

THE CAMPAIGN OPENED.

[CONTINUED FROM SECOND PAGE]

What the result of reciprocity between the United States and Canada was before, I know that men amassed wealth more quickly than ever before; I know the value of farm properties sprang up; I know the value of homes, because they could make a good living, and I know the same effects that followed in 1854 and 1856, when the tariff was lowered...

There will be an Overthrow

particularly in this Province of New Brunswick such as never was seen before. Why do I say that? Because I am here? Not at all. I say it because when I take up the public accounts of 1854 and 1856, while New Brunswick exports \$7,000,000 of her products abroad, 50 per cent of them go to the United States in the face of this high tariff which obstructs them. I say if trade between this Province and the United States could flow free and untrammelled, it would increase and multiply to a degree which the firmest believer in free trade could hardly realize. There is no reasonable limit to the extent to which trade can be developed.

The Amount that had been Stolen.

I have taken that \$1,000,000 had been debauched by the public treasury. I have taken that \$1,000,000 had been debauched by the public treasury. I have taken that \$1,000,000 had been debauched by the public treasury. I have taken that \$1,000,000 had been debauched by the public treasury.

Debauching the Electorate

and taking the manhood out of the people of Quebec and to defeat the express will of the people then there was a man who had taken the manhood out of the people of Quebec and to defeat the express will of the people then there was a man who had taken the manhood out of the people of Quebec.

He Admitted the Fact.

He admitted he took the money. He admitted he went back to parliament, and he admitted he went back to parliament, and he admitted he went back to parliament, and he admitted he went back to parliament.

Appropriated to that Object.

Now, what was done in this case? There were two small bridges to be built over the Lac Beauport canal, in length not over double the width of this room. The bridges were necessary, I do not complain of that, and we voted the money willingly. We were asked to vote \$130,000 and we did so on the word of the minister that the bridges were necessary, and afterwards it was found that the water had to be deepened a little and the estimate was increased to \$160,000.

1880 Men Leading About these Works

and presumably in the pay of the government. When only one or two hundred could do any work, hold in my hand the reports of the commissioners, who say, 'We consider that any reliable contractor could have executed the same amount of work at the same season and in the same time as it was done for the sum of \$100,000.'

World Merit Condemnation.

Looking back over the history of Canada for the past twenty-seven years, we have one striking fact to record, the significance of which will strike everyone of you, that during all that period of time the electorate of Canada have on but one single occasion dismissed a ministry, and that was the year 1873, when the Conservative government of Mackenzie was overthrown.

Something Wrong in Canada

It would not be so regarded here. Turn your eyes to the one single instance in which the people of Canada appeared to be so much interested in the character of their Government. Turn your thoughts to the single occasion when the public mind was above all particular questions still retained a public opinion in this Dominion? Looking at all these other countries you find that a few years ago, at the present time, the government of Canada since it has been in the hands of the Conservative party has been distinguished by a virtuous and successful success which has attracted no other government in any other English-speaking country in the world.

Had Gone Beyond That

and reached \$250,000, and he asked for \$55,000 more. In 1888 Sir Charles Tupper asked for \$78,000 more, saying that the cost of the work would be \$330,000. In 1890 Mr. Foster came down and said he wanted \$20,000 more to finish the canal. In 1890 the Government said the total cost was \$440,913 and he asked for \$50,000 more. It was then said that they were competing this work and carrying it on to Mr. Haggart's mill and they wanted \$18,000 for that purpose. The money was voted under protest, and on that work \$30,000 was expended, and the \$18,000 was asked for, so that the total cost of this precious canal which we were induced from year to year to go on subsidizing was \$476,128. (Hear, hear.) Now, that canal cost for maintenance every year \$2,486, and of what public advantage is it? There is one tug-boat and only one on it.

It was Shameful and Unnecessary.

and that with prudent care and economy the road could be efficiently run without the loss of a dollar. We were laughed at and the expenditure went on until our protest became so fierce that public opinion sided with it, and suddenly Mr. Haggart discovered that it could be done. He cut out the expenditure \$50,000 in one year, and after he had run the road on this reduced expenditure for two years, he stood up in the House of Commons last session and boldly told us that the I. C. R., in all its departments, notwithstanding the enormous cost, had been well kept up, and was in as efficient a condition as ever before. We were told that it was done for political purposes, and the proof that we were right is seen to-day when, driven by our protest and public opinion, they have cut down the expenditure so that the road is run without a loss at all, and yet are able to say at the end of two years that the road is in as efficient a condition as it ever was before.

Efficient, Prudent and Economical

man. Some people say: How are you going to raise a revenue if you return to the revenue tariff? Why, the least of our proposition is that there shall be enough custom duties put on to raise the revenue, but we start with the proposition that while the government has been spending \$300,000 a year, as compared with \$24,000,000 in Mackenzie's time, we do not say we can return to that, but we say the increase of population has been so small, that we can carry on the government with very much less expense than at present, and just as well. Look at the throng of civil servants in Ottawa; the hundreds and thousands of unnecessary officials to be found in all the departments, and look at the multiplicity of offices created. We say, and those who have looked into the matter say, and I concur in them, that several millions could be saved in the expenditure, without impairing in any sense, the efficiency of the government in the administration of public affairs. (Applause.) But let me be free to say that the noble words should not be carried out. I say they are necessary; that they ought to be built, and will be built, whatever government is in power, but say you have a right to demand and insist that in the expenditure of public money, there shall be no extravagance, no economy, or at any rate honesty. If you approve of our policy, we will insist upon it, and we will insist upon it, and we will insist upon it.

MY FALL

which our two friends have delivered to us tonight. Whatever you may think of the conclusions they have drawn from the facts they have dealt with, everyone will say, I think, that a more fair, candid and argumentative inquiry into the claims and policy of the Liberal party could not have been presented to an audience than those you have heard tonight.

WORLD MERIT CONDEMNATION.

Looking back over the history of Canada for the past twenty-seven years, we have one striking fact to record, the significance of which will strike everyone of you, that during all that period of time the electorate of Canada have on but one single occasion dismissed a ministry, and that was the year 1873, when the Conservative government of Mackenzie was overthrown.

Something Wrong in Canada

It would not be so regarded here. Turn your eyes to the one single instance in which the people of Canada appeared to be so much interested in the character of their Government. Turn your thoughts to the single occasion when the public mind was above all particular questions still retained a public opinion in this Dominion? Looking at all these other countries you find that a few years ago, at the present time, the government of Canada since it has been in the hands of the Conservative party has been distinguished by a virtuous and successful success which has attracted no other government in any other English-speaking country in the world.

Had Gone Beyond That

and reached \$250,000, and he asked for \$55,000 more. In 1888 Sir Charles Tupper asked for \$78,000 more, saying that the cost of the work would be \$330,000. In 1890 Mr. Foster came down and said he wanted \$20,000 more to finish the canal. In 1890 the Government said the total cost was \$440,913 and he asked for \$50,000 more. It was then said that they were competing this work and carrying it on to Mr. Haggart's mill and they wanted \$18,000 for that purpose. The money was voted under protest, and on that work \$30,000 was expended, and the \$18,000 was asked for, so that the total cost of this precious canal which we were induced from year to year to go on subsidizing was \$476,128. (Hear, hear.) Now, that canal cost for maintenance every year \$2,486, and of what public advantage is it? There is one tug-boat and only one on it.

It was Shameful and Unnecessary.

and that with prudent care and economy the road could be efficiently run without the loss of a dollar. We were laughed at and the expenditure went on until our protest became so fierce that public opinion sided with it, and suddenly Mr. Haggart discovered that it could be done. He cut out the expenditure \$50,000 in one year, and after he had run the road on this reduced expenditure for two years, he stood up in the House of Commons last session and boldly told us that the I. C. R., in all its departments, notwithstanding the enormous cost, had been well kept up, and was in as efficient a condition as ever before. We were told that it was done for political purposes, and the proof that we were right is seen to-day when, driven by our protest and public opinion, they have cut down the expenditure so that the road is run without a loss at all, and yet are able to say at the end of two years that the road is in as efficient a condition as it ever was before.

Efficient, Prudent and Economical

man. Some people say: How are you going to raise a revenue if you return to the revenue tariff? Why, the least of our proposition is that there shall be enough custom duties put on to raise the revenue, but we start with the proposition that while the government has been spending \$300,000 a year, as compared with \$24,000,000 in Mackenzie's time, we do not say we can return to that, but we say the increase of population has been so small, that we can carry on the government with very much less expense than at present, and just as well. Look at the throng of civil servants in Ottawa; the hundreds and thousands of unnecessary officials to be found in all the departments, and look at the multiplicity of offices created. We say, and those who have looked into the matter say, and I concur in them, that several millions could be saved in the expenditure, without impairing in any sense, the efficiency of the government in the administration of public affairs. (Applause.) But let me be free to say that the noble words should not be carried out. I say they are necessary; that they ought to be built, and will be built, whatever government is in power, but say you have a right to demand and insist that in the expenditure of public money, there shall be no extravagance, no economy, or at any rate honesty. If you approve of our policy, we will insist upon it, and we will insist upon it, and we will insist upon it.

HON. A. G. BLAIR

was given a rousing reception. He said: 'I am sure I thank you very heartily for the reception you have given me. It is no more than I expected, I am free to acknowledge, as I am sure you are to come before you in the light of a stranger. It has been my pleasure to speak to you after the very admirable, interesting, and I am sure, instructive address which our two friends have delivered to us tonight.'

MY FALL

which our two friends have delivered to us tonight. Whatever you may think of the conclusions they have drawn from the facts they have dealt with, everyone will say, I think, that a more fair, candid and argumentative inquiry into the claims and policy of the Liberal party could not have been presented to an audience than those you have heard tonight.

WORLD MERIT CONDEMNATION.

Looking back over the history of Canada for the past twenty-seven years, we have one striking fact to record, the significance of which will strike everyone of you, that during all that period of time the electorate of Canada have on but one single occasion dismissed a ministry, and that was the year 1873, when the Conservative government of Mackenzie was overthrown.

Something Wrong in Canada

It would not be so regarded here. Turn your eyes to the one single instance in which the people of Canada appeared to be so much interested in the character of their Government. Turn your thoughts to the single occasion when the public mind was above all particular questions still retained a public opinion in this Dominion? Looking at all these other countries you find that a few years ago, at the present time, the government of Canada since it has been in the hands of the Conservative party has been distinguished by a virtuous and successful success which has attracted no other government in any other English-speaking country in the world.

Had Gone Beyond That

and reached \$250,000, and he asked for \$55,000 more. In 1888 Sir Charles Tupper asked for \$78,000 more, saying that the cost of the work would be \$330,000. In 1890 Mr. Foster came down and said he wanted \$20,000 more to finish the canal. In 1890 the Government said the total cost was \$440,913 and he asked for \$50,000 more. It was then said that they were competing this work and carrying it on to Mr. Haggart's mill and they wanted \$18,000 for that purpose. The money was voted under protest, and on that work \$30,000 was expended, and the \$18,000 was asked for, so that the total cost of this precious canal which we were induced from year to year to go on subsidizing was \$476,128. (Hear, hear.) Now, that canal cost for maintenance every year \$2,486, and of what public advantage is it? There is one tug-boat and only one on it.

It was Shameful and Unnecessary.

and that with prudent care and economy the road could be efficiently run without the loss of a dollar. We were laughed at and the expenditure went on until our protest became so fierce that public opinion sided with it, and suddenly Mr. Haggart discovered that it could be done. He cut out the expenditure \$50,000 in one year, and after he had run the road on this reduced expenditure for two years, he stood up in the House of Commons last session and boldly told us that the I. C. R., in all its departments, notwithstanding the enormous cost, had been well kept up, and was in as efficient a condition as ever before. We were told that it was done for political purposes, and the proof that we were right is seen to-day when, driven by our protest and public opinion, they have cut down the expenditure so that the road is run without a loss at all, and yet are able to say at the end of two years that the road is in as efficient a condition as it ever was before.

Efficient, Prudent and Economical

man. Some people say: How are you going to raise a revenue if you return to the revenue tariff? Why, the least of our proposition is that there shall be enough custom duties put on to raise the revenue, but we start with the proposition that while the government has been spending \$300,000 a year, as compared with \$24,000,000 in Mackenzie's time, we do not say we can return to that, but we say the increase of population has been so small, that we can carry on the government with very much less expense than at present, and just as well. Look at the throng of civil servants in Ottawa; the hundreds and thousands of unnecessary officials to be found in all the departments, and look at the multiplicity of offices created. We say, and those who have looked into the matter say, and I concur in them, that several millions could be saved in the expenditure, without impairing in any sense, the efficiency of the government in the administration of public affairs. (Applause.) But let me be free to say that the noble words should not be carried out. I say they are necessary; that they ought to be built, and will be built, whatever government is in power, but say you have a right to demand and insist that in the expenditure of public money, there shall be no extravagance, no economy, or at any rate honesty. If you approve of our policy, we will insist upon it, and we will insist upon it, and we will insist upon it.

HON. A. G. BLAIR

was given a rousing reception. He said: 'I am sure I thank you very heartily for the reception you have given me. It is no more than I expected, I am free to acknowledge, as I am sure you are to come before you in the light of a stranger. It has been my pleasure to speak to you after the very admirable, interesting, and I am sure, instructive address which our two friends have delivered to us tonight.'

MY FALL

which our two friends have delivered to us tonight. Whatever you may think of the conclusions they have drawn from the facts they have dealt with, everyone will say, I think, that a more fair, candid and argumentative inquiry into the claims and policy of the Liberal party could not have been presented to an audience than those you have heard tonight.

WORLD MERIT CONDEMNATION.

Looking back over the history of Canada for the past twenty-seven years, we have one striking fact to record, the significance of which will strike everyone of you, that during all that period of time the electorate of Canada have on but one single occasion dismissed a ministry, and that was the year 1873, when the Conservative government of Mackenzie was overthrown.

Something Wrong in Canada

It would not be so regarded here. Turn your eyes to the one single instance in which the people of Canada appeared to be so much interested in the character of their Government. Turn your thoughts to the single occasion when the public mind was above all particular questions still retained a public opinion in this Dominion? Looking at all these other countries you find that a few years ago, at the present time, the government of Canada since it has been in the hands of the Conservative party has been distinguished by a virtuous and successful success which has attracted no other government in any other English-speaking country in the world.

Had Gone Beyond That

and reached \$250,000, and he asked for \$55,000 more. In 1888 Sir Charles Tupper asked for \$78,000 more, saying that the cost of the work would be \$330,000. In 1890 Mr. Foster came down and said he wanted \$20,000 more to finish the canal. In 1890 the Government said the total cost was \$440,913 and he asked for \$50,000 more. It was then said that they were competing this work and carrying it on to Mr. Haggart's mill and they wanted \$18,000 for that purpose. The money was voted under protest, and on that work \$30,000 was expended, and the \$18,000 was asked for, so that the total cost of this precious canal which we were induced from year to year to go on subsidizing was \$476,128. (Hear, hear.) Now, that canal cost for maintenance every year \$2,486, and of what public advantage is it? There is one tug-boat and only one on it.

It was Shameful and Unnecessary.

and that with prudent care and economy the road could be efficiently run without the loss of a dollar. We were laughed at and the expenditure went on until our protest became so fierce that public opinion sided with it, and suddenly Mr. Haggart discovered that it could be done. He cut out the expenditure \$50,000 in one year, and after he had run the road on this reduced expenditure for two years, he stood up in the House of Commons last session and boldly told us that the I. C. R., in all its departments, notwithstanding the enormous cost, had been well kept up, and was in as efficient a condition as ever before. We were told that it was done for political purposes, and the proof that we were right is seen to-day when, driven by our protest and public opinion, they have cut down the expenditure so that the road is run without a loss at all, and yet are able to say at the end of two years that the road is in as efficient a condition as it ever was before.

Efficient, Prudent and Economical

man. Some people say: How are you going to raise a revenue if you return to the revenue tariff? Why, the least of our proposition is that there shall be enough custom duties put on to raise the revenue, but we start with the proposition that while the government has been spending \$300,000 a year, as compared with \$24,000,000 in Mackenzie's time, we do not say we can return to that, but we say the increase of population has been so small, that we can carry on the government with very much less expense than at present, and just as well. Look at the throng of civil servants in Ottawa; the hundreds and thousands of unnecessary officials to be found in all the departments, and look at the multiplicity of offices created. We say, and those who have looked into the matter say, and I concur in them, that several millions could be saved in the expenditure, without impairing in any sense, the efficiency of the government in the administration of public affairs. (Applause.) But let me be free to say that the noble words should not be carried out. I say they are necessary; that they ought to be built, and will be built, whatever government is in power, but say you have a right to demand and insist that in the expenditure of public money, there shall be no extravagance, no economy, or at any rate honesty. If you approve of our policy, we will insist upon it, and we will insist upon it, and we will insist upon it.

HON. A. G. BLAIR

was given a rousing reception. He said: 'I am sure I thank you very heartily for the reception you have given me. It is no more than I expected, I am free to acknowledge, as I am sure you are to come before you in the light of a stranger. It has been my pleasure to speak to you after the very admirable, interesting, and I am sure, instructive address which our two friends have delivered to us tonight.'

MY FALL

which our two friends have delivered to us tonight. Whatever you may think of the conclusions they have drawn from the facts they have dealt with, everyone will say, I think, that a more fair, candid and argumentative inquiry into the claims and policy of the Liberal party could not have been presented to an audience than those you have heard tonight.

WORLD MERIT CONDEMNATION.

Looking back over the history of Canada for the past twenty-seven years, we have one striking fact to record, the significance of which will strike everyone of you, that during all that period of time the electorate of Canada have on but one single occasion dismissed a ministry, and that was the year 1873, when the Conservative government of Mackenzie was overthrown.

Something Wrong in Canada

It would not be so regarded here. Turn your eyes to the one single instance in which the people of Canada appeared to be so much interested in the character of their Government. Turn your thoughts to the single occasion when the public mind was above all particular questions still retained a public opinion in this Dominion? Looking at all these other countries you find that a few years ago, at the present time, the government of Canada since it has been in the hands of the Conservative party has been distinguished by a virtuous and successful success which has attracted no other government in any other English-speaking country in the world.

Had Gone Beyond That

and reached \$250,000, and he asked for \$55,000 more. In 1888 Sir Charles Tupper asked for \$78,000 more, saying that the cost of the work would be \$330,000. In 1890 Mr. Foster came down and said he wanted \$20,000 more to finish the canal. In 1890 the Government said the total cost was \$440,913 and he asked for \$50,000 more. It was then said that they were competing this work and carrying it on to Mr. Haggart's mill and they wanted \$18,000 for that purpose. The money was voted under protest, and on that work \$30,000 was expended, and the \$18,000 was asked for, so that the total cost of this precious canal which we were induced from year to year to go on subsidizing was \$476,128. (Hear, hear.) Now, that canal cost for maintenance every year \$2,486, and of what public advantage is it? There is one tug-boat and only one on it.

It was Shameful and Unnecessary.

and that with prudent care and economy the road could be efficiently run without the loss of a dollar. We were laughed at and the expenditure went on until our protest became so fierce that public opinion sided with it, and suddenly Mr. Haggart discovered that it could be done. He cut out the expenditure \$50,000 in one year, and after he had run the road on this reduced expenditure for two years, he stood up in the House of Commons last session and boldly told us that the I. C. R., in all its departments, notwithstanding the enormous cost, had been well kept up, and was in as efficient a condition as ever before. We were told that it was done for political purposes, and the proof that we were right is seen to-day when, driven by our protest and public opinion, they have cut down the expenditure so that the road is run without a loss at all, and yet are able to say at the end of two years that the road is in as efficient a condition as it ever was before.

Efficient, Prudent and Economical

man. Some people say: How are you going to raise a revenue if you return to the revenue tariff? Why, the least of our proposition is that there shall be enough custom duties put on to raise the revenue, but we start with the proposition that while the government has been spending \$300,000 a year, as compared with \$24,000,000 in Mackenzie's time, we do not say we can return to that, but we say the increase of population has been so small, that we can carry on the government with very much less expense than at present, and just as well. Look at the throng of civil servants in Ottawa; the hundreds and thousands of unnecessary officials to be found in all the departments, and look at the multiplicity of offices created. We say, and those who have looked into the matter say, and I concur in them, that several millions could be saved in the expenditure, without impairing in any sense, the efficiency of the government in the administration of public affairs. (Applause.) But let me be free to say that the noble words should not be carried out. I say they are necessary; that they ought to be built, and will be built, whatever government is in power, but say you have a right to demand and insist that in the expenditure of public money, there shall be no extravagance, no economy, or at any rate honesty. If you approve of our policy, we will insist upon it, and we will insist upon it, and we will insist upon it.

HON. A. G. BLAIR

was given a rousing reception. He said: 'I am sure I thank you very heartily for the reception you have given me. It is no more than I expected, I am free to acknowledge, as I am sure you are to come before you in the light of a stranger. It has been my pleasure to speak to you after the very admirable, interesting, and I am sure, instructive address which our two friends have delivered to us tonight.'

MY FALL

which our two friends have delivered to us tonight. Whatever you may think of the conclusions they have drawn from the facts they have dealt with, everyone will say, I think, that a more fair, candid and argumentative inquiry into the claims and policy of the Liberal party could not have been presented to an audience than those you have heard tonight.

WORLD MERIT CONDEMNATION.

Looking back over the history of Canada for the past twenty-seven years, we have one striking fact to record, the significance of which will strike everyone of you, that during all that period of time the electorate of Canada have on but one single occasion dismissed a ministry, and that was the year 1873, when the Conservative government of Mackenzie was overthrown.

Something Wrong in Canada

It would not be so regarded here. Turn your eyes to the one single instance in which the people of Canada appeared to be so much interested in the character of their Government. Turn your thoughts to the single occasion when the public mind was above all particular questions still retained a public opinion in this Dominion? Looking at all these other countries you find that a few years ago, at the present time, the government of Canada since it has been in the hands of the Conservative party has been distinguished by a virtuous and successful success which has attracted no other government in any other English-speaking country in the world.

Had Gone Beyond That

and reached \$250,000, and he asked for \$55,000 more. In 1888 Sir Charles Tupper asked for \$78,000 more, saying that the cost of the work would be \$330,000. In 1890 Mr. Foster came down and said he wanted \$20,000 more to finish the canal. In 1890 the Government said the total cost was \$440,913 and he asked for \$50,000 more. It was then said that they were competing this work and carrying it on to Mr. Haggart's mill and they wanted \$18,000 for that purpose. The money was voted under protest, and on that work \$30,000 was expended, and the \$18,000 was asked for, so that the total cost of this precious canal which we were induced from year to year to go on subsidizing was \$476,128. (Hear, hear.) Now, that canal cost for maintenance every year \$2,486, and of what public advantage is it? There is one tug-boat and only one on it.

It was Shameful and Unnecessary.

and that with prudent care and economy the road could be efficiently run without the loss of a dollar. We were laughed at and the expenditure went on until our protest became so fierce that public opinion sided with it, and suddenly Mr. Haggart discovered that it could be done. He cut out the expenditure \$50,000 in one year, and after he had run the road on this reduced expenditure for two years, he stood up in the House of Commons last session and boldly told us that the I. C. R., in all its departments, notwithstanding the enormous cost, had been well kept up, and was in as efficient a condition as ever before. We were told that it was done for political purposes, and the proof that we were right is seen to-day when, driven by our protest and public opinion, they have cut down the expenditure so that the road is run without a loss at all, and yet are able to say at the end of two years that the road is in as efficient a condition as it ever was before.

Efficient, Prudent and Economical

man. Some people say: How are you going to raise a revenue if you return to the revenue tariff? Why, the least of our proposition is that there shall be enough custom duties put on to raise the revenue, but we start with the proposition that while the government has been spending \$300,000 a year, as compared with \$24,000,000 in Mackenzie's time, we do not say we can return to that, but we say the increase of population has been so small, that we can carry on the government with very much less expense than at present, and just as well. Look at the throng of civil servants in Ottawa; the hundreds and thousands of unnecessary officials to be found in all the departments, and look at the multiplicity of offices created. We say, and those who have looked into the matter say, and I concur in them, that several millions could be saved in the expenditure, without impairing in any sense, the efficiency of the government in the administration of public affairs. (Applause.) But let me be free to say that the noble words should not be carried out. I say they are necessary; that they ought to be built, and will be built, whatever government is in power, but say you have a right to demand and insist that in the expenditure of public money, there shall be no extravagance, no economy, or at any rate honesty. If you approve of our policy, we will insist upon it, and we will insist upon it, and we will insist upon it.

HON. A. G. BLAIR

was given a rousing reception. He said: 'I am sure I thank you very heartily for the reception you have given me. It is no more than I expected, I am free to acknowledge, as I am sure you are to come before you in the light of a stranger. It has been my pleasure to speak to you after the very admirable, interesting, and I am sure, instructive address which our two friends have delivered to us tonight.'

Seasonable Goods

EDGECOMBE'S Ladies' Fur Capes, Fur Muffs, Fur Ruffs. Jacket Cloths, Fur Trimmings, etc. Latest Styles, Largest Stock, Lowest Prices.

WE HAVE

A complete stock of Brainard and Armstrong's fast color lines in Wash Embroidery Silk Filo Floss, Roman Flors, Twisted Embroidery Rope, Filoeloe Etching, etc., etc. Colored Plushes, Canvases, Plain China and Japanese Silks, Bureau Sets, Table Sets, Table Covers, Tray Cloths, Splashers, Doylies, Tidies, Laundry Bags, Pon-pons, Fringes, etc.

TENNANT, DAVIES & CO.

302 QUEEN STREET. WE CAN PLEASE YOU. JOHN EDGECOMBE & SONS. Manufacturers of Fine Sleighs, Carriages and Hearsees, YORK ST., FREDERICTON, N. B.

CARTEN'S...

EMULSION OF COD LIVER OIL. This assortment is the largest and best they have ever shown. Prompt attention to all orders. Price Low and Satisfaction Guaranteed. Call and see our New Overcoatings and Suitings. A CHARMING CHAIR. It contains the Tonic and Nutrient properties of Cod Liver Oil combined with the Hypophosphites, a sure and reliable remedy for all Pulmonary Diseases. It is easy to take, sure and effective in its results.

W. H. CARTEN,

DRUGGIST AND APOTHECARY, Corner Queen and Carleton Streets, Fredericton, N. B. NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT. JOHN A. SCOTT, of Dumfries, in the County of York, has this day assigned his entire and undivided estate, real and personal, to the undersigned, for the benefit of his creditors. By the terms of said deed, persons wishing to participate, must execute the same within six days from the date thereof.

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT.

JAMES McLELLAN, merchant of Fredericton, in the County of York, has this day assigned for the benefit of his creditors, the real and personal estate, real and personal, of the late JAMES McLELLAN, deceased, for the benefit of his creditors. By the terms of said deed, persons wishing to participate, must execute the same within six days from the date thereof.

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT.

JAMES McLELLAN, merchant of Fredericton, in the County of York, has this day assigned for the benefit of his creditors, the real and personal estate, real and personal, of the late JAMES McLELLAN, deceased, for the benefit of his creditors. By the terms of said deed, persons wishing to participate, must execute the same within six days from the date thereof.

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT.

JAMES McLELLAN, merchant of Fredericton, in the County of York, has this day assigned for the benefit of his creditors, the real and personal estate, real and personal, of the late JAMES McLELLAN, deceased, for the benefit of his creditors. By the terms of said deed, persons wishing to participate, must execute the same within six days from the date thereof.

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT.

JAMES McLELLAN, merchant of Fredericton, in the County of York, has this day assigned for the benefit of his creditors, the real and personal estate, real and personal, of the late JAMES McLELLAN, deceased, for the benefit of his creditors. By the terms of said deed, persons wishing to participate, must execute the same within six days from the date thereof.

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT.