

FEBRUARY 9, 1866.

Mr. John V. Ellis, of the *Evening Globe*, publishes

in his paper of the 2d inst., editorially, what we suppose

he intends as a reply to our letter of last week.

Had Mr. Ellis met us in manly and dignified con-

duct, and made even an attempt to defend or excuse

his conduct in opening his columns for gross personal-

ities and insults to us; or had he attempted any

honorable defence of his annexation proclivities, we

should certainly have transferred his reply to our

columns, and given him the benefit of all the pub-

licity in our power. He has, however, made no at-

tempt at defence or excuse, but occupies nearly a

column of his paper with the most rabid and vindic-

tive abuse and personalities. We do not know what

estimate the editor of the *Globe* places upon the taste

and intelligence of his readers, but if they can be

interested or gratified with such deliverances as that

in reply to our letter, then we have been greatly mis-

taken in the class of persons among whom we sup-

posed the *Globe* circulated. He allowed an anonym-

ous writer to assail us in the most violent and vin-

dictive manner, branding us as a hypocrite, and

guilty of making false statements in our paper, for

the most wicked purposes, and of other crimes. Holding

Mr. Ellis himself responsible for the gross in-

sult—which we had a right to do—we addressed a

letter to him, in plain and dignified language, and

defended ourselves from the charges. We used no per-

sonalities to Mr. Ellis; we addressed him as one

gentleman should address another; and his reply is

far more becoming the denizens of some of the un-

nameable portions of the city than the editor of a

public journal, who we supposed was read in the

counting houses of our city merchants. Not a sen-

tence does his article contain but might be uttered

or written by the lowest and foulest of the city's

"great unwashed." Mr. Ellis met our assurance that

we shall not follow him in his mode of argument. If

it becomes necessary to reveal charges—past or

present—and unmask reputation, we shall try to do

even that in a way that will not defile our columns,

nor disgust our readers.

Mr. Anglin also—true to his instincts—on the

Freeman of the 3d inst., devotes about half a column

of his paper to us, in similar language to that in the

Globe. Surely the Government of this Province

must be proud (or rather ashamed) of the literary taste

and controversial ability of its organs! In the *Freeman*,

of December 16th, Mr. Anglin commenced an

editorial of nearly two columns upon the *Intelligencer*,

with these words: "With some people it makes

a great difference whether it is 'my or your' or

that is gored. From this text he endeavored to fast-

en upon us partially in relation to the Jamaica riots.

Now, Mr. Anglin very frankly says that "it is quite

true, that some years ago, when the Orange agitation

was troubling the P. ovine," &c. &c. wrote one or more

sensible, moderate articles," but now, when we ven-

ture to present an opinion on CONFESSION, or to re-

buke his *disloyalty*, we utter "falsities," write "un-

truths," and are guilty of newspaper inconsistencies,

such as shock the nerves of the honest and truthful

Globe and *Freeman*! Verily, it does "make a great

difference whether it is 'my or your' that is gored."

Mr. Anglin's "orthodoxy," "anyodoxy," and

"heterodoxy," are the most learned phrases in his

article, and we presume, they were intended for his

classic readers at "York Point." Both the *Globe*and the *Freeman* can continue, if they wish, to en-

tertain a few readers of the "Colonist" type, and the

"baser sort," with angry and vindictive misrepresen-

tations of our paper; but it will not deter us in the

least from what we believe to be right. Knowing

the *Intelligencer* to have a large circulation, and

hence likely to damage their influence in

political matters, they have sought to awe us into

silence, and browbeat us from the path of duty; but

they will fail.

Ours is not the only journal to which the high-

minded and talented *Globe* applies the elegant lan-

guage of his vocabulary. Replying to some remarks

of a morning contemporary on tariffs, he commences

in the following caustic style:—

"If any person, directly or indirectly, imputes

wrong motives to the Editor of this paper, or to a

prolonged howl, which usually terminates in a

tous white about fair play, honest journalism, legiti-

Clothing Store, with Mr. Mitchell's Photographic

Rooms, situated at the corner of Messrs. Bowes &

Kennedy, and over Mr. Knobel's Clothing Store;

Mr. Howard's Carving Establishment; several other

adjoining buildings were much injured. We under-

stand that the Messrs. Breen were insured for \$1,000;

Mr. Manson, for \$800; the Messrs. Gilmour, for

\$900; and Bowes & Kennedy, for \$200. Neither

Mr. Cunningham nor Mr. Lunney had any insurance;

Witness.

On Sunday night, about eleven o'clock, a house on

the Suspension Bridge Road owned by Mrs. Lynch,

was destroyed by fire.

FIRE IN WOODSTOCK.—A fire commenced at noon

on Wednesday,