

Mr. AUSTIN GENERAL: The ideas advanced by the hon. member for St. John, were not new to this House. They had been published to the Country and refuted so often, that they might be said to have become stereotyped. There was no charge of fraud in the case of the late Mr. GILLMAN. He might not, it was a subject which he admitted of no proof one way or another. The presumption was, however, against the hon. member for St. John, as stated by the hon. member for Charlotte [Mr. GILLMAN]. Connected with the whole of the matter, and which they had found out, was the kind. For his own part he only wondered that there had not been some fraud or speculation carried on. He had, himself, suspected there might be something of the kind; and, indeed, he was rather surprised, that otherwise, that investigation of the kind was discovered. He was not prepared