the second Reform act Great Britain made a close approach to universal suffrage, and it would be impossible to resist the non-agricultural vote. The fact is, however, that the conditions of the franchise were still determined