



CHICKERING & SONS Pianofortes.

CHIEF CHARACTERISTICS.—Great power and brilliancy; exquisite sweetness and sympathetic quality of tone; easy and responsive action; thorough workmanship and durability.

The CHICKERING Pianos are conceded by all Artists and Musicians to be the

Finest Pianos in the World.

A full assortment of Chickering's new Piano Fortes will always be found in our Warehouse, and will be sold at Lowest Possible Prices. Catalogue mailed free.

PIANOS OF OTHER MAKERS TAKEN IN EXCHANGE AT FAIR VALUATION.

We also keep a very large stock of Piano Fortes by the best American makers, and receive orders for them from all parts of the Province. None but well known and reliable instruments will be sold by us, and purchasers are not only secured in every particular, but their entire satisfaction is guaranteed. Every instrument warranted for two years.

The Celebrated Estey Organs, OVER 25,000 NOW IN USE, will rival the best of all Reed Organs. In tone, power, beauty and durability, they are justly considered the best instruments ever devised. Catalogue mailed free.

We respectfully invite all lovers of Music, and particularly those who have a taste for the most perfect of instruments, to call on us at our Warehouse, and examine the magnificent Pianos and Organs which we have on hand. We have in stock the best of the instruments made in this Province. It is with legitimate pride that we say

We have the Very Best Instruments that can be produced.

Landry & Co., 52 King Street, St. John, N. B.

EXECUTORS NOTICE.

ALL PERSONS having legal claims against the estate of FRANK COLLIER, late of the City of St. John, merchant, deceased, are requested to present the same within three months from date of this notice, to the undersigned, who is the executor of the said estate, at the office of Mr. J. R. Roberts, Barrister, 110½ Water Street, St. John, N. B. Dated this 15th day of November, A. D. 1882.

T. W. LANGLEY, JAMES SMITH, Executors.

CHARLES ROBERTSON, Solicitor for Estate, 110½ Water Street, St. John, N. B.

Executor's Notice.

ALL PERSONS having legal claims against the estate of MARY ROBERTSON, late of the City of St. John, merchant, deceased, are requested to present the same within three months from date of this notice, to the undersigned, who is the executor of the said estate, at the office of Mr. J. R. Roberts, Barrister, 110½ Water Street, St. John, N. B. Dated this 15th day of November, A. D. 1882.

T. W. LANGLEY, JAMES SMITH, Executors.

CHARLES ROBERTSON, Solicitor for Estate, 110½ Water Street, St. John, N. B.

John L. Carleton, Attorney-at-Law, &c.

1 Palmer's Chambers, Princess St., SAINT JOHN, N. B.

M. McDonough, Merchant Tailor, 2 North Market Street.

Goffin and Gasket Warehouses.

COFFINS AND GASKETS of various styles and prices, together with all the requisites for the funeral service, kept on hand.

ROSEWOOD CASES, Always kept in stock.

Superior Glass and Half Glass HEARSES AT MODERATE CHARGES.

N. R. BODD, etc., Undertaker, 101 Water Street, St. John, N. B.

P. FITZPATRICK, Funeral and Embalming Undertaker, 101 WATER STREET, ST. JOHN, N. B.

All Orders Promptly attended to Night or Day, and Satisfaction Guaranteed.

THESE undersigned, having been appointed Agents for

Spectacles and Eye Glasses.

Has received a complete assortment of all the styles and makes of Spectacles, Eye Glasses, and Eye Drops, and is prepared to supply the same at the lowest possible prices. Catalogue mailed free.

We have the latest and best styles of Spectacles, and are prepared to supply the same at the lowest possible prices. Catalogue mailed free.

T. L. COUGHLIN, 101 WATER STREET, ST. JOHN, N. B.

COFFIN AND GASKET WAREHOUSES.

Coffins and Gaskets in all Styles and Prices.

ROSEWOOD CASES, Very Neatly Trimmed, \$35 and \$40 Each.

Everything in the line required for turning out first-class style of work.

Superior Glass Hearses, Embos on Double, 84 and 86 Each.

ROBERT WILEY, UNDERTAKER, No. 115 Water Street, Opposite the Co. Hotel.

BROOMS, LANDING FROM MONTREAL.

I CAR LOAD BROOMS, 570 Doz Assorted Sizes.

For sale low to the trade in lots.

STEPHENS & FIGURES, Wholesale Grocers, DOCK STREET.

FAT REFLING HERRING.

Landing at St. "Daw's".

180 Hb-Bbls Roping Herring.

GEORGE MORRISON, Jr.

THE FREEMAN 1883.

IN appearing once more to the friends of the FREEMAN throughout the Province to repeat the efforts so often made to maintain and increase its circulation, it is, perhaps, best to ask them to find in its past record the most conclusive evidence that it will in the future be deserving of their support.

It publishes all news of importance or interest, and, although for want of space the news is sometimes condensed, nothing is omitted that appears to be of the smallest value.

All public questions are, as usual, dealt with fully and frankly. The readers of the FREEMAN have ample opportunity of forming a correct opinion on all the subjects and matters which engage the attention of Parliament and of the country. Great care is taken to furnish accurate information and to furnish both sides of every important question fully and fairly.

It is probable that important changes in Canada are not very distant. It seems impossible that when the United States have discovered how unjust and injurious a high protective fiscal system is, and when the people of Canada have learned, by sad experience, how great a fraud its N. P. is, favoring and securing profit to a few monopolists only, and burdening grievously farmers, lumberers, fishermen, and mechanics, a state of things so fraught can continue very long. Already there are too many indications of another commercial crisis. The people of the North West have already discovered how injurious to them is the monopoly of their carrying trade, given for twenty years to the Canada Pacific Railway Syndicate, and, although they are yet but few in number, they show a determination to get rid, at all hazards, of the chains which already gale them; and in the ranks of the Tory party itself throughout the Dominion, although these are held together by the cohesive power of public plunder, there are symptoms of weakness and disintegration. Toryism is an exotic in Canada, and can not be acclimatized. Nurtured in corruption, it did grow vigorous, and its roots spread far and wide, but even now it shows signs of a decay which can not be arrested. He must be blind, indeed, to the signs of the times who supposes that this Tory Government, because it has secured so large a Parliamentary majority by means so disgraceful, is fastened on the country for five years more.

Much attention is devoted to what goes on in the civilized world.

The agitation for an amendment of the Land Laws, and for the restoration of Home Rule, what is done and said by the leaders of that agitation, is systematically misrepresented or misconstrued, and the Irish people are persistently misrepresented and calumniated, the crimes committed in the country exaggerated in number and character, and the whole people made responsible for the crimes of a few, as far as telegrams and newspaper articles can make them responsible. The FREEMAN constantly labors to correct all such misrepresentations, and to place the policy of the leaders, and the conduct of leaders and people in a proper light. To enable it to do this important work effectively, it claims the renewed and earnest support of those who have supported it so long.

The Maple Leaf says:—"In a recent issue of the Montreal Gazette, a St. John correspondent gives a version of the sale of the Albert Railway bonds, which shows that if any improper parties connected with such a sale are found across the water, and not in St. John as has been intimated by some of the papers."

Those who were guilty of the greatest wrong in that transaction were those who knew best that the bonds which they sold at a profit were entirely worthless.

Sir John A. Macdonald was reported ill and confined to his house during several days last week.

The Weekly Freeman. Saturday, December 16, 1882.

In its early days the Toronto Globe frequently, indeed almost constantly, made the most virulent attacks on Catholicity. From 1834 to 1837 it repeated, with added bitterness and renewed venom, the grossest and coldest calumnies that the enemies of Catholicity ever invented. Popery, as described by it, was a frightful monster of lust and greed, superstition, cruelty and ignorance. All that has been changed, and for many years past the Globe has been remarkably just and fair in its treatment of all Catholic questions and all Irish questions with which it has felt itself called upon to deal. It has also proved itself to be the whole well informed, and even when it has made mistakes it has evidently erred through ignorance and not through malice. The articles it once published are still too useful to a certain class of politicians to be forgotten, and at every election they are made to do duty, and when, as in the Marmon controversy, the Globe takes the side of right and justice and common sense, it is told that it did not always take that side, and it is charged with inconsistency. The reply to such a charge recently stated that there is no one on the staff of the Globe at present who wrote any of those articles. It really matters little that there are or not. No reasonable man could accuse them of inconsistency, because, having learned how wrong they were, they did not persist in wronging them, did not repeat what they knew to be false, that which they at first uttered in ignorance, but which they believed to be true.

We are sorry to find that the Globe, while disclaiming the articles of its early days, strives to find in the position and aims of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The publication of the alleged extracts from the letters of the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

The change is not in the Catholic Church, whose doctrine and aims are the same that they ever were, but in those who are outside her pale. In some, as we have seen, it is the Rev. Mr. Peerson, a change that has taken place during the last thirty years, not merely in the attitude of the press, but in the position of the Catholic Church, and in what it alleges to be the change in the position and aims of the Church, the motive for being just and fair, as we admit it now generally is. It says:—

THE CEDAR GROVE DISASTER.

A Serious Charge Against Second Officer Pearson.

Captain Scott, R. N., resumed the investigation into the cause of the loss of the Cedar Grove, at the Custom House, St. John, on Tuesday last. Chief Officer Masters, Second Officer Pearson, Mr. McAvity, Eugene Winchester, seaman Edward Bell, steward, and Mr. Farrell are the witnesses who have so far been examined. Their evidence as to what occurred after the vessel struck does not differ materially from that already published. There are some contradictions or discrepancies in the statements of the several witnesses, and it is charged by Mr. Farrell that some of the witnesses have not told all they know of this lamentable affair. On Wednesday afternoon, while Mr. Pearson was on the stand, Mr. Farrell produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it.

Mr. Farrell then produced a small silver case, and, approaching Mr. Pearson, enquired if that was his property. Mr. Pearson said it was, and Mr. Farrell asked permission to see it. Mr