

NEWS ABOUT TOWN

Social, Personal and General Occurrences of the Week.

CRISP AND TO THE POINT

The Supreme Court will open here next Tuesday.

Commencing Friday a.m. and continuing during the winter months, the city schools open at 9.30 a.m.

Still another Queen hotel employee is preparing to be married. Mr. Edwards' help is very rapidly doubling up.

Col. Marsh, police magistrate, gave judgment Tuesday, in the Gunter-Hanselpecker abusive language case, fining Hanselpecker \$4.

The grocers' early closing arrangement goes into effect next Monday, so don't ring their telephones after 9 o'clock in the evening.

In the police court during the month of October, Col. Marsh, P. M., collected \$816 in fines, \$500 of which was for Scott Act violations.

An intoxicated young man named Joseph Doherty, shot and killed a boy named Arthur Luke, at Chatham Thursday night. The culprit fled.

Mr. C. E. Duffy, the well known barrister, was receiving congratulations Friday on the arrival that morning in his home of a little stranger—a daughter.

The ladies of St. Dunstan's church met Tuesday to wind up the business of last week's fair, and found the net receipts amounted to the very tidy sum of \$250.

H. Montgomery Campbell, formerly of this city, was elected to the Kings Municipal Council Tuesday, for the parish of St. Andrew, beating the next highest man eleven votes.

A large lawn roller, the property of W. T. Whitehead, was taken from T. C. Allen's new grounds Thursday night dumped into the new jail sewer on St. John street, where it still lies.

Miss May Porter entertained about thirty of friends Thursday night at the home of her stepfather, Mr. Hamilton Woods, Queen street, and the guests enjoyed a very pleasant time.

The St. John's football team were invited to play the Fredericton team on Thanksgiving day, but this morning Mr. Utton received a letter saying they were sorry they cannot come.

The Organizers of Sunday convalescence will celebrate Guy Fawkes' day, Tuesday, Nov. 5th, at Fredericton Junction, when Rev. F. C. Hartley, of this city, will deliver an address to them.

Rev. Thos. Corbett, of Richmond, Carleton county, who had the misfortune to lose one of his eyes in a gunning accident a few weeks ago, is in the city attending the Presbytery meeting.

The value of goods exported from Fredericton during the past month, was \$24,000, as against \$11,121 in October of last year, the great increase being due to the output of the Aberdeen mill.

During October the value of goods entered at the Fredericton custom house was \$24,000, as against \$29,070 for the corresponding month of last year, and the duty collected was \$2,314.89, as against \$5,409.92 in Oct., 1914.

The case of Humble vs. Isaac was before the County Court Tuesday, resulting in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount claimed. Wesley Van Wart, Q. C., appeared for the defence, and G. F. Gregory for the plaintiff.

The deposits in the Dominion Savings bank in this city during October, amounted to \$10,322, and the withdrawals were \$6,622.44, leaving a balance of \$653,955.42 to the credit of depositors Nov. 1st, an increase since July 1st of \$1,029.50.

Some of the young men who were floating around town Thursday night cutting Hallowe'en pranks, mutilated a coat of paper all over the colored window in T. G. Laggie's dining room, and it took a man all the forenoon to undo the mischief.

Ezra McGeorge's farm at Dorland ridge, parish of Douglas, was sold at auction under mortgage, at noon Thursday, in front of the Post Office, by Auctioneers Wetmore and John Black, acting for Miss Mary Quinn, the mortgagee, bid in for \$65.

Two Miramichi men, James Rundle and John Sargent had great sport last week at Brant Island, Tabusintac beaches. They shot 155 wild fowl, including geese, brant and black duck. How the host of some of our local sports, say Messrs. Mack, Risteen, Hawthorn, Atherton, et al. it took a man all the forenoon to undo the mischief.

The corporation drive, on the Miramichi containing 15 or 20 million feet of lumber, has got safely into the South West bound. Owing to the energy and strenuous efforts of William Richards, who kept men and teams at work, the lumber has been prevented from going adrift either in the fall freshets or with the ice in the spring.

A man named Pidgeon in passing John Moore's marble shop on Carleton street at 7 o'clock Friday morning, noticed thick smoking coming out of the building and gave the alarm. The firemen responded and the flames were quickly subdued. A hole was burned in the floor and that was about all the damage. The fire caught from the stove.

Some of the University students Thursday night thought they would have a bonfire, so they entered the gymnasium on College hill, and taking out a couple of mattresses touched a match to them. Dr. Harrison responded for the police, with the result that a student halling from St. John was lodged in the lockup where he remained all night.

Miss Laurettie Bailey, second daughter of Dr. L. W. Bailey of the University, had a very bad attack of hemorrhage Monday afternoon, and her family and friends were much alarmed at her condition. Dr. Coburn was called, and Miss Bailey passed a fairly comfortable night, and although very weak to-day, is somewhat improved. Some three years ago she had similar attacks, from which she was a long time recovering, but recently she has enjoyed pretty good health.

There was some lively bidding at the sale of timber berths at the Crown Land office Wednesday, and the provincial treasury got the benefit of it. The area of 10 square miles on Rocky Brook and Nepisiguit mill, applied for by W. H. Chapman, was bid in by T. B. Winslow at \$80 per mile. There were three or four competitors, and the figure reached was unusual. The other berths sold were three square miles head of Salmon River, applied for by G. G. King, went to him at the upset price. Two square miles at Mistake Lake, north branch of the Lepreau, applied for by Thos. Meresneau, was knocked down to George E. Barnhill at \$30 per mile; and three miles at Pleasant Brook, Queens county, applied for by Hugh McLean, went to him at the upset price, the sale of 18 miles aggregating \$1050, or an average of \$58.08 per mile.

A CELEBRATED CASE.

A Balance Due for Boom Poles Exciting the Oromocto Community.

The election is over in Sunbury, and around Oromocto there is not much to interest the man who whittles on the corner or seats himself at the grocery store to hear the latest news and gossip.

A real windfall, however, has developed recently in a law case which has been three times tried before a magistrate and will probably have to be tried again. The amount in dispute is small, but no doubt concealed somewhere about the case is a grand principle of law or justice, which in due course may become apparent.

At the third trial yesterday, at the Record office at Burton, a crowded court room greeted the justice lawyers, and clients, and the spectators much enjoyed the various sallies between lawyers Hughes and Duffy. The legal gentlemen, notwithstanding the sharp remarks in which they indulged during the trial, enjoyed an excellent six o'clock dinner together at Mrs. Stocker's popular hotel, and drove home together behind a spanking team from the Queen hotel stable.

Chas. Ward vs. Frederick Wisely, an action to recover a balance of \$11.50 due the plaintiff for boom poles, was tried before Justice White at Oromocto, about a month ago, and a verdict rendered for the plaintiff, which verdict was afterwards set aside on review before Judge VanWart.

The matter came on for trial again a week ago, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

MARRIED AT KINGSCLEAR.

Miss Ruth Dunphy and Mr. Frank Burnett Wedded Yesterday.

The home of Mr. Judson Dunphy, a well-known farmer, at Kingsclear, was the scene of a very pretty wedding, at five o'clock yesterday afternoon, when his third daughter, Ruth, was led to the altar by Mr. Frank Burnett, of the same parish.

Rev. O. E. Stevens performed the ceremony in the presence of nearly one hundred guests, the great majority of whom were relatives of the Dunphy and Burnett families. Quite a number of friends were present from the city including Mr. and Mrs. Alonzo Barker, Mr. and Mrs. Henry McKee, and Mr. and Mrs. Andrew Grant. The bride, an attractive young lady, was very prettily gowned in cream swivel silk, and her sister, Miss "Mae" Dunphy, who assisted her, was similarly costumed. Mr. Burnett was supported by Melvin Dunphy, a cousin of the bride.

After the ceremony an splendid bridal supper was served, and the guest spent a very pleasant evening under Mr. Dunphy's hospitable roof.

The presents to the bride were numerous and elegant, including the following: Easy chair, Mr. and Mrs. Noh Keirstead; 2 Pictures, Mr. and Mrs. Wm. Grant; Toilet set, Mrs. Sutherland; Tea set, Mr. Hartt; Table, John and Mattie McIntosh; Chair, Mrs. Dickinson; Toilet mats, Mrs. Chas. Estey; Chenille table cover, Mr. Barker; Berry set, Edward Dunphy; Jars, Eliza Campbell; Tray cloth, Agnes Gallop; Hand painted banner, Rev. O. E. and Mrs. Stevens.

Chas. Ward vs. Frederick Wisely, an action to recover a balance of \$11.50 due the plaintiff for boom poles, was tried before Justice White at Oromocto, about a month ago, and a verdict rendered for the plaintiff, which verdict was afterwards set aside on review before Judge VanWart.

The matter came on for trial again a week ago, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff and Mr. C. E. Duffy for the defendant, and after hearing the evidence, the jury brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount. The defence who had set up a settlement of the claim, applied for a copy of the proceedings and the case will again be reviewed.

Mr. Geo. A. Hughes appeared for the plaintiff