engaged the attention of the legislature was 1835 each county had its own highway act. In that year all the highway acts of the various counties were consolidated. Evidently the intention of the legislature of that day was to cast the cost of opening and maintaing the roads of the province upon the counties. At that time, and for many years before, all the rivers and streams of the province of any size were crossed by ferries, the control and management of which. by law, was placed in the hands of the sessions of each county, which sessions corresponded to the municipal councils of the present day. The legislature from time to time made special grapts to each county to areist them in opening and keeping up the roads. After a time it appeared by the acts of those years the province proceeded to construct bridges over those rivers and streams previously traversed by ferries. Later another highway act was introduced, amending the previous act so sa to put upon the various counties the coat of maintaining these bridges also. For many years the performance of statute labor became in some parts of the province a dead letter. There was a general impression existing that the responsibility of keeping up the roads and bridges of the province should be borne alto. gether by the government. At first the counties received small and desultory grants, and then it grew into a custom for the government to make larger appropriations for the different counties.

First Permanent Bridges.

and the government since the province of the spans, and that, although at the rate of about 62 cents per pound. election the opposition might have a was first established. Up to the year the bridge when erected was sup- There is no secret about this rate, as all chance of running away from the charges it required important repairs one year struction of bridges have been before interest. after its erection. The strain rods had the public accounts committee every The Daily Record of Saturday, Janubroken because of being too light, and I year, and there has never been the sry 21st, referring to my statement at may say that the same bridge has had slightest disposition on the part of the St Andrews that the insinuations in the to be repaired a second time, and the chief commissioner or any other mem- legislature with respect to the bridge opinion is general among competent ber of the government to withhold any charges had been fully met by the govbridge builders that the bridge will not information with respect to the cost of ernment, said: "This Dr Stockton emlast half the time that we had hoped. these or any other bridges. If there be any phatically denied, and, as a matter of The Sussex and Salisbury bridges have virtue in the argument that the erection fact the government's little dodge of given somewhat better satisfaction, of permanent bridges is a wise policy, proroguing the house when Mr Pinder but neither of them begins to compare then the bridges must be what they pur- was speaking to the charges worked with the since been erected by our own New be less than the bonds issued to cover to mearth the double price scheme and Brunswick concerns. The bridges built its cost could properly be classified as a expose the trickery of the boodle legisby the Record Foundry and Machine permanent bridge. It is not my desire tatore when the usher of the black rod Company give evidence of better work- to reflect upon the bridges, either con- announced the arrival of the governor to manship, engineering capability, capac- structed within our own province by terminate the session." I have not seen ity of strength and much better quality upper province firms, or upon the bridges any denial of the truth of this report in of material. One of the difficulties we erected in the neighboring province of the Record, but I would hope that polifound in connection with the building of Nova Scotia by firms either within tics in New Brunswick have not fallen so the Hampton, Sus ex and Salisbury or without that province but I am low that the leader of a party would be bridges, by upper Canadian firms, was willing to stake the existence of our guilty of making such an incorrect statethat we had no engineer who could pre- government upon the verdict of a ment as the one just quoted. As a matpare plans and specifications for these committee of reputable engineers that ter of fact, as the report in the Sun newspermanent structures and could not the bridges built in this province by our paper of Friday, March 18th, last year. have proper inspection at the point of New Brunswick firms will outlive by will show, Mr Pinder finished an exhausconstruction and that we were obliged from 50 to 75 years the bridges erected tive speech and was followed by myself. to accept bridges from plans prepared by outside firms in this province or the Messrs Dibblee, Osman, Sumner, Robinthe by When we went into business on much less rate than we are paying. larger ecale.

bridges that have port to be, and no bridge whose life will well. The member for York was about companies themselves. bridges constructed in Nova Scotia at a son, Stockton, Tweedie, Smith, Alward,

authority for a bond issue of \$400,000 for fact that the charges which the Sun and in the report of the committee of public. the purpose of building permanent other opposition newspapers have accounts, after which the house adbridges, we determined on a different been publishing during the last sev. journed until Friday forenoon, when, policy. We decided that if it were pos- eral months have been put into shape after considerable routine and no dissible, having regard to quality of work- by a nameless civil engineer, but I am cussion, the governor prorogaed the manship and fair prices, we would have going to ask this audience tonight and I house. What the opposition can hope to the work done in our own province, and am going to ask the people of this coun- gain from thus misrepresenting the try if they consider it manly that actual proceedings of the house I leave charges should be hurled against a gov- it to Dr. Stockton and his party to deterernment when the author of the charges mine. was unwilling to appear in the open. I may be permitted to conjecture who this gentleman was, and I might not be far astray if I located him in the person of an engineer who for years was a domin. ion public servant, and who, by reason of his unrestricted dealings in a species of the public property of the dominion, termed, for the purpose of deceiving, "ehingles," was dismissed from a position of trust. How far that dismissal has actuated him with respect to this matter I will leave it for the public to conjecture, but I am bound to say that a gentleman who laid himself open to a criminal charge for the misappropriation of valuable property of the dominion to the uses of himself and those with whom he was associated, is not entitled to that recognition which would result in condemning me in the eyes of the constituents of the province, in the face of my own statements and dedenial to the contrary. While this has been my conjecture regarding the nameless engineer, I notice that within the last few days the name of Mr. A. R. Holmes has been put forward as the engineer who had farnished the opposition with the material for their campaign documen'; and who is the Mr. Holmes? a young man who from 1895, until the 15th of last September was, I am informed, an apprentice in the engineering department of the Intercolonial railway at Moncton, and who, when he left the department was an engineer of such eminent ability that he was receiving the munificent salary of seven dollars and a quarter a week. The young man is now undergoing instru nology, and I trust may become at no will be valuable. In the meantime his than paid the upper prov- name seems to have been used for the a very large the gentleman, whose wholesale dealing

too light for the length been paying the New Brunswick firms was so that if the house met before an posed to last opwards of fifty years, the accounts in connection with the con- which had been published in their

> Black and Lockhart, and the debate and obtained I have made no complaint over the was concluded and the house concurred

Some dozen of years ago the government nurchased from the New Brunswick Railway Company four railway bridges, and these were converted into some fourteen or fifteen highway bridges and placed in various parts of the province, the whole being done by days work, and under the superintendence of Mr. Alfred Haines, of the board of works denartment.

This, I may say, so far as I am attempt first aware, was the on the part building in at permanent bridge time that At province. shis active government had the 1h9 an enthusiastic support of the present leader of the opposition, who could see nothing wrong in having the bridges erected by days work. The government were so well pleased with these steel and iron highway bridges that they decided to embark in the building of permanent bridges, feeling satisfied that the undertaking would result advantageously to the province, The result was that the government obtained anthority from the legislature in 1891 to issue bonds to the extent of \$250,000 for the erection of permanent structures. We advertised for tenders for the erection of the Hampton bridge, the Trout Creek bridge at Sassex and the Salisbury bridge. The contract to build the Hampton bridge was awarded to the Canadian Bridge and Ircn Company, and the contracts for the other two bridges were awarded to the Dominion Bridge Company.

## Other Bridges Cost More.

What was the rate per pound charged by these upper province firms? Did they tender to construct the bridges for 3 or 32 cents per pound, as the sensational opposition papers would have us believe the Dominion Bridge company is now willing to erect permanent bridges in this province for? No! Nothing of the 1098 kind. The Dominion Bridge company charged this province at the rate of 6 people invested cents per pound for the erection of the Balisbury bridge. They charged the province at the rate of 7cente per poundfor the Sussex bridge, and the Canadian Bridge & Iron company charged and were paid at the rate of 71 cents per pound for the Hampton bridge. The government were then new at the work of building permanent bridges, but they were not long in discovering that while Hampton bridge, that the material have been doing recently, and we have you not think that the object of that rate than paid by the government

as far as possible

Give Employment to Our Own People.

We decided that we would have the new bridges erected under the supervision of a competent engineer and inspector of large practical experience, from working plane. The chief engineer of the department, Mr. who had some Wetmore, experience in connection with railway bridges, and who had the advantage of of the government instruction under Mr Edward Shaw, an engineer of almost world wide reputation, was authorized to take charge of the work. It was first proposed that these bridges might be constructed by a company, to be organized in Charlotte county for the purpose of undertaking bridge construction. My predecessor in the premiership, Hon Jas Mitchell, took a very active interest in the effort to have the work done by a company organized in his own county, but, through some hesitancy on the part of the Charlotte county promoters, the department of public works was obliged to look elsewhere within the province for parties who would undertake to have the work done under the supervision of Mr. Haines in much the same way that he had operated with respect to the first iron bridges constructed in the province. The Record Foundry and Machine Company constructed several bridges in this way, at prices about the same as paid the upper Canadian companies. Before the government gave the Record Foundry and Machine Company a contract it required of them to produce memoranda showing their basis of business, showing the actual cost of labor and material, before any profit could be expected on the work to be given. To this was added a small percentage of profit, and the tions at a Boston school of techgovernment agreed to give the Moncton concern the building of a num- distant day an engineer, whose opinion ber of bridges at prices a little ince firms. The Record foundry purpose of drawing away attention from

Bridge Expenditures Approved Last Session.

Just before the close of the legislature last session there was a meeting of the public accounts committee. A report of its proceedings was telegraphed to one of the St. John papers by a member of the Geaner newspaper staff. This is what he wrote: "Being disappointed with Mr. Emmerson's capable and honest administration of affairs in connection with the legislative buildings and lanatic asylum, the opposition scrutiny was next levelled at the expenditure on permanent bridges The opposition felt sure that Mr. Emmerson, with all the multitude of other official du les the premier must attend to, could not expend \$85,000 noon 254 bridges. situated in every part of the province, in sums varying from \$150 to \$3,709, and baving to deal with all sorts of men, without some misappropriation or unwise or excessive expenditure. Mr Pinder, aided by Mr Dibblee, made a most careful and critical search of all the accounts, papers and statements of permanent bridge expenditure. Mr Emmerson and the deputy commissigner of public works, Winslow, were present to aid the critics in their investigation, and when a most thorough search was completed at 11 o'clock tonight the opposition members held a midnight conclave and made success. fal arrangements for obsequies of their blighted and blasted hopes. After a minute scrutiny of

## Mr. Pinder's Practised Scavengering

and Mr. Dibblee's professional acument the opposition had not even a peg upon which to hang a criticism, nor a single item which could be by the most skilful manipulation construed into a charge of boodling." Without desiring to parade myself as a particularly honest man, I quote the above as the opinion of the news editor of the Gleaner, whose opinion, I am free to admit, dces not appear to entirely correspond with that of the so called religious editor of that paper. I would like to ask if there is any

som of money in a plant necessary to carry on the work. A little later Mr. J. M. Raddock, of Chatham, went into the permanent bridge building business, and as chief commissioner of the province I took occasion to invite tenders from New Brunswick concers for the construction of bridges, and

1

Two Bridges Were Built Under Tender, they had paid the upper province cor- one at Port Elgin and one at Petitco- face. Think you that if the opposition above report with respect to Mr Pinder's ceins fair prices for the bridges named diac. After our experience with the thought they could sustain their charges insinuations. It is quite true that a letthey had not obtained a fair return for tender system, both in dealing with con- they would not have produced their ter purporting to have come from the their money; that the bridges, while cerns in the upper provinces and nameless engineer long ago? Then Dominion Bridge Company, and which, handsome in appearance, were not likely with New Brunewick firms, we again, take the fact that the I have no doubt, did come from that to endure to a much greater period than came to the conclusion that the charge was not put forward by any company, has been published, in which well constructed wooden bridges. They the work could be done in the best in- member of the legislature, but by a it is stated that that company could found, particularly with respect to the terest of the province in the way we gentleman not now in public life. Do farnish bridges at a considerably lower

in 'shingles," cost him his position as chief engineer of the Intercolonial Rail-WAY.

Oprosition Evidence Not Creditable, There is a feeling in the breast of every fair-minded citizen of this province that information now before the country to no one should be accused without know- show any dishonesty on the part of the ing the name of his sccuser-without government than there was when the having a chance to meet him face to member of the Glesser staff wrote the