IS A STRONG ROUGH JOB.

THE COUNCIL AND THE NEWMAN'S BROOK BRIDGE.

Chairman Christie Had to Have a Special Morey-The Good That may Come.

The Newman's Brook bridge is finished, the contractor is paid, or will be, Alderman Christie has had his say and everybody 18 satisfied, including Ald. McGoldrick, for the hand railing.

This did not all happen at once, and a special session of the council had to be held to settle the matter. The session was host to please Ald. Christie, who is chairman of the board of public works. The latter body could have done all that was needed to be done in the matter, but the chairman wanted to get the facts before the public by having a pow-wow at which he could outwardly express his inward conviction of the cussedness of William Lewis as a contractor and the inefficiency of Hurd Peters as city engineer.

RESS. Lewis & Son got the contract at a very low figure, and because the contractors were Lewis & Son the suggestion a majority of the council voted that an inspector be appointed. When Progress told the story, there was such a buzz around the heads of the board which had been authorized to name an inspector, that the matter was never even brought up for consideration. Lewis & Son proceeded with the work, under the inspection of the city engineer, and finished the hridge a fortnight before the time named in the contract. Then they asked for their pay.

Ald. Christie has a record as an expert surgeon, and he has for some time past been preparing for a demonstration in anatomy in the way of stirring up the dry bones in connection with this contract. When a man who is zealous in the public interest has little faith in a contractor and less in the inspector, be is apt to look for flaws in the work under contract. Ald. Christie did so, and his sorrow at the city's mistortune was possibly tempered with a moderate amount of joy in the contemplation of the prospect he would have to say, "I told you so." The other day the city engineer certified that the bridge was completed according to contract but also added that the chords were out of line, though not so as to affect the strength of the structure. The director of public works gave no certificate. When the matter came before the board of works, Ald. Christie refused to take the responsibility of recommending the payment of the bill, and though the board could see no other course than to pay the bill, they agreed to ask the mayor to call a special session of the council for Thursday afternoon. They did so, some of them claimed, merely to

It was, probably, a wise idea to hold the pow-wow, for it gave a disclosure of the undoubtedly lax way in which contracts are made and carried ont, and it showed, too, whatever a contract may say, the city orgineer is a supreme authority to interpret it, explain it away, vary, transpose or alter it. He exercised some latitude in this instance, and explained his course by saying that it was the custom to make the the terms of a contract as binding as possible, but not to carry them out strictly. In other words, it would seem that a contract is very much iu the nature of a memorandam, to be adhered to or not as the engineer may see fit.

In the instance of the Newman's Brook idge, the engineer was made the sole and final judge, and when he should say the bridge was all right, the council had nothing to do but pay the bill. This was understood when the special session was held, and the motion that the bill be paid was purely perfunctory act, made so as to allow the aldermen to express their opinions in parliamentary form. They did so.

Ald. Christie opened and closed the debate. He started out very mildly, but waxed warmer when he had to reply to some of his colleagues. According to him, the bridge was a very bad job indeed. The chords, which should have been parallel, were four inches out of line. one of the girders was in two pieces, spliced with a fish-plate, the bolts were wrongly fastened, the wind-braces were too few, and the hand railing was made of second hand steam piping, instead of new. All these points were pretty fully discussed | could in St. John in six months," she says. | predicting their crash, as they did of this

was ordered to be paid, only Ald. Christie and Millidge dissenting.

City engineer Peters was present; and heard himself hauled over the coals by Ald. Session of the Council to Say What He he himself had attacked public officials first part of her letter is a matter for con-Thought About it-Lewis Will get his more than any man at the board, Ald. jecture. Christie claimed to have attacked the city engineer more than any of them, and nobody disputed the fact. In the course of his remarks, from time to time, he blamed the engineer for all the faults in connection who supplied the second-hand steam piping with the bridge, and declared that never again should there be a contract which gave that official such ample powers. He went back into history to show that the Dorchester extension, the Busby boulevard, opened a small shop a short time ago. Prince William street and other jobs were evidence of the engineer's incapacity, and he intimated that, while he did not reflect upon the engineer's honesty, that official tractor could fix matters with him.

Mr. Peters did not attempt to discuss his own reputation, but gave his views on the various points of objection to the bridge. He had noticed that the The story of the Newman's Brook bridge | chords were crooked before they were had already been pretty fully told in PROG- put in place, but Lewis had told him he had a "crow" which would straighten them out after they were put up. The "crow" however, bad no effect on them, was made that there ought to be a special and this, the engineer thought was proo inspector to see that there was no funny that they were very strong. He had business. The true inwardness of the sug- spoken to Lewis about the spliced beam, gestion was that certain members of the but the reply had been that the contract council wanted to provide a temporary did not say the beams were to be in one office, at the expense of the citizens, for a piece it did not hurt the structure. As man who had done good work in suppress- to the wind-braces, while the contract said ing the pernicious activity of a Tax Reduc- they should be in every panel, that had tion Association which was suffering from been a mistake and he had changed that friendly conversation with his fair client, an inflated idea of its own importance. part of the contract. He thought the and, presuming on his previous reception, The scheme was nicely cut and dried, and bridge atrong enough to carry several again slyly stole a kiss. This time she steam rellers at one time.

There was a general unanimity of opinion the bridge was an eye-sore to anybody with a plumb head, but most of them thought it a good, strong rough job. Ald. Blizard made a laugh by saying the engineer had told how good a job it would be, and that it would be a monument to him. Ald. Blizard also asserted that he would not be afraid to go over the bridge on the steam rollers, but when Ald Christie suggested a motion that the steam roller be or lered to take him on such a trip. Ald. lizard grew indignant, and declared

he was not there to be made a target. Nearly all the members had something to say, including Ald. McGoldrick, who modestly kept in the background until called upon. Then he rose to remark that the second-hand piping he had supplied would be found to be 'eighteen

caret and all there." During the course of the discussion the important points developed were that the bridge was not a thing of beauty, but was cheap and there was a lot of it for the money. That it was believed to be safe. That the city engineer had modified the contract as he thought proper, and that the board of works had ordered the payment of money during the construction, though the contract distinctly stated that none was to be paid until the work was finished. There was some talk about an attempt having been made to use sand instead of cement in parts of the structure, but this was claimed to be the mistake of boy. The debate did all effect the question oblige the chairman, who wanted the mat- Newman's Brook bridge, but it is likely to have a good effect in calling attention to the way contracts are made and carried out. The board of works wanted a strong, rough job and they have it. Lewis wanted his money, and he will get that too.

Chased for Fifty Cents. HALIFAX Oct. 31 .- A capias for fifty cents is less than the amount usually handed to the police of t'is city for collection, The sum which in this way is arbitrarily demanded of poor creditors is generally greater at least than the cost of the capias, which is \$1.05. But we had an instance of a capias the other day for a fifty cent account. William Donnelly, a Windsor man, was the victim, or it was intended he should be, of the legal instrument. Donnelly got John Roan of this city to truck something for him. There was a disagreement about the work and Roan was not paid the 15 cents he asked. Then the truckman looked into the law and found that the city ordinance authorized him to collect a maximum of 50 cents for the job. He therefore named that as the amount in the capias which the policeman tried to serve. Up to date the Windsor man has been too spry for the officer and the capias is still unsatisfied. Roan has hope, however, that he will yet get his hand upon Donnelly and make him pay \$1.55 instead of the 25 cents which originally would have

squared matters. Enlarging Her Knowledge.

A young lady from St. John who has gone to Boston writes in glowing terms of her experiences in sight-seeing there. "I could see more here in one day then I The friends of those who do so, are quietly or an hour and a half, and then the bill In another part of the letter, and in con- unfortunate clerk for the past six months. her trustee tor moneys she says were 1e- tiff, and that the said men would be summoned to

nection with another matter she innecently remarks, "I found a bedbug on the wall of my room. I never saw one before and did not know what it was." Whether this was Christie. When Aid. Wilson asserted that among the sights she had referred in the

KISSES EQUAL TO CASH.

Why a Well Known Private Banker May Have to Whistle for his Money.

A well known private banker who is saught in energencies by peor le who want small loans on personal security, is just now wondering how he is going to collect a note bearing the signature of a lady who Its Charge of Blackmail by Lear

The banker long ago ceased to be a boy in years, but his heart appears to be as young as it ever was. The lady in question has not been a resident of the city should not be in a position where a con- until this autumn, when finding what promised to be a good business venture she decided to start a shop. Cash was required to supplement her capital, and sending for the banker she requested the loan of a few dollars, for which she offered to give her note. He informed her that he must have collateral security, but she parried the question for the time, and was so fascinating in her style that the old banker lost his usual stolid reserve and slyly kissed her. She gently reproved him, and he appeared sorry for his indiscretion, handed over the cash she had asked and went away without

saying anything in regard to security. When the note fell due, the banker called around to collect it. Before making the tormal demand he dropped into a was very indignant, threatened to call the police, and ordered the bold banker to leave the premises. He did so in a hurry, and has never had the courage to go back and see about the overdue note.

The other day a friend of his, a business man, undertook to call on the wcman, pretend he was a lawyer and get her to come to terms. His mission was a failure. The woman knew him by sight and called him by name as soon as he came in. He did not tarry long, nor did he so much as mention the overdue note. The banker is still wondering what he had better do to get his money.

HAMPTON IS A DRY PLACE.

According to a Resident It is Hard Work To Get A Drink There.

correspondent health is kept on draught in that flourishing place and in proof of | that much more could truthfully have been this assertion he speaks of the number of alleged regarding this disgraceful business 'empties" of ale casks andother liquor being sent back to St. John. This is a somewhat remarkable sfatement in view of what PROGRESS was told by a well-known gentleman from the shiretown of Kings county only a few days ago. In his cw words there had been six public gatherings of more than usually exciting nature within the past few weeks and so far as he or anyone else knew the licensed vendor of liquors had not disposed of a glass of liquor contrary to law. "There have been" he said, "twcpolitical nominating conventions, then nomination day and after that election and declaration day and since those again, the election of parish councillors, and so far as I can gather there has not been a violation of the law, in tact," continued this gentleman, "Hampton is a dry place at present, and a drink without a prescription is an unknown thing."

But for the twinkle in the corner of his eye one would almost have pinned his faith upon his earnestness. He is something of and he politician as well on to say that after perhaps the solicitor general had made a happy hit in removing Scribner from the ranks of the law breakers and placing him under the fear of instant dismissial if a complaint was made against Halifax gentlemen to Tremaine as her

him as licensed vendor. All the same the temperance people of Kings who are well acquainted with Hampton will listen twice to any statement that tue chances for getting a drink in that place

End of a Fast Run.

career in Halifax of the Queen hote clerk, who stole away this week, leaving Manager Sheraton mourning the loss of \$200, and many creditors sorrowing for large sums owing by him for horse hire. clothing and haberdashery, is a warning to any others who follow the same devious path. A young man cannot keep bad company, dress well, drink heavily, and live an extravagant life generally, on a small salary, without sooner or later coming to inglorious or dishonorable end, any more than could the youth who has now gone.

Fred. J. Tremaine Sued by Mrs. Lear.

"PROGRESS" WAS RIGHT.

is Sustained.

THE STORY TOLD IN THE RECORD OF A COURT LAW.

The Woman in the Case Tells How Tremaine Was Made the Trustee for the Moneys Exacted from Victims - she Charges that He Has kept Most of the Cash,

HALFAX, October, 31.—"The mills of God grind slowly but they grind exceeding small; ' A year ago PROGRESS created the sensation of the season by disclosing to the public the blackmailing scheme connected with the Lear divorce proceedings. This paper alone of all its contemporaries in the maritime provinces, had the courage to tell the story. The main feature of that narrative was the statement that Mrs. Lear had been found by her husband in a room at the Albion hotel where the night had been spent in company with a commercial traveller who since hasdied at Montreal. Divorce proceedings were instituted, and Pro-GRESS stated that large sums of money had been levied on well known Halifax men to secure immunity from being named as corespondents with that commercial traveller-poor Don W. Macdonald.

This was justly characterized as blackmail. Frederick J. Tremaine was the lawyer who figured prominently in those proceedings. He was counsel for Lear.

No sooner had PROGRESS appeared with its statement of the facts than Lear and his counsel raised a cry of assumed vistants indignation, complaining that a grievous wrong had been done -that the Lears character had been assailed and injured. Lawyer Tremaine on behalf of Lear, started libel suits against Progress for the alleged defamation of the character

of his client. For a year the case has been kept dang-According to a Hampton newspaper ling before the courts, and during that year people have had their minds made up had the whole of the facts been stated. The half of the story was not told. It was, so events now are proving, blackmail from

> Progress' vindication, complete and full, is at hand. Lawyer Tremaine is now being given a dose of his own medicine. The "dogs of law" have been let loose in his direction. Another panoramic view of this divorce and blackmail business is about to be given to an interested public.

Frederick J. Tremaine, on behalf of his Lear client, denied the charge of blackmail in effect denied that sums of money were paid to him by several men to secure their immunity from proceedings connected with the divorce suits. How does that contention compare with the writ served upon Tremaine not long ago by Mrs. Lear, and the "statement of the claim" filed on her behalf at the prothonotary's office afterwards? And how will it compare with the affidavits and stacks of evidence which Mrs. Lear has handed over to her counsel intending to prove that money was so paid to Tremaine, as her trustee, in this disgraceful business? Results will soon show how they compare.

Under her maiden name Mrs. Lear now sues F. J. Tremaine for an accounting of the money she says was paid by several trustee of the divorce hush money. This fact is fully set forth in the "Statement of Claim" made by Mrs. Lear and served upon Tremaine. The suit is "Byron vs Tremaine," the plaintiff's being Mrs. Lear's

The seventh paragraph of that "state. ment of claim" sets forth how, at the inter-

Halifax. Oct. 31.-The end of the view between Mrs. Lear and Tremaine: "The said defendant (Tremaine) elicited from this men in the city of Halifax who were friends of the plaintiff, and the said defendant, by threatening said men in the name of said Percy James Adlington Lear, that proceedings would be brought against them for certain improper relations with this plain. tioned, and no other party should be mentioned as tiff, and that the said men would be summoned to give evidence in the said divorce proceedings to be instituted by the said Percy James Adlington Lear, induced the said men to pay the defendant \$900, and as trustee of the plaintiff he has paid her only the sum of \$175, parcel thereof."

> So now the cause stands: Elizabeth Francis Buron vs Frederick J.

> Or in other words. Mrs. Percy J. A. Lear vs. Frederick J.

tithe of its allegations can be substantiated! Here is the "statement of claim, in full. Let it tell its own tale. A No. 7559. In the Supreme Court at Halifax,

Frederick J. Tremaine, Defendant. Writ issued the 9th day of Oct., A. D. 1895. STATEMENT OF CLAIM. The plaintiff was formerly the wife of Perc7 Ac

Between Elizabeth Frances Byron, Plaintiff and

ington Lear hereafter mentio 1ed. The said defendant, Frederick J. Tremaine, is parrister of this honorable court. 3. On the 12th day of November, 1894, a petition

for divorce was filed in the court for divorce and matrimonial causes at Halifax by plaintiff's then husband, Percy James Adlington Lear, of the city and county of Halifax, province of Nova Scotia gentleman, a British subject, praying that his marriage with this plaintiff who was therein named as Lizzie F. Lear, dissolved on the ground of adult ery with one Don. W. Macdonald, now deceased. 4. That on or about the 20th. day of March, A. D. 1895, it was decreed by said court for divorce and matrimonial causes that said marriage be and the same was thereby dissolved, and that petitioner be

5. That previously to said proceedings for divorce being taken, to wit on or about the 25th day Oclober, A. D. 1894, plaintiff at the request of he then husband, the said Percy James Adlington Lear, opposition to it. This affidavit substantiaccompanied him to the office of J. Johnson Hunt, Esq , barrister in this city, where she met said de iendant Frederick J. Tremaine, without any pre vious appointment or knowledge on her part. 6 That it was thereupon agreed by the said Percy

James Adlington Lear, and the said defendant Frederick J. Tremaine, and consented to by plainat Halifax, to annul the marriage then existing between the said Percy James Adlington Lear and the plaintiff and that such proceedings should be taken in the name of said Percy James Adlington Lear by the said Frederick J. Tremaine, as his solicitor in that behalf. And it was agreed that the grounds of which the divorce was to be sought, was alleged adultery between this plaintiff and said Don W. Macdonald, in the second paragraph hereof menguilty of adultery with the respondent in said petition or summoned as witnesses. Such preceaings were taken and resulted as in the first and second paragraphs hereof set out. The plaintiff not having appeared in person at the trial, but by solicitor, as she was instructed by Frederick J. Tremaine to do. paragraph mentioned, the said defendant elicted from from this plaintiff the names of several gentle. men in the city of Halifax who were friends of the plaintiff and the said defendent, by threatening said of which he has neglected or refused to men in the name of the said Percy James Addington | pay over to her. Lear, that proceedings would be brought against them for certain improper relations with this plain

ceived from Halifax young men to secure

immunity from participation in the divorce

the face of all the libel suits that have been

But, if the matter comes to trial, what

will they think, when they hear the affi-

for Mrs. Lear in this matter. While he

refuses to divulge what evidence he has,

or to say anything more than he can pos-

sibly help about the case, yet it is fairly

well known that he is well supplied

with evidence. He has the names

of the four or five gentlemen who

paid that \$900, and how much each of

FREDERICK J. TREMAINE.

them contributed. They were not called

on for equal amounts. According to an

affidavit said to have been made by Mrs.

Lear, and said to be in the hands of her

counsel, at least four well-known Halifax

men pad these sums. They may be

Receipts, and bonds, and vouchers, and

all that sort of thing, are said to have been

placed by Mrs. Lear in the hands of her

counsel to show that these amounts were

received by Tremaine, from Mrs. Lear's

gentlemen friends alluded to. A pile of

documents, several inches high, will be

produced in court when the case is called.

evidence is about all ready for judge or

But even without Mrs. Lear's affidavits

referred to, without all the evidence said to

be forthcoming, what a vindication of

PROGRESS' course in this matter is furnished

in this "statement of claim," even if but a

and possibly others with more.

jury when a trial is reached.

instituted in this matter?

l of Works

gree evidence in the said divorce proceedings to he Lear. James Adlington Lear. indu ed the paid men to pay the defendant a large sum of money, to witt the sum of \$900. None of the said men would pay any money until the said Percy What will Halitax people think of that in James Adlington Lear assigned the same to the said defendant, to be held by him as trustee for this plaintiff and paid to her as soon s the said divorce proceedings terminated; the said Percy James Addington Lear so assigned the same in writing, under seal to said defendent, and the said defendant acdavit by Mra. Lear made to prove the cepted the trust for this plaintiff, and received the truth of what is set forth in her "statement | sum of \$900, and has paid the plaintiff only the sum of claim." Lawyer C. H. Smith is acting of \$175, parcel thereof.

The plaintiff claims. 1. An accounting of all sums received by the de fendant as her trustee.

2. Payment of the amount found due.

In the alternative :-

The plaintiffs repeats paragraph one, two, three and four of this claim, and says the defendant re. ceived a large sum of money both before and after the said decree for divorce, as a trustee for this plaintiff, which sum the defendant was to pay to this plaint ff on the receipt thereof, but the said defendant refuses to account for any money so received, except the sum of \$175.

The plaintiff claims :-(a) An account.

(b) Such further and other relief as the court

Alternatively The plaintiff says that before the proceedings for divorce herein alleged in paragraphs 3 and 4 were instituted, that the said Percy James Adlington Lear, constituted and appointed the said defendant the said Percy James Adlington Lear then claimed were due him, which the said defendant was to collect, and pay over to this plaintiff when the divorce proceedings hereinbefore mentioned were terminated, that the said defendant accepted the trusts, and received, got in, and collected as such trustee, 1 rge sums of money and now holds the same for the plaintiff, The said defendant has paid the plaintiff \$175 of the sums received by him as her trustee, and refuses to account for the balance. though a decree for divorce has long since passed.

The plaintiff claims :-(a) An accounting and payment of the sums found due in defendant's hands.

Place of trial the city of Halifax, in the county of C. HUDSON SMITH

of 48 Barrington St., Halifax, Solicitor for the plaintiff

Delivered the 7th day of Oct. A. D. 1895.

On Friday at chambers Judge Graham was deluged with a mass of affidavits and documents bearing on the Byron-Tremaine case. The opportunity for this case in an application for costs made on the part of the defendant, Mrs. Lear, or Byron, not being in this country. Receipts given by Tremaine for the money paid by the young man were produced, either in the original or in photographs. A document purporting to be a trust deed in which Tremaine is alleged to have agreed to become trustee for Mrs. Lear regarding the moneys so paid in to him and signed by all the parties interested, was handed to the court. The money, so it was stated, was to be devoted to paying for "Mrs. Lear's musical education in Germany." Applications for security for costs are usually granted without delay, but in this case Those who know a thing or two, say the Judge Graham took the application into consideration. The material placed in the hands of Judge Graham certainly constitutes a mass of interesting reading to some people.

Mrs. Lear is giving concerts in Boston under a compound of her maiden name with "Aclington". She appears as Madam E. Byron Addington, and thus advertises her vocal accomplishments: Late soloist Her Majesty's Royal Horse Guards

Band, London, England. Her Majesty's First Leicestershire Regiment Band, and Her M. jesty's Eight Liverpool Regiment Band, who will sing with band accompaniment, "Mona," by Stephen Adams, and "Farewell, "weet Flower," by Barney Yet she is said to be poor-almost in

want. The woman's friends say it is her poverty that has driven her to bring the action for the balance of that precious \$900 she says was paid in by Halifax men for her benefit and their exemption.

Where will this business end? Echo answers where?

DOCUMENTS IN THE CASE.

Further Evidence of the Way the Money Was Raised and is Retained

HALIFAX, October 31.—The Byron Tremaine case came up before Judge Graham at chambers an a motion by Tremaine to paid no costs of suit against the respondent therein | c ompel the plaintiff to give security for costs of the action. This motion was resisted, and an affidavit from Percy Lear, the former husband of the plaintiff was read in atcd in every particular the statement o claim filed and served by the plaintiff. It further set out that Mr Tremaine stated to both Mr. Lear and those from whom he had received the moneys sued for in thi action that he received this money for Mrs. Lear, and to be paid to her for her own special benefit and to enable her to finish her musical education in Germany.

There was also read the affiliavit of the plaintiff Byron formerly Mrs. Lear, in which she set out documents and letters signed by or in the handwriting of Mr. Tremaine, which she claims fully prove her contention that the money is hers. She further, sets out that if the court should order security for costs to be given she will be unable to furnish it without the assistance of friends, as she is 7. That at the interview in the next proceeding left penniless on account of her inability to collect the moneys so placed in Mr. Tremaine's hands for her, and the large part

The tollowing are some of the docu-

(CONTINUED ON FOURTH PAGE.)