

General Business.



The long experience as a practical Accountant and Commercial Teacher, of the principal, the thoroughness of the work that is being done, the reasonable rates of tuition, and the very low figure at which board may be had, are some of the things that are making...

Fredericton Business College

The popular college of the Maritime Provinces. Present attendance more than double that of last year. Send for FREE Catalogue. Address, W. J. OSBORNE, Principal, Fredericton, N. B.

A SPECIAL DRIVE

JOSIE NOONAN'S THE BOQUET.

25 Dozen—The Latest—Walking Hats and Sailors, 79c. and 99c.

Come early before the assortment is broken, as the styles are very attractive and quality the finest.

As usual, prices the lowest.

JOSIE NOONAN.

THE LONDON GUARANTEE

ACCIDENT CO.

The only British Co. in Canada Insuring. Accident Insurance at lowest rates. Protect your life and your time by taking a policy in THE LONDON.

FOR SALE.

Two heavy draft horses seven years old, weight 2200 lbs. Two drivers—one four years old, bred by J. H. Clark, one three years old, bred by C. J. Gagnon, both by Dan Swift, both jet black.

14 BUILDING LOTS

FOR SALE on Princess, Victoria and Howard Streets. Sites of lots 50x100, 50x140, 50x132.

C. WARMUNDE IS OFFERING

SPECIAL BARGAINS

WATCHES, CLOCKS, JEWELLRY, Silverware & Novelties.

We are glad to welcome visitors, pleased to show our goods and ready to make close prices to all.

Special Notice to Subscribers.

Referring to the notice published in the last issue of the ADVANCE regarding my intention of closing the subscription accounts in the hands of a collection agency, I beg to state that quite a number of the patrons of the ADVANCE have written requesting that I defer such action in their case for a few days, when they will settle. There are also a large number who are more than one year's subscription—some of them several years in default—whom I have not had time to advise in advance, and who have not yet paid the Agency to take charge of it, and in whom I have no objection to their settling with me.

A Pair of Failures.

Sir Charles Tupper of Nova Scotia, leader of the Liberal-Conservative party at Ottawa, declared, the other day, that Hon. A. D. Richard, the French Conservative representative of Westmorland in the Emmerson-Tweedie government, must be ostracized by the Tupper-Foster party, unless he resigned his position in that government and became hostile to it. This position of Sir Charles is an illustration of what we may expect, should the policy of Mr. Foster's Monoton convention succeed. Sir Charles is Mr. Foster's political "boss." It was in that wild old politician's interest—being High Commissioner in London at the time—that Mr. Foster led his six executive manikins in their desertion of premier Bowell, whose ministry was saved by the faithful adherence of honest John Costigan, which compelled Foster and his co-conspirators to crawl back and apologise. Neither Sir Charles nor Mr. Foster have ever forgiven Mr. Costigan for fighting that conspiracy, and they are determined to overthrow the New Brunswick government if they can possibly do so, because it is supported by many Conservatives who openly resented the treachery of that memorable episode.

Quarter of a Century

That F. O. PETERSON, Merchant Tailor, IS STILL AT THE SAME BUSINESS AS FOR...

Always on hand a large stock of the most FASHIONABLE HATS and TRIMMINGS and a select stock of GENTS' FURNISHINGS.

Miramichi Advance.

CHATHAM, N. B., FEBRUARY 9, 1899.

A Problem of Conquest.

Our American cousins do not appear to be as successful as their progenitors in dealing with semi-civilized peoples and, as a result, they are having no end of trouble in the Philippine islands. They appear to have underestimated the treachery of the Spaniards and the assistance they would naturally have from Aguinaldo in getting as much as possible in the form of money or other concessions from the United States to square both off in connection with the former conditions and differences between them. The fact that the United States naval and land forces were not backed by the absolute authority which they would have had were they British, to establish themselves, for the time being, in the position of conquerors, appears to have encouraged a rebelliance, on the part of the late rebels, of the idea that the Americans were as easily to be brushed aside as the Spaniards. True, their ruler and general, Aguinaldo, had commissioned his friend Aguinaldo to go to Washington to sue for terms which would leave the people of the Philippines at his mercy, but he failed to await the result of the mission of his envoy, and concluded that it would serve his purpose best to create new troubles. Our United States neighbors thus learn another lesson which is involved in their departure from the Monroe doctrine and have another incentive to study true models in conquest and colonization.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 6.—The official despatches from Manila received on Sunday are as follows:

MANILA, Feb. 5. To the Secretary of the Navy, Washington: Insurgents here inaugurated general engagement yesterday night, which continued to-day. The American army and navy is generally successful. Insurgents have been driven from our line advanced. No casualties to navy.

(Signed) DEWEY.

MANILA, Feb. 5. To Adj. General, Washington: Insurgents in large force opened an attack on our outer lines at 8:45 last evening; renewed attack several times during night; at 4 o'clock this morning entire line engaged; all attacks repulsed; at daylight advanced against insurgents and have driven them beyond the lines they formerly occupied, capturing several villages and their defended works; our own casualties thus far estimated at one hundred and seventy-five, very few fatal. Troops enthusiastic and acting fearlessly. Navy did splendid execution on flanks of enemy; city held in check and absolute quiet prevails; insurgents have secured good many Mauser rifles, a few field pieces and quick-firing guns, with ammunition, during the last month.

(Signed) OTIS.

MANILA, Feb. 5. Adj. General, Washington: Have established our permanent lines well out and have driven off the insurgents. The troops have conducted themselves with great heroism. The country about Manila is peaceful and the city perfectly quiet. List of casualties to-morrow.

(Signed) OTIS.

MANILA, Feb. 5. Adj. General, Washington: Situation most satisfactory. No apprehension need be felt. Perfect quiet prevails in city and vicinity. List of casualties being prepared and will forward as soon as possible. Troops in excellent health and spirits.

(Signed) OTIS.

WASHINGTON, Dec. 6th.—Instructions will be sent to Major-General Otis to-day directing him to follow up his victory over the insurgents and crush the power of Aguinaldo. Now that Aguinaldo has thrown down the gauntlet, Lioilo will be taken and the Islands of the Archipelago occupied as rapidly as possible and to the extent that Gen. Otis' force will permit. It is pointed out that Aguinaldo and his followers have placed themselves within that provision of the president's proclamation declaring it to be the purpose of the United States to hold to strict account those responsible for the disturbance of peace and the order of the islands or who fail to recognize the supremacy of the United States. The authorities say Aguinaldo will now suffer the punishment his treachery deserves.

A Pair of Failures.

Sir Charles Tupper of Nova Scotia, leader of the Liberal-Conservative party at Ottawa, declared, the other day, that Hon. A. D. Richard, the French Conservative representative of Westmorland in the Emmerson-Tweedie government, must be ostracized by the Tupper-Foster party, unless he resigned his position in that government and became hostile to it. This position of Sir Charles is an illustration of what we may expect, should the policy of Mr. Foster's Monoton convention succeed. Sir Charles is Mr. Foster's political "boss." It was in that wild old politician's interest—being High Commissioner in London at the time—that Mr. Foster led his six executive manikins in their desertion of premier Bowell, whose ministry was saved by the faithful adherence of honest John Costigan, which compelled Foster and his co-conspirators to crawl back and apologise. Neither Sir Charles nor Mr. Foster have ever forgiven Mr. Costigan for fighting that conspiracy, and they are determined to overthrow the New Brunswick government if they can possibly do so, because it is supported by many Conservatives who openly resented the treachery of that memorable episode.

THE MONOTON TICKET.

Some of the opposition speakers put very poor arguments before the electors. The Monoton Transcript says:—"Mr. J. D. Hazen during his speech on Saturday night took occasion to state that Hon. A. G. Blair is still premier of this province, and that he holds the hand of authority over Premier Emmerson. The Transcript retorts by saying that "Mr. Hazen ought to inform his hearers that Hon. G. E. Foster holds the lash over both A. A. Stockton and J. D. Hazen, and it is as yet a mystery as to which of these two gentlemen is second best man."

THESE BRIDGES.

The following extract from Hon. Premier Emmerson's speech at Fredericton on Thursday last will be read with interest just now:—"There is a feeling in the breast of every fair minded citizen of this province that no one should be accused—without having a chance to meet him face to face. Think you that if the opposition thought they would have produced their names engineer long ago? Then again, take the case of the OPPOSITION EVIDENCE NOT CREDITABLE, charge was not put forward by any member of the legislature, but by a gentleman not now in public life. Do you not think that the object of that was so that if the house met before an election the opposition might have a chance of running away from the charges which had been published in their interest. The Daily Record of Saturday, January 21st, referring to my statement at St. Andrews that the insinuations in the legislature with respect to the bridge charges had been fully met by the government, said: "This Dr. Stockton emphatically denied, and, as a matter of fact the government's little dodge of proroguing the house when Mr. Pinder was speaking to the charge of 'beef and boodle' well. The member for York was allowed to read the doctored price schedule and expose the tricks of the book-keepers when the other of the black and announced the arrival of the governor to terminate the session." I have not seen any denial of the truth of this report in the Record, but I would hope that politics in New Brunswick have not fallen so low that the leader of a party would be guilty of making such an incorrect statement as the one just quoted. As a matter of fact, as is reported in the Sun newspaper of Friday, March 18th, last year, will show, Mr. Pinder finished an exhaustive speech and was followed by myself, Messrs. Dibblee, Osman, Sumner, Robinson, Stockton, Tweedie, Smith, Alward, Black and Lockhart, and the debate was concluded and the house adjourned in the report of the committee of public accounts, after which the house adjourned on Friday forenoon, when, after considerable routine and discussion, the governor prorogued the house. What the opposition can do to gain from this misrepresenting the actual proceedings of the house I leave it to Dr. Stockton and his party to determine.

BRIDGE EXPENDITURES APPROVED LAST SESSION.

Just before the close of the legislature last session there was a meeting of the public accounts committee. A report of its proceedings was telegraphed to one of the St. John papers by a member of the Gleaner newspaper staff. This is what he wrote:—"Being disappointed at Mr. Emmerson's capable and honest administration of affairs in connection with the legislative buildings and laudable assiduity, the opposition certainly was next levelled at the expenditure on permanent bridges. The opposition felt sure that Mr. Emmerson, with all the multitude of other official duties the premier must attend to, could not expend \$85,000 upon 254 bridges, situated in every part of the province, in sums varying from \$150 to \$3,700, and having to deal with all sorts of men, without some misappropriation or unwise or excessive expenditure. Mr. Pinder, aided by Mr. Dibblee, made a most careful and critical search of all the accounts, papers and statements of permanent bridge expenditure. Mr. Emmerson and the deputy commissioner of public works, Mr. Winslow, were present to aid the critics in their investigation, and when a most thorough search was completed at 11 o'clock to-night the opposition members held a midnight convale and made successful arrange-

THE MONOTON TICKET.

Some of the opposition speakers put very poor arguments before the electors. The Monoton Transcript says:—"Mr. J. D. Hazen during his speech on Saturday night took occasion to state that Hon. A. G. Blair is still premier of this province, and that he holds the hand of authority over Premier Emmerson. The Transcript retorts by saying that "Mr. Hazen ought to inform his hearers that Hon. G. E. Foster holds the lash over both A. A. Stockton and J. D. Hazen, and it is as yet a mystery as to which of these two gentlemen is second best man."

THESE BRIDGES.

The following extract from Hon. Premier Emmerson's speech at Fredericton on Thursday last will be read with interest just now:—"There is a feeling in the breast of every fair minded citizen of this province that no one should be accused—without having a chance to meet him face to face. Think you that if the opposition thought they would have produced their names engineer long ago? Then again, take the case of the OPPOSITION EVIDENCE NOT CREDITABLE, charge was not put forward by any member of the legislature, but by a gentleman not now in public life. Do you not think that the object of that was so that if the house met before an election the opposition might have a chance of running away from the charges which had been published in their interest. The Daily Record of Saturday, January 21st, referring to my statement at St. Andrews that the insinuations in the legislature with respect to the bridge charges had been fully met by the government, said: "This Dr. Stockton emphatically denied, and, as a matter of fact the government's little dodge of proroguing the house when Mr. Pinder was speaking to the charge of 'beef and boodle' well. The member for York was allowed to read the doctored price schedule and expose the tricks of the book-keepers when the other of the black and announced the arrival of the governor to terminate the session." I have not seen any denial of the truth of this report in the Record, but I would hope that politics in New Brunswick have not fallen so low that the leader of a party would be guilty of making such an incorrect statement as the one just quoted. As a matter of fact, as is reported in the Sun newspaper of Friday, March 18th, last year, will show, Mr. Pinder finished an exhaustive speech and was followed by myself, Messrs. Dibblee, Osman, Sumner, Robinson, Stockton, Tweedie, Smith, Alward, Black and Lockhart, and the debate was concluded and the house adjourned in the report of the committee of public accounts, after which the house adjourned on Friday forenoon, when, after considerable routine and discussion, the governor prorogued the house. What the opposition can do to gain from this misrepresenting the actual proceedings of the house I leave it to Dr. Stockton and his party to determine.

BRIDGE EXPENDITURES APPROVED LAST SESSION.

Just before the close of the legislature last session there was a meeting of the public accounts committee. A report of its proceedings was telegraphed to one of the St. John papers by a member of the Gleaner newspaper staff. This is what he wrote:—"Being disappointed at Mr. Emmerson's capable and honest administration of affairs in connection with the legislative buildings and laudable assiduity, the opposition certainly was next levelled at the expenditure on permanent bridges. The opposition felt sure that Mr. Emmerson, with all the multitude of other official duties the premier must attend to, could not expend \$85,000 upon 254 bridges, situated in every part of the province, in sums varying from \$150 to \$3,700, and having to deal with all sorts of men, without some misappropriation or unwise or excessive expenditure. Mr. Pinder, aided by Mr. Dibblee, made a most careful and critical search of all the accounts, papers and statements of permanent bridge expenditure. Mr. Emmerson and the deputy commissioner of public works, Mr. Winslow, were present to aid the critics in their investigation, and when a most thorough search was completed at 11 o'clock to-night the opposition members held a midnight convale and made successful arrange-

THE MONOTON TICKET.

Some of the opposition speakers put very poor arguments before the electors. The Monoton Transcript says:—"Mr. J. D. Hazen during his speech on Saturday night took occasion to state that Hon. A. G. Blair is still premier of this province, and that he holds the hand of authority over Premier Emmerson. The Transcript retorts by saying that "Mr. Hazen ought to inform his hearers that Hon. G. E. Foster holds the lash over both A. A. Stockton and J. D. Hazen, and it is as yet a mystery as to which of these two gentlemen is second best man."

Bruswick than Mr. Foster. New Brunswick not only refuses to permit his interference in its local concerns, but it resents it. As the federal leader he only demonstrates his incapacity by failing to understand that if he were much more able, capable and influential than he can ever hope to be, New Brunswickers would easily get along without him, resist his intrusion in their local affairs, and in giving him the right about, suggest that they are only following the policy of the people of his own province, who know him best and have refused, for many years, to permit any public man associated with him to have a voice in administering their local affairs. Sir Charles Tupper's impertinent backing of Mr. Foster's Monoton convention policy is, therefore, another proof of his senility and political incapacity.

Political Notes.

A bogus Northumberland opposition ticket has been telegraphed to the outside press by Mr. Foster's emissaries.

Following is a list of the candidates already nominated for the Local Legislature in the different constituencies of New Brunswick:—

Table with columns: County, Opp. (Candidates), and Opposition (Candidates).

"COMMITTEE ROOM."

"House of Assembly, 13 March, 1897. "The committee to whom were referred the Public Accounts, together with the Auditor-General's report thereon for the fiscal year ending 31st October, 1896, beg to report that your committee pursuant to the reference made by the House to them by order of the 6th Feb. last passed, have carefully investigated the various items concurred in by the house, and Messrs. Sumner and Pinder were repeatedly requested to furnish the names of witnesses, but they neglected to do so until coming at 2 p.m., on the 10th, your committee being then in session. They were requested again to furnish the said names. Mr. Sumner did then hand in the names of two witnesses, Colin Stewart and Angus Fisher of St. George, Charlotte County, when subpoenas were at once made out and duly served and delivered to Mr. Sumner by the chairman. Within a few minutes after Mr. Sumner returned and withdrew the names of the witnesses, stating that he would not proceed with the inquiry.

"Your committee beg also to report that on the 5th of March instant, some items of expenditure so presented in the Public Accounts referred to were questioned, through fully explained by the Chief Commissioner, Mr. Young, and Mr. St. George Bridge and Digdequash bridge in the County of Charlotte, and the Nerepis bridge in the County of Kings. Resolution, moved by Mr. Sumner, a member of the committee, that the committee be sent for persons and papers and examine witnesses under oath was passed and as set forth in the Auditor-General's report and the accounts referred to therein. That your committee proceeded from page to page of the said report to the end thereof, and after a searching investigation, to the best of your committee's ability, found all the items as set forth in the said report correct.

"The Chief Commissioner and Mr. Winslow, Chief Clerk in the Board of Works Department being called from time to time to explain various items of expenditure in that department did so to our full satisfaction, as did also the provincial secretary and surveyor-general as to items within the scope of their respective departments.

"What happened in connection with the charges of 1897 will happen again this year, after the legislature meets. The charges will be withdrawn because the opposition have no evidence to sustain them.

THESE BRIDGES.

The following extract from Hon. Premier Emmerson's speech at Fredericton on Thursday last will be read with interest just now:—"There is a feeling in the breast of every fair minded citizen of this province that no one should be accused—without having a chance to meet him face to face. Think you that if the opposition thought they would have produced their names engineer long ago? Then again, take the case of the OPPOSITION EVIDENCE NOT CREDITABLE, charge was not put forward by any member of the legislature, but by a gentleman not now in public life. Do you not think that the object of that was so that if the house met before an election the opposition might have a chance of running away from the charges which had been published in their interest. The Daily Record of Saturday, January 21st, referring to my statement at St. Andrews that the insinuations in the legislature with respect to the bridge charges had been fully met by the government, said: "This Dr. Stockton emphatically denied, and, as a matter of fact the government's little dodge of proroguing the house when Mr. Pinder was speaking to the charge of 'beef and boodle' well. The member for York was allowed to read the doctored price schedule and expose the tricks of the book-keepers when the other of the black and announced the arrival of the governor to terminate the session." I have not seen any denial of the truth of this report in the Record, but I would hope that politics in New Brunswick have not fallen so low that the leader of a party would be guilty of making such an incorrect statement as the one just quoted. As a matter of fact, as is reported in the Sun newspaper of Friday, March 18th, last year, will show, Mr. Pinder finished an exhaustive speech and was followed by myself, Messrs. Dibblee, Osman, Sumner, Robinson, Stockton, Tweedie, Smith, Alward, Black and Lockhart, and the debate was concluded and the house adjourned in the report of the committee of public accounts, after which the house adjourned on Friday forenoon, when, after considerable routine and discussion, the governor prorogued the house. What the opposition can do to gain from this misrepresenting the actual proceedings of the house I leave it to Dr. Stockton and his party to determine.

BRIDGE EXPENDITURES APPROVED LAST SESSION.

Just before the close of the legislature last session there was a meeting of the public accounts committee. A report of its proceedings was telegraphed to one of the St. John papers by a member of the Gleaner newspaper staff. This is what he wrote:—"Being disappointed at Mr. Emmerson's capable and honest administration of affairs in connection with the legislative buildings and laudable assiduity, the opposition certainly was next levelled at the expenditure on permanent bridges. The opposition felt sure that Mr. Emmerson, with all the multitude of other official duties the premier must attend to, could not expend \$85,000 upon 254 bridges, situated in every part of the province, in sums varying from \$150 to \$3,700, and having to deal with all sorts of men, without some misappropriation or unwise or excessive expenditure. Mr. Pinder, aided by Mr. Dibblee, made a most careful and critical search of all the accounts, papers and statements of permanent bridge expenditure. Mr. Emmerson and the deputy commissioner of public works, Mr. Winslow, were present to aid the critics in their investigation, and when a most thorough search was completed at 11 o'clock to-night the opposition members held a midnight convale and made successful arrange-

THE MONOTON TICKET.

Some of the opposition speakers put very poor arguments before the electors. The Monoton Transcript says:—"Mr. J. D. Hazen during his speech on Saturday night took occasion to state that Hon. A. G. Blair is still premier of this province, and that he holds the hand of authority over Premier Emmerson. The Transcript retorts by saying that "Mr. Hazen ought to inform his hearers that Hon. G. E. Foster holds the lash over both A. A. Stockton and J. D. Hazen, and it is as yet a mystery as to which of these two gentlemen is second best man."

THESE BRIDGES.

The following extract from Hon. Premier Emmerson's speech at Fredericton on Thursday last will be read with interest just now:—"There is a feeling in the breast of every fair minded citizen of this province that no one should be accused—without having a chance to meet him face to face. Think you that if the opposition thought they would have produced their names engineer long ago? Then again, take the case of the OPPOSITION EVIDENCE NOT CREDITABLE, charge was not put forward by any member of the legislature, but by a gentleman not now in public life. Do you not think that the object of that was so that if the house met before an election the opposition might have a chance of running away from the charges which had been published in their interest. The Daily Record of Saturday, January 21st, referring to my statement at St. Andrews that the insinuations in the legislature with respect to the bridge charges had been fully met by the government, said: "This Dr. Stockton emphatically denied, and, as a matter of fact the government's little dodge of proroguing the house when Mr. Pinder was speaking to the charge of 'beef and boodle' well. The member for York was allowed to read the doctored price schedule and expose the tricks of the book-keepers when the other of the black and announced the arrival of the governor to terminate the session." I have not seen any denial of the truth of this report in the Record, but I would hope that politics in New Brunswick have not fallen so low that the leader of a party would be guilty of making such an incorrect statement as the one just quoted. As a matter of fact, as is reported in the Sun newspaper of Friday, March 18th, last year, will show, Mr. Pinder finished an exhaustive speech and was followed by myself, Messrs. Dibblee, Osman, Sumner, Robinson, Stockton, Tweedie, Smith, Alward, Black and Lockhart, and the debate was concluded and the house adjourned in the report of the committee of public accounts, after which the house adjourned on Friday forenoon, when, after considerable routine and discussion, the governor prorogued the house. What the opposition can do to gain from this misrepresenting the actual proceedings of the house I leave it to Dr. Stockton and his party to determine.

BRIDGE EXPENDITURES APPROVED LAST SESSION.

Just before the close of the legislature last session there was a meeting of the public accounts committee. A report of its proceedings was telegraphed to one of the St. John papers by a member of the Gleaner newspaper staff. This is what he wrote:—"Being disappointed at Mr. Emmerson's capable and honest administration of affairs in connection with the legislative buildings and laudable assiduity, the opposition certainly was next levelled at the expenditure on permanent bridges. The opposition felt sure that Mr. Emmerson, with all the multitude of other official duties the premier must attend to, could not expend \$85,000 upon 254 bridges, situated in every part of the province, in sums varying from \$150 to \$3,700, and having to deal with all sorts of men, without some misappropriation or unwise or excessive expenditure. Mr. Pinder, aided by Mr. Dibblee, made a most careful and critical search of all the accounts, papers and statements of permanent bridge expenditure. Mr. Emmerson and the deputy commissioner of public works, Mr. Winslow, were present to aid the critics in their investigation, and when a most thorough search was completed at 11 o'clock to-night the opposition members held a midnight convale and made successful arrange-

THE MONOTON TICKET.

Some of the opposition speakers put very poor arguments before the electors. The Monoton Transcript says:—"Mr. J. D. Hazen during his speech on Saturday night took occasion to state that Hon. A. G. Blair is still premier of this province, and that he holds the hand of authority over Premier Emmerson. The Transcript retorts by saying that "Mr. Hazen ought to inform his hearers that Hon. G. E. Foster holds the lash over both A. A. Stockton and J. D. Hazen, and it is as yet a mystery as to which of these two gentlemen is second best man."

THESE BRIDGES.

The following extract from Hon. Premier Emmerson's speech at Fredericton on Thursday last will be read with interest just now:—"There is a feeling in the breast of every fair minded citizen of this province that no one should be accused—without having a chance to meet him face to face. Think you that if the opposition thought they would have produced their names engineer long ago? Then again, take the case of the OPPOSITION EVIDENCE NOT CREDITABLE, charge was not put forward by any member of the legislature, but by a gentleman not now in public life. Do you not think that the object of that was so that if the house met before an election the opposition might have a chance of running away from the charges which had been published in their interest. The Daily Record of Saturday, January 21st, referring to my statement at St. Andrews that the insinuations in the legislature with respect to the bridge charges had been fully met by the government, said: "This Dr. Stockton emphatically denied, and, as a matter of fact the government's little dodge of proroguing the house when Mr. Pinder was speaking to the charge of 'beef and boodle' well. The member for York was allowed to read the doctored price schedule and expose the tricks of the book-keepers when the other of the black and announced the arrival of the governor to terminate the session." I have not seen any denial of the truth of this report in the Record, but I would hope that politics in New Brunswick have not fallen so low that the leader of a party would be guilty of making such an incorrect statement as the one just quoted. As a matter of fact, as is reported in the Sun newspaper of Friday, March 18th, last year, will show, Mr. Pinder finished an exhaustive speech and was followed by myself, Messrs. Dibblee, Osman, Sumner, Robinson, Stockton, Tweedie, Smith, Alward, Black and Lockhart, and the debate was concluded and the house adjourned in the report of the committee of public accounts, after which the house adjourned on Friday forenoon, when, after considerable routine and discussion, the governor prorogued the house. What the opposition can do to gain from this misrepresenting the actual proceedings of the house I leave it to Dr. Stockton and his party to determine.

BRIDGE EXPENDITURES APPROVED LAST SESSION.

Just before the close of the legislature last session there was a meeting of the public accounts committee. A report of its proceedings was telegraphed to one of the St. John papers by a member of the Gleaner newspaper staff. This is what he wrote:—"Being disappointed at Mr. Emmerson's capable and honest administration of affairs in connection with the legislative buildings and laudable assiduity, the opposition certainly was next levelled at the expenditure on permanent bridges. The opposition felt sure that Mr. Emmerson, with all the multitude of other official duties the premier must attend to, could not expend \$85,000 upon 254 bridges, situated in every part of the province, in sums varying from \$150 to \$3,700, and having to deal with all sorts of men, without some misappropriation or unwise or excessive expenditure. Mr. Pinder, aided by Mr. Dibblee, made a most careful and critical search of all the accounts, papers and statements of permanent bridge expenditure. Mr. Emmerson and the deputy commissioner of public works, Mr. Winslow, were present to aid the critics in their investigation, and when a most thorough search was completed at 11 o'clock to-night the opposition members held a midnight convale and made successful arrange-

THE MONOTON TICKET.

Some of the opposition speakers put very poor arguments before the electors. The Monoton Transcript says:—"Mr. J. D. Hazen during his speech on Saturday night took occasion to state that Hon. A. G. Blair is still premier of this province, and that he holds the hand of authority over Premier Emmerson. The Transcript retorts by saying that "Mr. Hazen ought to inform his hearers that Hon. G. E. Foster holds the lash over both A. A. Stockton and J. D. Hazen, and it is as yet a mystery as to which of these two gentlemen is second best man."

THESE BRIDGES.

The following extract from Hon. Premier Emmerson's speech at Fredericton on Thursday last will be read with interest just now:—"There is a feeling in the breast of every fair minded citizen of this province that no one should be accused—without having a chance to meet him face to face. Think you that if the opposition thought they would have produced their names engineer long ago? Then again, take the case of the OPPOSITION EVIDENCE NOT CREDITABLE, charge was not put forward by any member of the legislature, but by a gentleman not now in public life. Do you not think that the object of that was so that if the house met before an election the opposition might have a chance of running away from the charges which had been published in their interest. The Daily Record of Saturday, January 21st, referring to my statement at St. Andrews that the insinuations in the legislature with respect to the bridge charges had been fully met by the government, said: "This Dr. Stockton emphatically denied, and, as a matter of fact the government's little dodge of proroguing the house when Mr. Pinder was speaking to the charge of 'beef and boodle' well. The member for York was allowed to read the doctored price schedule and expose the tricks of the book-keepers when the other of the black and announced the arrival of the governor to terminate the session." I have not seen any denial of the truth of this report in the Record, but I would hope that politics in New Brunswick have not fallen so low that the leader of a party would be guilty of making such an incorrect statement as the one just quoted. As a matter of fact, as is reported in the Sun newspaper of Friday, March 18th, last year, will show, Mr. Pinder finished an exhaustive speech and was followed by myself, Messrs. Dibblee, Osman, Sumner, Robinson, Stockton, Tweedie, Smith, Alward, Black and Lockhart, and the debate was concluded and the house adjourned in the report of the committee of public accounts, after which the house adjourned on Friday forenoon, when, after considerable routine and discussion, the governor prorogued the house. What the opposition can do to gain from this misrepresenting the actual proceedings of the house I leave it to Dr. Stockton and his party to determine.

BRIDGE EXPENDITURES APPROVED LAST SESSION.

Just before the close of the legislature last session there was a meeting of the public accounts committee. A report of its proceedings was telegraphed to one of the St. John papers by a member of the Gleaner newspaper staff. This is what he wrote:—"Being disappointed at Mr. Emmerson's capable and honest administration of affairs in connection with the legislative buildings and laudable assiduity, the opposition certainly was next levelled at the expenditure on permanent bridges. The opposition felt sure that Mr. Emmerson, with all the multitude of other official duties the premier must attend to, could not expend \$85,000 upon 254 bridges, situated in every part of the province, in sums varying from \$150 to \$3,700, and having to deal with all sorts of men, without some misappropriation or unwise or excessive expenditure. Mr. Pinder, aided by Mr. Dibblee, made a most careful and critical search of all the accounts, papers and statements of permanent bridge expenditure. Mr. Emmerson and the deputy commissioner of public works, Mr. Winslow, were present to aid the critics in their investigation, and when a most thorough search was completed at 11 o'clock to-night the opposition members held a midnight convale and made successful arrange-

THE MONOTON TICKET.

Some of the opposition speakers put very poor arguments before the electors. The Monoton Transcript says:—"Mr. J. D. Hazen during his speech on Saturday night took occasion to state that Hon. A. G. Blair is still premier of this province, and that he holds the hand of authority over Premier Emmerson. The Transcript retorts by saying that "Mr. Hazen ought to inform his hearers that Hon. G. E. Foster holds the lash over both A. A. Stockton and J. D. Hazen, and it is as yet a mystery as to which of these two gentlemen is second best man."

Stockton foreign legion have had no material effect upon men of good political character and standing in the country. Beef and boodle are nauseating. Outsiders will have to learn that Northumberland men can take care of Northumberland's interests and that they are not made of the stuff that will submit to outside dictation.

Political Notes.

There is a decided difference between the way the opposition make charges in the legislature and during a political campaign. Every opposition supporter throughout the province and all the newspapers controlled by the Monoton convention conservatives are yelling their throats dry and hoarse about double price bridges.

In the legislature they made charges and withdrew them. Here is what happened during the session of the house in 1897. It is taken from the official journals of the house and can be examined by anyone with sufficient curiosity to look up the records.

"COMMITTEE ROOM."

"House of Assembly, 13 March, 1897. "The committee to whom were referred the Public Accounts, together with the Auditor-General's report thereon for the fiscal year ending 31st October, 1896, beg to report that your committee pursuant to the reference made by the House to them by order of the 6th Feb. last passed, have carefully investigated the various items concurred in by the house, and Messrs. Sumner and Pinder were repeatedly requested to furnish the names of witnesses, but they neglected to do so until coming at 2 p.m., on the 10th, your committee being then in session. They were requested again to furnish the said names. Mr. Sumner did then hand in the names of two witnesses, Colin Stewart and Angus Fisher of St. George, Charlotte County, when subpoenas were at once made out and duly served and delivered to Mr. Sumner by the chairman. Within a few minutes after Mr. Sumner returned and withdrew the names of the witnesses, stating that he would not proceed with the inquiry.

"Your committee beg also to report that on the 5th of March instant, some items of expenditure so presented in the Public Accounts referred to were questioned, through fully explained by the Chief Commissioner, Mr. Young, and Mr. St. George Bridge and Digdequash bridge in the County of Charlotte, and the Nerepis bridge in the County of Kings. Resolution, moved by Mr. Sumner, a member of the committee, that the committee be sent for persons and papers and examine witnesses under oath was passed and as set forth in the Auditor-General's report and the accounts referred to therein. That your committee proceeded from page to page of the said report to the end thereof, and after a searching investigation, to the best of your committee's ability, found all the items as set forth in the said report correct.

"The Chief Commissioner and Mr. Winslow, Chief Clerk in the Board of Works Department being called from time to time to explain various items of expenditure in that department did so to our full satisfaction, as did also the provincial secretary and surveyor-general as to items within the scope of their respective departments.

"What happened in connection