

# The Carleton Sentinel.

VOL. 59.—No. 9.

WOODSTOCK, N. B., FRIDAY, MARCH 1, 1907.

WHOLE No. 3128

## PROTECT YOUR EYES.

So many People are Troubled with Weak  
Eyes in the Spring.

### Remedy:

## Wear Colored Glasses.

I have them in all shapes and sizes, to suit any  
nose. When you get them to fit they really do not  
look ugly.

Bard of W. & Co. Jun 1907

## I Can Test Your Eyes

And fit you perfectly to Glasses for both reading  
and distance. Do not suffer headache from improp-  
erly fitted glasses. If I cannot fit you I make no  
charge. Give me a try.

H. V. Dalling,

Blue Front Jewelry Store.

## BANK OF NEW BRUNSWICK.

Capital. \$ 707,000  
Rest and Undivided Profits over 1,200,000

### East Florenceville, N. B., Branch.

Interest added to Savings Banks Deposits every three months.

BRANCHES IN NEW BRUNSWICK AND PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND.

## HERE IS A BARGAIN FOR A FEW BOYS.

WE HAVE A FEW PAIRS OF

## BOYS' LONG SHOEPACKS

Which we are going to dispose of at once.

## A Great Saving

on the Stockings, as these Shoepacks  
lace right up to the knee.

COME AT ONCE

TO

Gibson & Ross,

Cor. Queen & Main Sts.

### Carvell of Carleton Flays Bennett the Bumptious.

In the Commons on Thursday of  
last week, Mr W H Bennett M P of  
East Simcoe, a most unpopular and  
troublesome member of the Opposi-  
tion, undertook the rather danger-  
ous exploit of administering a tongue  
lashing to the member for Carleton.  
Mr Bennett is a past master in the  
role of mudslinging, but to his dis-  
may found that he had run up  
against the wrong man for after the  
noisy Ontario member had finished  
his oration, Mr Carvell neatly polish-  
ed him off to the extreme delight of  
the entire House. Our member, who  
carefully avoided the unparliament-  
ary methods of his assailant, spoke  
as follows:—

Mr F B Carvell (Carleton, N B.) Mr  
Speaker, I do not know that I should  
be called upon at this time to follow  
the hon member from East Simcoe  
(Mr Bennett) in all his somewhat  
laboured and, I think, very uncalled  
for, remarks. I have only to say  
that I propose to read to this House  
every word that was said by me and  
also by the hon member with refer-  
ence to this matter on the 7th of  
February, instant, and then I shall  
be willing to leave it to this House  
to say whether I made any charge  
which I am bound to follow up or,  
failing to do so, deserve to receive  
the dressing down that the hon  
gentleman has chosen to administer  
to me this afternoon—if anything  
that the hon gentleman can say  
against an hon member of this House  
can be considered a dressing down.  
There are some sources, Sir, from  
which the vilest words which can  
possibly be framed are more a credit  
to those against whom they are  
directed than a charge against them  
and I think the hon gentleman from  
East Simcoe fully answers that de-  
scription. I said nothing against the  
hon gentleman; I made no charge—  
Some hon MEMBERS. Order.

Mr Speaker, I think that the hon  
member (Mr Carvell) in suggesting,  
or insinuating, that the term 'vilest'  
is applicable to the hon member for  
East Simcoe, is exceeding the bounds  
of orderly debate and should with-  
draw the expression.

Mr Carvell. I am only too happy  
to withdraw it, under your ruling.

Mr Speaker. It does not make any  
difference what is said or what is  
not said—but I will withdraw the  
words. I was discussing, on the 7th  
of February, a motion brought in, I  
believe, by the hon member for Cal-  
gary (Mr M S McCarthy) regarding  
certain lands leased to a company in  
the Northwest called the Robins  
Irrigation Company. At that time  
the hon member for East Simcoe  
(Mr Bennett) sat in his place in this  
House. The hon member for North  
Toronto (Mr Foster) sat in his place  
in this House. The hon member for  
King's (Mr Fowler) sat in his place  
in this House. And so far as I am  
aware now, not one of these gentle-  
men took any part in the debate. I  
was discussing the question.—

Mr Foster. What does the hon  
gentleman (Mr Carvell) propose to  
draw from a statement of that kind?  
Is there any rule in this House  
which compels me, as a member, to  
take part in any debate in which I  
do not wish to take part?

Mr BENNETT. It is a low mean  
insinuation.

Mr SPEAKER. I think the hon.  
gentleman (Mr Bennett) should with-  
draw that, I call upon him to with-  
draw it.

Mr BENNETT. I said it was a low,  
mean insinuation.

Mr SPEAKER. Yes, that should  
be withdrawn.

Mr BENNETT. Very well, I with-  
draw it.

Mr CARVELL. I was asking the  
question whether it was a crime for  
an hon gentleman to buy land in this  
country at one price and sell it at  
another, and I called upon the hon  
gentleman I have just named to an-  
swer the question. As soon as I had  
done so the hon member for North  
Toronto interrupted:

Mr FOSTER. What for?  
Mr CARVELL. To say whether it is a crime  
to sell land for more than it cost. On that  
question I think I would also put the hon  
member for East Simcoe (Mr Bennett) in the  
box.

Mr BENNETT. If the hon gentleman has  
any charge to make against me in connection  
with lands in the northwest, let him have  
the manliness to make it and he will get his  
answer very soon.

Mr CARVELL. If the hon gentleman will  
have a little patience, he will probably hear  
all he wants about his connection with lands  
in the territories.

Mr BENNETT. All right, bring it on.  
Mr CARVELL. My hon friend need not an-  
ticipate it, he need not get anxious, he need  
not get hot under the collar, he will probably  
hear all he wants to know about land sales in  
the territories before this session is through.

Now, Sir, that was followed up  
within two or three days by a fairly  
moderate article in the Montreal  
'Star' stating that I should bring for-  
ward any charges I had against these

gentlemen. Three or four days after  
that, it was followed by a much more  
vicious article, and I think two days  
after that by a third article, stating  
that I should lay a charge against  
these hon. gentlemen. Now, Sir, I  
am not going to discuss that question  
at the present time. There is not a  
gentleman in this House, not even  
excluding the hon. member for East  
Simcoe, but knew exactly what I was  
referring to; there is not a member  
of the reading public of Canada but  
knew what I was referring to. They  
knew I was referring to the investi-  
gation that had taken place before  
the Insurance Commission last sum-  
mer; they knew I was referring to the  
transactions of these gentlemen  
in lands in Northwest Territories and  
in British Columbia. They knew  
that, and, Sir, the remarkable thing  
to me, a thing which has caused me  
some little thought during the last  
few weeks, has been, why an inno-  
cent remark such as I made, coming  
from an obscure back bench in this  
House, should work my hon friend  
from East Simcoe into such a frenzy  
as he has reached, culminating in  
the explosion this afternoon. The  
next thing that surprised me was  
why the great Conservative organ in  
the city of Montreal should have  
worked itself into such a frenzy as it  
did over the remarks made by me,  
which were not a challenge, which  
were not a charge, which contained  
not even an insinuation against any  
man that I was referring to. I was  
simply referring to that which was  
probably known by every reading  
man in the Dominion of Canada to-  
day. I was not making any insinu-  
ations, I was not referring to any-  
thing that has not occurred in open  
court in this country, I was not re-  
ferring to anything that had not  
been published broadcast all over  
Canada. Everybody knew what I  
was referring to. Sir, I say again,  
the next important thing which  
struck me was, why should the great  
Montreal 'Star' have become so ex-  
cited over this. I have a suspicion  
that the Montreal 'Star' wants some-  
body to make a charge against these  
gentlemen; I am driven to that sus-  
picion, and if I lacked anything to  
confirm that suspicion, I have it in  
the editorial which appears in the  
Montreal 'Star' yesterday evening.  
I think there, Sir, you have positive  
proof that the Montreal 'Star' wants  
somebody to lay a charge against  
the hon member for East Simcoe,  
and against the hon member for  
Toronto, and against the hon mem-  
ber for King's, N B. I am not going  
to lay any charge now, I am not  
called upon to do so, I have no  
instructions to do so. But I will  
tell the hon member for East  
Simcoe that whenever an oppor-  
tunity presents itself, while I am  
a member of this House, to discuss  
the connection of these gentlemen  
with land deals in the Northwest  
(Continued on eighth page.)

## WHEN WE : :

hand a package of medicine to a cus-  
tomer we know that it is correct in every  
particular.

It is a foregone conclusion that it is  
put together properly, for we hedge our-  
selves with all possible safeguards.

But we go deeper into the question of  
accuracy than merely to answer you that  
certain ingredients and quantities are used  
with your Doctor's orders. That is to say  
the condition and quality of each SEPARATE  
PART are such as would meet the approval  
of the Doctor.

One drop of ink will tinge a pint of  
water. One impure or WEAK medicine will  
lessen the effect of a prescription.

## GARDEN BROS.

The Prescription Druggists

MAIN STREET

WOODSTOCK, N. B.

## OUR GREAT LINEN AND WHITE WEAR SALE WILL COMMENCE Wednesday Morning, Feb. 20th, FOR 10 DAYS ONLY.

Our entire stock of Table Linens, Napkins, Towels,  
Sheetings, Pillow Cottons and

## Ladies' White Wear

Will be placed on our BARGAIN COUNTER  
at Special Prices.

No premium checks will be given on these special  
lines during sale.

2 Entrances---Main and Wellington Streets.

Oak Hall.

MANZER.