


The Carleton Sentinel.

VOL. 61.—No. 4

WOODSTOCK, N. B., FRIDAY, JANUARY 22, 1909.

WHOLE No. 5215

Board of Works Jan 07



KODAK

I carry all Kodaks and Supplies.

Mail orders promptly attended to

Watches, Clocks, Jewelry,
Silverware, Jewel Cases,
Sterling Silver Novelties,
Epergnes, Chafing Dishes.

JEWELER
H. V. Balling
30 MAIN ST.
WOODSTOCK, N. B.
OPTICIAN

Marriage Licenses and Wedding Rings.

County Council.

(Continued from last week.)
AFTER RECESS.

Coun Balmain spoke of the matter of the appointment of warden saying that last year as it appears the warden was appointed for the ensuing year the question of legality of the proceedings might arise.

The acting clerk consulting the minutes read the resolution referred to which provided that the appointment be for the ensuing term.

The warden could not remember of any warden during the time of his being at the council who had been appointed for one year.

A Councillor—Warden Flemming was for the term of the council.

Warden—The secretary has stated that warden should be elected for the life of the council.

Coun J R Brown referred to a strife between W S Saunders and C E Gallagher some years ago when a compromise was reached by which one should serve for one year and the other for the next year. Since that time that rule prevailed. He thought this rule should have been followed the present year.

Coun Williams said that the old law was service during the life of the council, but the council was then for one year. When the two year life of the council was adopted no provision was made. It was his opinion that Mr Flemming was re-elected the second year. His impression was that re-election for the second year was necessary.

Coun Gillmor thought if there was any doubt the safe way would be to re-elect Coun Shaw.

Coun Rideout thought if there was no change as to the length of office of the warden when the two year term came in force, the tenure of office would be for one year.

Coun Balmain felt satisfied that the motion last year was for one year. He construed the Act that the tenure should be for the life of the council, but other councillors and the secretary differed from him.

Coun Tompkins favored the one year term as being at least an unwritten law.

Coun Lamont distinctly remembered that it was intended that the position was given for one year. Mr Vince being asked said that a speaker was appointed for the life of parliament and the rules should hold with respect to the County Council, was his opinion.

The warden was satisfied that the secretary expressed the opinion that the tenure of the wardenship was for

the life of the council, and on that he had accepted the office. He did not want to hold the office against the wishes of the council, but he greatly appreciated the honor.

Coun Balmain did not bring the matter up with an expectation that there would be a change in the chair, but because he recollected certain circumstances bearing on the matter. Mr Hartley had given an opinion two years ago that the election should be annual, whatever opinion he gave last year.

Coun Stevens thought everything done this morning was perfectly legal. The warden said it never was intimated to him that any other gentleman was an aspirant for the office this year.

Coun Bradley thought this was a small matter to occupy the people's time.

Mr Colpitts, Scott Act Inspector, was now heard, and submitted his annual report.

Mr Colpitts said we would have been in better position only the Magistrate was sick for the past three months and that had impeded matters.

To Coun Williams who asked what was done with the six cases at Centreville which were appealed (Perkins) he could not exactly remember, but thought the cases were lost. He would ascertain later.

A committee composed of Couns Lamont, Rideout and Owens was appointed to consider Mr Colpitts report tonight and report tomorrow.

On motion of the councillors from Richmond, Mr John McLean was heard before the board. He read the following petition from the people of West Richmond along the boundary line:

To Frank R Shaw, Esquire, Warden and the Honorable other Councillors of the Municipality of Carleton.

The memorial and petition of the undersigned ratepayers and residents of a portion of the Parish of Richmond in the County of Carleton.

We memorialize your council as follows:

1. That for a great many years The Canada Temperance Act has been in the County of Carleton and during all that time, up to and including the present time, it has been more or less openly violated in that portion of the Parish of Richmond on the Houlton Road near the International Boundary Line between the Province of New Brunswick and the State of Maine.

2. That the officials in the State of Maine have succeeded in closing or putting out of business all the establishments dealing in intoxicating liquors on their side of the line on the Houlton Road but the condition of affairs in the Parish of Richmond on the said Houlton Road, notwithstanding the efforts that have been made,

are as bad as ever they were if not worse at the present time.

3. That hand in hand with the traffic in violation of the said Canada Temperance Act in this vicinity have gone on and now go on other evil and criminal practices in violation of the law of the land.

4. That notwithstanding the recent amendment to the Canada Temperance Act prohibiting the importation of intoxicating liquors into this Municipality, these places in the vicinity of the Line as aforesaid have been regularly supplied and it is quite a common occurrence to see on the public road teams engaged in the transportation of the stock necessary to carry on their business.

5. That in consequence of this condition of affairs the influence for evil on the community has been very great and incalculable damage is being done to the residents of the locality, and on account of the said business and the congregation of bad men and bad women in the locality and the more or less presence of bad men and bad women as a result of the business ordinary travellers, especially ladies, are timid about travelling on the said road.

And we petition your honorable body as follows:

That you do not let this condition of affairs exist longer but so instruct your officials, attorneys and agents that immediate steps will be taken by information indictment or other criminal prosecutions or by the selection of new officials and agents or otherwise, to have all persons concerned in the condition of affairs now existing in the said locality debarred or prevented from further carrying on the said business that we may not sustain further financial or moral damage and that we may not be put to shame by comparison with the condition of affairs on the Houlton Road in the vicinity of the said Line in the said State of Maine.

Dated January A. D. 1909.
John F McLean Charles E Parks
Robert Parks E E Bell
E H Atkinson Charles Carr
George Carr P C Kirlin
T C Kirlin C C Grant
C H Grant Rev Calvin Currie
John A Grant W J Carson
Claud Laurence John Carson
Richard Kennedy Rev R A Macdonald
A D Hoyt Delbert Hatfield
Isaac Hatfield William Gray
Chas E Johnston John Y Flemming
Alex F Carson T E Henderson
Wm H Crabb Elmer Sanderson
H H Kirkpatrick James Pickel
Geo Sanderson Howard Laverty
W Barnett McGibbithie
J J Kelly Edward Reddy
Jas H McLaughlin Bert McLaughlin
Murray Rush J H Rush
W N Rush J M Rush
Wm B Daly Allen H Daly
Chas A Dickinson Harry J Dickinson
Chas F Dickinson Hamford Thompson
John P Thompson J C Currie
Clarence G Hay J Henry Hay
Ernest R Hay H N Jamieson
F G Purinton J E Gentile
R G Hanson Lewis H Purinton
Daniel H Purinton Andrew Currie
Chas Wilson Arthur W Teed
Chas S Gartley John Currie

It seemed to him that petition said enough it was signed by 60 or 70 ratepayers. It was believed that many members of the board did not know of the conditions that prevailed. A lawless and irresponsible gang was at the very doors of these petitioners. Things instead of getting better are getting worse. This last year was the worst there has been along the boundary line. The people of that section are unanimous in their disapproval and condemnation of the whole business. All the traffic is on our side of the line. He saw a team load of the stuff going by his door yesterday. The petitioners looked to the council to see that these places were stamped out.

Coun Flemming asked if it was true as Mr Colpitts said that certain men had been driven out.

Mr McLean said they were not driven out, but went quietly out. One man went out because he found competition too keen.

Coun Flemming wanted to know if the same men were in the traffic that Mr Colpitts spoke of being driven out.

Mr McLean—I am not here to criticize Mr Colpitts if he discharges his duty.

Coun Williams—Have you reported this to Mr Colpitts.

Mr McLean—I have notified Mr Colpitts and I supposed others have.

Coun Balmain—Can you suggest any remedy?

Mr McLean—It would be presumptuous for me to advise the council in their duty.

Mr Colpitts—I have received very little assistance except from Mr McLean. It must be remembered that I have to have a search warrant in these cases in matters of searching and seizing. In the Ledbetter case, two first and one second offence were recorded against him; against Radcliffe three first and two second; against Wise two first and two second. He believed Ledbetter and Wise were gone. We drive out one and another comes—that is the trouble.

Mr McLean—The Ledbetter place was not closed, but I hope Ledbetter is not there.

Coun Brown, Woodstock—It seems to me that the people at the lines have it in the hollow of their hands to stamp it out. I am satisfied Mr Colpitts will do his best if you people will help him. Did you notify Mr Colpitts?

Mr McLean—He was notified again and again.

Mr Colpitts—And places have been raided again and again.

Coun Flemming thought Mr McLean was quite right and Mr Brown was wrong in advising that he should try to enforce the law himself.

The petition was referred to the Scott Act Committee.

The following petition on motion of Coun Gillmor was read:—
To the Warden and Municipality of Carleton.

We the women of the WCTU of Glassville do hereby ask your honorable body to assist us in a legal way to stop the sale of Lager Beer in the parish of Aberdeen.

We propose putting on a license high enough to make it prohibitive and that the license must be sold only under conditions.

1st. It must be sold only to those who furnish rooms and meals.

2nd. The licensed seller must have a recommendation from the parish clerk.

3rd. That said licensed seller must not sell within two miles of a church.

4th. Said licensed seller must not sell to any minor under any condition, under a penalty and such penalty must not be less than two hundred dollars.

Your honorable body found a way to rid the country of the merry-go-round. Is this not quite as much an evil?

Money received through the selling of this license to be given the Woodstock Hospital.

If the municipal council will lend us their aid in this respect they will merit the prayers and best wishes of the Women's Christian Temperance Union, Glassville, N. B.

A K GILLMOR, Corr Secy.

Petition on motion referred to the committee on the Scott Act Inspector's report.

Council went into committee of the whole with the warden in the chair and later took up the county accounts which were dealt with as follows:—
Gilbert Grant, witness fees, \$1.70 ordered paid.

James Tapley, witness fees, \$3.70, ordered paid.

Clara Tapley, witness fees, \$3.70 ordered paid.

John Farley, magistrate, \$11.05 ordered paid.

Geo Nicholson, constable, \$3.20 laid over till June for explanation.

Thomas Cannam, witness, \$5.70 ordered paid.

Geo Haughn, witness, \$3.70 ordered paid.

Dr M E Cummins, coroner, \$10.00, laid over pro tem.

Dr N P Grant, \$41.00, ordered paid less \$25.00.

In this bill there was a charge for attending one Hickey for dislocated shoulder \$25.00, it being alleged that Hickey being a charge of the parish of Northampton.

A R Hawkins, constable, \$8.10 ordered paid.

John R Tompkins, sheriff, preparing lists, \$80.00 paid when attested.

Dr G W Somerville, \$5.00, laid over for explanation.

Coun Kinney speaking of this bill and part of Dr Cummins bills, said that the man for whom the bill was presented was comparatively well to do and the amount could be collected easily from the estate.

Dr M E Cummins, \$52.30.

This bill appearing to contain some items also in the above bill, and not being sworn was laid over to be sworn to.

Municipalities Union, fees, \$10.00, ordered laid over.

Dispatch, \$2.50, ordered paid.

James W Woolverton, constable, \$142.75, ordered paid.

William Kimball, \$60.00.

This being a parish charge was referred to the road superintendent of Northampton.

William Kimball, \$55.95, laid over for the present.

John R Tompkins, sheriff, \$5.90, ordered paid.

John R Tompkins, sheriff, \$3.40 ordered paid.

John R Tompkins, sheriff, board prisoners, \$280.30.

Coun Connolly—It seemed that the board bill is raised. Somebody else than the jailer should settle the board. The charge is \$2.50 a week is a raise somewhat.

Coun Stevens—Everything is much higher than it used to be. The sheriff says he could not do it for less.

Coun Melville said it was customary to pay \$2.00 a week. The sheriff accepted the job and there are lots of men who would take it. He thought the prisoners should be fed, not too high.

Coun Gillmor—You should feed a man well even if he is going to be hanged.

Coun Connolly said he thought \$2.00 a week should be sufficient.

Coun Flemming—We have paupers in our parish and we cannot get them board for \$2.00 a week.

Coun J R Brown—I think \$2.50 is not a large margin to board a man on.

Coun Lamont—I would give the jailer \$2.50 a week and don't envy him the job at that.

Coun Phillips thought the old jailer did not complain at \$2.00.

Coun Bell, Richmond, thought this would be setting a precedent. He had heard of no kicking before.

Coun Kinney—If the sheriff can board the prisoners at \$2.50 a week, he does something which I myself could not do.

Coun Williams—We have been paying at the old rate and we must consider before we establish a precedent. The bill was ordered paid on division.

Owen Kelly, \$21.05, ordered paid.

Owen Kelly, \$7.40, ordered paid.

Wm Armstrong, deputy sheriff, \$72.95, ordered paid.

Press Co, \$2.25 ordered paid.

I E Sheasgreen, \$1.75 ordered paid.

J C Hartley, special work, \$100.00, laid over.

J C Hartley, preparing voters lists, \$15.00, ordered paid when sworn.

J C Hartley, certifying school lists, \$71.50, ordered paid.

W P Jones, \$504.45, referred to Scott Act Committee.

Wm Diblee, police magistrate, \$205.00, referred to Scott Act Committee.

A R Foster, constable, \$13.65, ordered paid.

W E Kilpatrick, \$33.35.

Coun Tompkins explained that there were items in these two bills in connection with outside people who had died or been found dead in the parish of Peel. In one case a body drifted into Peel and in the other the man died shortly after he came there.

Coun Esley did not think this was a parish charge.

Coun Rideout thought the parish should pay the bills.

CT Boyer, \$8.40, ordered paid.

CT Boyer, \$5.00.

W W Hay, \$27.00, paid when affidavit produced.

R A McDonald, \$6.25, ordered paid.

Jos Lenihan, \$3.00, paid when attested.

Wm Galloppe, \$7.55, paid when attested.

Owen Kelly, horse hire, \$25.00, bill paid when attested properly.

E London, 47.

In Wm Kimball's bill there was an item of \$5.00 in connection with an arrest of one Smith, it was moved that the bill be paid in full and in amendment that it be paid less this \$5.00. Amendment carried.

Coun Brown moved that any councillors who have money in connection with their parishes hand over the same to the auditor.

The auditor submitted a supplementary report.

A delegation from the Canadian Club comprising the president C L S Raymond and C D Richards waited upon the council and were on motion heard. They set forth the objects of the club and explained fully and intelligently its constitution. The councillors listened earnestly to the addresses and testified their approval in an emphatic manner.

The council then adjourned till ten o'clock to-morrow.

Upper Woodstock
Jan 13th, 10 a.m.

Council resumed session.

Following bills were dealt with. John McLauchlan Co. for \$6.75. Norman Lenentine, latter to Prov Hospital. Moved to be paid and charged to Northampton.

Coun A Brown objected to the bill being charged to Northampton.

Coun R Brown also objects to this course.

Coun Balmain denied that the town should be responsible.

Coun Hay objected to Richmond being saddled with the bill.

Coun Bull—He was born in York County.

Coun Flemming—Lenentine was part of the time in this County and part in York. He always was understood as belonging in York Co. I do not know of his living in one place a year.

Coun Bell (Richmond) I was told that Northampton had a right to pay this bill.

Coun A Brown—Give us your authority.

Coun Bell—Mr Kelly.

Coun Gillmor—There's a good

deal of fault in these matters with the poor masters.

Coun Connolly—The Law is very plain.

The law shows how residence is to be proved, if a man has no residence in the province the parents when he becomes needy must pay.

Coun Phillips—Said this was like a charge in which Peel was concerned, which came up yesterday and was charged to the county.

Coun Melville—The cases are not similar. The Peel case was where a man's body drifted.

Coun A Brown—How about the man who came to Peel.

Coun Melville—He was only there one night.

Coun Tompkins moved that the bill be not paid until necessary steps be taken to find where Lenentine's residence was.

Coun Williams—We had better refer it to some parish.

Coun Balmain—I think it will be found that the cases were disposed of in connection with these same parties. Light might be thrown on it by reference to them.

Coun Melville—If this man had a residence in Woodstock or any where else let them pay, if he had no residence let them charge to the County.

Coun Gillmor—Though this was a severe question.

Coun Bull believed the man had a residence in Woodstock town. He practised his trade there.

Coun Balmain—He established a business in South Richmond and lived there, first two years, longer afterwards.

Coun Hay said he never lived any time in Richmond.

Coun Connolly did not believe in charging it to the county if the residence of the pauper could not be found.

Coun Stevens—A certain Brighton case was made chargeable to the county, if he remembered right.

Coun Balmain—I was just informed not long ago this fellow was in Kirkland. My informant said four or five years off and on. He said he was two years there constantly. Geo Jackson told me this.

Coun Kinney—It don't seem any of the councillors can substantiate a residence and if that could be shown he should be a county claim.

Coun Rideout—Where the man is found destitute, he is a charge until a residence be found out which he held.

Coun A Brown told me last year they had so many poor in Richmond and would soon have another (referring to this man.) It was John Johnson told me.

Coun Balmain thought this man had been in Hartland some time.

Coun Bradley—We know nothing of him.

Coun Hay—I know he lived in the town of Woodstock two years.

Coun Lamont thought the matter should be referred back to the movers, the parishes of Northampton, Richmond, Woodstock, and the town of Woodstock, for consideration and moved a resolution to this effect, which was carried.

Dr W D Rankin, \$12.00 ord paid.

Owen Kelly, \$3.00 ord paid.

Dr M E Cummins bill laid over for further consideration was taken up—\$52.30.

A bill amounting to \$42.90 was included in this bill.

Coun Keenan moved the bill be paid and steps taken to try and get back the \$9.50 wrongly charged. Coun Kinney seconded this.

Coun Rideout moved the bill be paid less \$9.50 which be referred to Dr Cummins. Carried.

Dr T W Griffin, \$16.00 ordered paid.

(Continued on page two)

Just one Impure or Weak Medicine
will spoil the whole effects of a
PRESCRIPTION.

Points that we pride ourselves on—High Grade Drugs
Accurate Compounding, No Substituting.

WE SOLICIT YOUR PATRONAGE.

GARDEN BROS.

DRUGGISTS,

Main St., Woodstock, N. B.