

TERMS OF THE SENTINEL.
Subscription \$10 a year in advance; \$1.50 per month in advance.
Short notices not exceeding 6 lines, 50 cents per insertion; 10 cents each subsequent insertion.
Transient advertisements should be accompanied by the money to insure insertion.
Mr. J. H. BATES, Newspaper Advertising Agent, at Park Row, (Times Building), New York, is also authorized to contract for advertisements in the SENTINEL at our best rates.
This paper may be found at the G. O. P. BOWEN & CO.'s Newspaper Advertising Bureau (30 Spruce Street), where advertising contracts may be made for it in New York.

The Sentinel.
SATURDAY, MARCH 23, 1890.
SENTINEL Office, in Vanwart's Brick Building, King Street, up stairs.

In the Senate a bill has passed legalizing marriage with a deceased wife's sister's daughter.
Owing to illness of the Speaker, the House of Assembly was not in session two or three days last week.

We observe by the "Herald" that the cost of printing the Ontario farm reports and barley bulletins will be about \$7,000. It appears to be a large expenditure for that item of service.

Robert Connors reports the crews at the head of the river nearly through with the winter's operation. The cut, Mr. Connors says, will, with the old logs hung up in the river, reach about 175,000—about 25,000 short of the estimate.

Newfoundland is greatly excited over the intelligence that the British Government and the French have made an agreement concerning the lobster fishing on the coast of that island, an agreement which, it is claimed, will give the French advantages over and to the detriment of the Islanders.

In the Manitoba Legislature, Attorney General Martin's resolution petitioning the Dominion Parliament to enter into negotiations with the United States Government with a view to establish a system of restricted reciprocity between the two countries, was unanimously adopted by the Legislature.

It is reported from Ottawa that the Transcontinental Railway Company has submitted a proposal to the Government to extend their road from Edmonton to Montreal if granted a subsidy equal to the amount the Government proposed last year to spend upon the line from Halifax to Salisbury. They say that if their proposal is accepted, they will easily raise the money to build the road, and the extension of the latter referred to, involves the abandonment of the Halifax-Salisbury line, Menzies, Temple, Baird and Wilton are opposing it strongly.

Provincial Parliament.
The Local Government have been sustained on the crucial question of the stampage by a sufficient majority to indicate that they will carry through the session. The majority is large enough for any government to have under the existing political methods that rule. The Opposition is strong, none too so in numbers, and strong in the array of ability. The debate on the question mentioned was quite notable for the general excellence of the speeches on both sides.

Having had a sincere regard for the Government, we trust their present success has not been too dearly secured. The fact as shown during the discussion appears to be that the Opposition was prepared to reach success through the same policy as regards the stampage as that followed by the Government and for which they were so roundly berated; this fact with the expenditure of no receding consideration for local interests, evidently influenced the votes of some members who, on principle, were opposed to a sympathy with the policy of surrendering principle to party exigency, and are inclined to think that had the Government stood firm on its previous record in this matter the result would be more permanent strength in the country. It may be hard for them to convince the people at large, who had accepted as correct the increased stampage, that the policy of lowering the rate, in the interests of northern operators, or as claimed, in the interest of justice, at a cost of some \$20,000 is a wise and economical policy. True it is that but for the deal, so called, with the Northumberland members, there would have been a dead lock between the parties in the House with another general election necessary, to settle it, but in the event, the present Government with a general record, scarcely assailable, would have gone to the country on a popular basis and would have been handsomely sustained.

As things are with Government, in their own interest and those of the representatives who have supported them, will need to be very honest, very wary and very economical in their management in order to retain their position.

The Schools.
In his annual report Chief Superintendent of Schools, Crockett, draws special attention to the non-attendance of children at school. Last year the total attendance was 68,221, a decrease of 47 pupils; accompanied with corresponding results of the preceding year, there is an increase of 6 schools, a decrease of 4 teachers and 593 pupils for the second term of 1889, and a decrease of 27 schools, an increase of 10 teachers and 183 pupils for the first term of 1890. He says:

The percentage of enrolled pupils daily present during the time the schools were in session is shown to be 55.45 for the second term of 1888, and 53.49 for the first term of 1889, making a decrease of 4.15 and an increase of 2.06 on the corresponding terms of the preceding year. For full term, that is, the time and number of teaching days prescribed for all the schools, this percentage was 52.51 and 51.45 respectively, making a decrease in the former case of 3.52 and an increase in the latter of 1.71. Next to St. John County, which made an average of 68.00 for the second term of 1888, stands Northumberland, with an average of 55.09; Sanbury made the lowest average, 44.63, next to it stands Victoria and Queens with an average of 45.27 and 45.75 respectively.

Mr. Crockett estimates that there are 22,000 children of school age not enrolled, of whom 15,000 are growing up in ignorance. His proposed remedy, for this substantial evil, is the adoption of the compulsory system, and this appears to be the only available remedy.

Mr. Crockett again recommends the abolition of the grammar schools and the substitution of five high schools, for the Province, fully equipped and equipped for the work of secondary education, which would involve a cost of \$6,000.

White and Titled Neck Frillings for evening wear, also Titled and Black Frills for Ball Dresses, just arrived at R. B. Bely & Co's.

Death of Rev. J. T. Blair.
The community was shocked on Thursday morning, when it was announced that Rev. J. T. Blair, of Newville, had expired after two days illness, of heart disease, at his residence Wednesday afternoon. Full particulars have not reached us. The deceased was an able and faithful minister of the Presbyterian Church, an active worker in temperance and Sabbath School work, and greatly respected by all who knew him. For his many kind and noble deeds, his universal sympathy is felt.

Ladies call and see the new evening frocks for Ball Dresses, at R. B. Bely & Co's.

Personal.
G. R. Ketchum, M.P., spent Sunday home. Mr. S. E. Briggs and son are visiting friends at Newville.
Alfred E. Brown, Barrister, Mass., is visiting his parents and friends at Lower Brighton.
Thomas Lawson, barrister, Andover, passed through en route to his home, Tuesday.
Mrs. J. S. Lighton returned home Friday after a few weeks visit to St. Stephen and St. Andrews.
Mrs. Beth Milbury and Miss Milbury, who have been visiting at the home of Mr. J. S. Lighton, returned home on Monday.
Miss Annie McLaughlin returned home, after spending a very pleasant two months visit with friends in St. Stephen.
H. N. Connel, we regret to learn, was seriously injured, by falling on the ice, at Millville, a short time since. He is recovering.
Father Murray went to Fredericton last week, and assisted Father McDevitt in his Lenten services. The *Gleaner* says that at morning mass, on the close of the forty hours devotion, Father Murray preached an eloquent sermon.

TOWN COUNCIL.
The Council held a session on the evening of the 21st; absent, Coun. Gallagher. Debt balance at bank reported \$3421.50.
The committee to audit School Trustees' accounts reported that they found vouchers for all payments, which amounted to \$4,305.38. Balance on hand, Jan. 1st, 1890, \$300.38. They found that the Council had paid Trustees \$300 more than the assessment for school purposes for 1889; also that after paying trustees and repairs and \$500 for school purposes, the balance on hand, and therefore, they could not understand why \$300 additional should be asked for by the Trustees for present year.
Report received and filed, and Coun. Lindsay, Murphy and the Mayor were appointed to wait on Trustees and ascertain why an assessment of \$3,900 was needed, and obtain the item of estimated expenditure for current year.
The committee on Sand Pipe, reported having received the following tenders for construction of SAND PIPE:
D. McLaughlin & Son, St. John, \$10,985.00
Connell Bros., Woodstock, 8,200.00
James Fleming, St. John, 7,970.00
Porter Mack & Co., Sydney, N. Y., 7,500.00
Cunningham Iron Works Co., Charleston, Mass., 6,440.00
W. E. G. Smith, 6,300.00
For construction of the foundation:
John Price, \$2,000.00
K. Brophy, 1,750.00
J. McLaughlin, 1,475.00
Andrew Coll, 1,250.00
John Harty, 1,250.00
Solomon Stevens & Son, 1,025.00
Michael Kelly, 800.00
Owen Kelly, 750.00
For cast iron pipe:
Londonderry Iron Co., \$38 per ton of 2,240 lbs., F. O. B., delivered at St. John.
The committee recommended that the tenders of Wm. Kelly for foundation, W. E. Gower for Sand Pipe, and Londonderry Iron Co. for pipe, be accepted, upon their giving proper securities.
Murphy, Lindsay, Henderson, McLaughlin & Son, were taken up and considered section by section. Letters were read from the Messrs. Kelly's withdrawing their respective tenders.
Murphy, Lindsay, Henderson, McLaughlin & Son, were taken up and considered section by section. Letters were read from the Messrs. Kelly's withdrawing their respective tenders.
Murphy, Lindsay, Henderson, McLaughlin & Son, were taken up and considered section by section. Letters were read from the Messrs. Kelly's withdrawing their respective tenders.

Just opened Ladies' Attractions Jacket, all sizes, very low, at High Hat's.

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.
On the 23rd, after a debate which had occupied three days, a division was reached on the resolution respecting stampage introduced by the Attorney General and the amendment proposed by Mr. Hanington. The division on the amendment was:
Yeas—Harrington, Stockton, Turner, Phinney, Alward, Atkinson, Lewis, Stevens, Poirer, Kunkin, Smith, McLaughlin, Connors, Shaw, Poirer—15.
Nays—Blair, Mitchell, Ryan, Poirer, Poirer, LeBlanc, Poirer, Russell, Palmer, Taylor, Palmer, Hobbins, Harrington, Murray, Wilson, Robinson, Ketchum, Douglas, Hall, Lablanc, Bellamy, Anderson, O'Brien—21.
The original resolution was carried by the same vote reversed except that Mr. Douglas, of Charlottetown, voted nay, making the numbers 24 yeas, 16 nays.
We append the speeches, as we find them reported, of our two members and of Mr. Blair, Victoria.

DR. ATKINSON'S SPEECH.
Dr. Atkinson said the consideration of this question involved the consideration of the power of the crown lands and the decrease proposed in the revenue of the country. The issue involved was not a question of revenue, but a question of principle. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. BLAIR'S SPEECH.
He said that he had been called the finances of the country would not admit of any reduction. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. HARRINGTON'S SPEECH.
Dr. Atkinson said the consideration of this question involved the consideration of the power of the crown lands and the decrease proposed in the revenue of the country. The issue involved was not a question of revenue, but a question of principle. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. BLAIR'S SPEECH.
He said that he had been called the finances of the country would not admit of any reduction. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. HARRINGTON'S SPEECH.
Dr. Atkinson said the consideration of this question involved the consideration of the power of the crown lands and the decrease proposed in the revenue of the country. The issue involved was not a question of revenue, but a question of principle. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. KETCHUM'S SPEECH.
Mr. Ketchum in speaking to the stampage resolution, said he might have felt embarrassed on this question had the policy of the Opposition been to secure the support of the Northumberland members. The evidence before the House showed plainly that the Opposition intended to secure the support of the Northumberland members. The evidence before the House showed plainly that the Opposition intended to secure the support of the Northumberland members.

MR. BLAIR'S SPEECH.
He said that he had been called the finances of the country would not admit of any reduction. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. HARRINGTON'S SPEECH.
Dr. Atkinson said the consideration of this question involved the consideration of the power of the crown lands and the decrease proposed in the revenue of the country. The issue involved was not a question of revenue, but a question of principle. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. BLAIR'S SPEECH.
He said that he had been called the finances of the country would not admit of any reduction. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. HARRINGTON'S SPEECH.
Dr. Atkinson said the consideration of this question involved the consideration of the power of the crown lands and the decrease proposed in the revenue of the country. The issue involved was not a question of revenue, but a question of principle. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. BLAIR'S SPEECH.
He said that he had been called the finances of the country would not admit of any reduction. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. HARRINGTON'S SPEECH.
Dr. Atkinson said the consideration of this question involved the consideration of the power of the crown lands and the decrease proposed in the revenue of the country. The issue involved was not a question of revenue, but a question of principle. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. BLAIR'S SPEECH.
He said that he had been called the finances of the country would not admit of any reduction. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. HARRINGTON'S SPEECH.
Dr. Atkinson said the consideration of this question involved the consideration of the power of the crown lands and the decrease proposed in the revenue of the country. The issue involved was not a question of revenue, but a question of principle. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. KETCHUM'S SPEECH.
Mr. Ketchum in speaking to the stampage resolution, said he might have felt embarrassed on this question had the policy of the Opposition been to secure the support of the Northumberland members. The evidence before the House showed plainly that the Opposition intended to secure the support of the Northumberland members. The evidence before the House showed plainly that the Opposition intended to secure the support of the Northumberland members.

MR. BLAIR'S SPEECH.
He said that he had been called the finances of the country would not admit of any reduction. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. HARRINGTON'S SPEECH.
Dr. Atkinson said the consideration of this question involved the consideration of the power of the crown lands and the decrease proposed in the revenue of the country. The issue involved was not a question of revenue, but a question of principle. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. BLAIR'S SPEECH.
He said that he had been called the finances of the country would not admit of any reduction. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. HARRINGTON'S SPEECH.
Dr. Atkinson said the consideration of this question involved the consideration of the power of the crown lands and the decrease proposed in the revenue of the country. The issue involved was not a question of revenue, but a question of principle. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. BLAIR'S SPEECH.
He said that he had been called the finances of the country would not admit of any reduction. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. HARRINGTON'S SPEECH.
Dr. Atkinson said the consideration of this question involved the consideration of the power of the crown lands and the decrease proposed in the revenue of the country. The issue involved was not a question of revenue, but a question of principle. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. BLAIR'S SPEECH.
He said that he had been called the finances of the country would not admit of any reduction. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. HARRINGTON'S SPEECH.
Dr. Atkinson said the consideration of this question involved the consideration of the power of the crown lands and the decrease proposed in the revenue of the country. The issue involved was not a question of revenue, but a question of principle. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. KETCHUM'S SPEECH.
Mr. Ketchum in speaking to the stampage resolution, said he might have felt embarrassed on this question had the policy of the Opposition been to secure the support of the Northumberland members. The evidence before the House showed plainly that the Opposition intended to secure the support of the Northumberland members. The evidence before the House showed plainly that the Opposition intended to secure the support of the Northumberland members.

MR. BLAIR'S SPEECH.
He said that he had been called the finances of the country would not admit of any reduction. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. HARRINGTON'S SPEECH.
Dr. Atkinson said the consideration of this question involved the consideration of the power of the crown lands and the decrease proposed in the revenue of the country. The issue involved was not a question of revenue, but a question of principle. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. BLAIR'S SPEECH.
He said that he had been called the finances of the country would not admit of any reduction. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. HARRINGTON'S SPEECH.
Dr. Atkinson said the consideration of this question involved the consideration of the power of the crown lands and the decrease proposed in the revenue of the country. The issue involved was not a question of revenue, but a question of principle. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. BLAIR'S SPEECH.
He said that he had been called the finances of the country would not admit of any reduction. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. HARRINGTON'S SPEECH.
Dr. Atkinson said the consideration of this question involved the consideration of the power of the crown lands and the decrease proposed in the revenue of the country. The issue involved was not a question of revenue, but a question of principle. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. BLAIR'S SPEECH.
He said that he had been called the finances of the country would not admit of any reduction. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. HARRINGTON'S SPEECH.
Dr. Atkinson said the consideration of this question involved the consideration of the power of the crown lands and the decrease proposed in the revenue of the country. The issue involved was not a question of revenue, but a question of principle. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. KETCHUM'S SPEECH.
Mr. Ketchum in speaking to the stampage resolution, said he might have felt embarrassed on this question had the policy of the Opposition been to secure the support of the Northumberland members. The evidence before the House showed plainly that the Opposition intended to secure the support of the Northumberland members. The evidence before the House showed plainly that the Opposition intended to secure the support of the Northumberland members.

MR. BLAIR'S SPEECH.
He said that he had been called the finances of the country would not admit of any reduction. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. HARRINGTON'S SPEECH.
Dr. Atkinson said the consideration of this question involved the consideration of the power of the crown lands and the decrease proposed in the revenue of the country. The issue involved was not a question of revenue, but a question of principle. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. BLAIR'S SPEECH.
He said that he had been called the finances of the country would not admit of any reduction. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. HARRINGTON'S SPEECH.
Dr. Atkinson said the consideration of this question involved the consideration of the power of the crown lands and the decrease proposed in the revenue of the country. The issue involved was not a question of revenue, but a question of principle. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. BLAIR'S SPEECH.
He said that he had been called the finances of the country would not admit of any reduction. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. HARRINGTON'S SPEECH.
Dr. Atkinson said the consideration of this question involved the consideration of the power of the crown lands and the decrease proposed in the revenue of the country. The issue involved was not a question of revenue, but a question of principle. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. BLAIR'S SPEECH.
He said that he had been called the finances of the country would not admit of any reduction. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. HARRINGTON'S SPEECH.
Dr. Atkinson said the consideration of this question involved the consideration of the power of the crown lands and the decrease proposed in the revenue of the country. The issue involved was not a question of revenue, but a question of principle. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. KETCHUM'S SPEECH.
Mr. Ketchum in speaking to the stampage resolution, said he might have felt embarrassed on this question had the policy of the Opposition been to secure the support of the Northumberland members. The evidence before the House showed plainly that the Opposition intended to secure the support of the Northumberland members. The evidence before the House showed plainly that the Opposition intended to secure the support of the Northumberland members.

MR. BLAIR'S SPEECH.
He said that he had been called the finances of the country would not admit of any reduction. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. HARRINGTON'S SPEECH.
Dr. Atkinson said the consideration of this question involved the consideration of the power of the crown lands and the decrease proposed in the revenue of the country. The issue involved was not a question of revenue, but a question of principle. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. BLAIR'S SPEECH.
He said that he had been called the finances of the country would not admit of any reduction. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. HARRINGTON'S SPEECH.
Dr. Atkinson said the consideration of this question involved the consideration of the power of the crown lands and the decrease proposed in the revenue of the country. The issue involved was not a question of revenue, but a question of principle. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. BLAIR'S SPEECH.
He said that he had been called the finances of the country would not admit of any reduction. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. HARRINGTON'S SPEECH.
Dr. Atkinson said the consideration of this question involved the consideration of the power of the crown lands and the decrease proposed in the revenue of the country. The issue involved was not a question of revenue, but a question of principle. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. BLAIR'S SPEECH.
He said that he had been called the finances of the country would not admit of any reduction. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.

MR. HARRINGTON'S SPEECH.
Dr. Atkinson said the consideration of this question involved the consideration of the power of the crown lands and the decrease proposed in the revenue of the country. The issue involved was not a question of revenue, but a question of principle. The revenue of the country was \$20,000,000, and the proposed reduction was \$2,000,000. The question was whether the government should reduce the revenue of the country, or whether it should maintain the revenue of the country. The government had the power to reduce the revenue of the country, but it was not wise to do so. The government should maintain the revenue of the country, and it should not reduce the revenue of the country.