

(From page 4)
to know why the SRC should exist but resolved not to comment on the debate at that time. I have now read Mr. Davis' reply to a letter of Mr. Edwards (which unfortunately I didn't see) and am left with the impression that several Council members still don't know what student government is all about.

The first part of Mr. Davis' letter appears to be a rebuttal to Mr. Edwards, but in the overall debate, is purely irrelevant. Of course most campus activities would exist even if the council did not, for there are always a few interested students (like Mr. Davis' father) to see that they carry on. The point is of course, that the budget is in no way the major part of the Council's job. By most members it is looked on as a chore that must be done, rather than a reason for existence.

Mr. Davis informs us that most council members learn nothing outside the meetings. Of course I cannot speak for the period, several years ago, when Mr. Davis was on the Council, for I was not. I can only tell him that in the immediate past this simply has not been the case. Most council members put in a great deal of time on committees, both standing and special, doing studies and surveys of interest to them, or in administering council sponsored programs. Inevitably there are one or two members who do not bear their share of the load but the failure of one or two individual representatives does not mean the council itself has no reason for existence.

The SRC exists primarily to reflect the views and opinions of UNB students and it endeavors to see that in all situations where students are likely to be concerned, their interests are considered. Former government employee Davis, it appears, is really an anarchist (at the student level anyway) who suggests that all these functions could and would be carried out by interested students (like dad). He should know better! When any group wants to put forward its views it must do so in an organized manner and it must be able to speak with one voice—a voice of authority, but authority backed by responsibility. The SRC provides that voice for UNB students. Through it they can and do speak to federal, provincial and municipal governments, to university faculty and administration as well as other student groups and the general public. But more important when they do communicate with these groups they are listened to and respected because it is known they represent legitimate opinions of UNB students on matters of interest to them. If Mr. Davis thinks opinions on the campus are not being honestly represented I suggest he see his representative, or take action at the next election. If Mr. Davis thinks that individual student's opinions would have any chance of acceptance, by any responsible segment of the community, as being representative of overall student opinion, I suggest he think again. If, on the other hand, Mr. Davis thinks that students

should not be involved in anything more outward looking than yearbooks or bulletin board *Brunswickans*, then perhaps he is right. I suggest he is not.

Yours Faithfully,
R. Kenneth Carty
Jesus College
Oxford, England

ANOTHER FORBES

Editor:
In last week's *Brunswickan*, Nelson Adams, self-appointed campus cynic, referred to *Up The Hill '66* as a "tasteless souvenir". He would have us believe it is full of buffoonery, asininity, distortion of UNB's image, pretentiousness, illiteracy, and idlersk (whatever that means).

I too have looked through the new yearbook but I could not seem to find any of the above. What page is your picture on, Mr. Adams?

Donald Forbes
Law 1.

You seem to have made it! — Ed.
NOUS GOOFED

Editor:
Pour répondre à l'article paru dans le *Brunswickan* du 3 novembre 1966, je tiens à vous informer que tous les étudiants (es) du Collège de Bathurst considèrent leur situation comme étant normale, donc excellente.

Il est vrai que le Conseil Etudiant a fait des démarches pour obtenir un règlement uniforme au Collège de Bathurst mais je regrette de vous dire qu'il n'a jamais été question de grève sur notre Campus à ce propos. Le Conseil Etudiant a fait une proposition dans le sens de l'uniformisation du règlement mais non dans le sens d'une grève, quoiqu'en dise M. Hunter.

Il est aussi faux que la question ait été réglée grâce aux discussions survenues à la Conférence de l'A.E.A. à Charlottetown. Il ne faut pas s'attribuer ce qui ne nous revient pas.

En dernier lieu, j'aimerais aviser les étudiants de l'Université du Nouveau-Brunswick du fait que M. Hunter n'est jamais entré en communication avec le Président des étudiants du Collège de Bathurst depuis que la question du règlement uniforme a été résolue. Ses sources de nouvelles sont donc fausses. Si M. Lawson Hunter a eu des entretiens avec M. Michel Thériault ou avec un autre membre de l'A.G.E.C.B., qu'il ne cite pas ces déclarations comme venant de la présidence. M. Thériault est le vice-président aux affaires intérieures et non le président de l'A.G.E.C.B.

Que cette mise au point serve à rehausser la valeur de notre journalisme informateur et qu'elle confirme le fait que "the conditions are still pretty good" au Collège de Bathurst. N.B. A paraître le plus tôt possible.

JEAN-GUY FINN,
prés de l'A.G.E.C.B.,
Collège de Bathurst,
Bathurst, N.-B.

FOR FAME OR MONEY

Editor:
In answer to Mr. Gary Davis letter, I would like to point out that there was no student money spent in what he called "slandersheet". The sheet

was intended to inform the students more about the Treasure Van which has been constantly attacked by Mr. Davis.

The Secretariat in Toronto are not overpaid as suggested, by Mr. Davis. They are in fact underpaid and overworked. They live on a limited budget set by the National Committee so that most of the funds raised by WUSC can be used for the International Program of Action. The International Program of Action includes helping needy students, buying drugs and building hospital facilities and any charitable activities you can think of. The critics of WUSC are always eager to point out its failure and never look at its success.

The purpose of Secretariat travel is to keep the local WUSC committees informed of goings-on in other universities. One way of maintaining better communications is conducting interviews with these secretariats while they are traveling. They don't just fly in case of crises as suggested by Mr. Davis.

It should be remembered that WUSC is the only organization on this campus with activities outside Canada. To any student who is just UNB oriented, the existence of such an organization may not be justified. Among the many advantages the UNB student should have is a feeling that the fame of UNB gets outside this campus. WUSC National and International activities contribute to this fame.

Right now WUSC is recruiting students to go to the International Seminar to be held in Canada, June 26—1st August. The expenses will be showed by other WUSC committees across Canada. The students on returning to UNB will give a series of talks to students.

Since even the critics of WUSC agree with its basic aims, the best the students can do is to improve means of achieving these goals rather than saying "Let's pull out of WUSC". WUSC committees are quite willing to hear any suggestions for the improvement of the WUSC organization. After all, the head office where most of the criticism seems to be directed is governed by the representatives of the local committee.

David Kamweti
WUSC Chairman

Get A Head

— in fact
Two Heads are better than one
Buy one, get one free

Contact —
PETER McDERBY
Room 205
Aitken House

Creative Arts Concerts

11 December	Chamber Music Recital Duo Pach, assisted by Alban Galant, Clarinetist (C.B.C. Chamber Music Series)	Memorial Hall
25 January	Netherlands Chamber Choir	Playhouse
29 January	Duo Pach Recital	Memorial Hall
5 March	Mount Allison Faculty Trio Stanley Saunders, Clarinet Rodney Macleod, Viola Howard Brown, Piano	Memorial Hall
23 March	Alirio Diaz Classical Guitarist	Playhouse
2 April	Duo Pach Final Recital	Memorial Hall

These concerts are sponsored by the Creative Arts Committee and are free to UNB — STU students.



IN PRAISE OF OLDER HOUSES

by
Ed
Ball

One of the features of our affluent society is that every ambitious family on the way up feels the need to build themselves a house. The result is usually the sort that is summed up in a song called, "Little Boxes on the Hillside..." Having visited some of these warrens, I long ago made a solemn oath that I would never live in a subdevelopment (e.g. Diaper Drive in Mortgagemount) and that I would never build or live in one of these 'modern' homes (the open type where the only interior door is on the comfort station, and that is so flimsy that one always turns on both taps before daring to use it.)

Not for me. Give me a fifty-year old (at least) house to fix up... high ceilings, chandeliers, room dividers made out of oak with hinges on them, fireplaces, wide stairways, big closets, a pantry in the kitchen, a big old attic on the third floor, and a cellar that cannot be converted into that horrible dungeon... the rumpus room.

Not that older houses are free from problems for their dwellers. They're full of things like that aid to marital fidelity, the creaky step in the stairs... and a rough spot in the floor where the old wood furnace used to have its grill... and the occasional mouse who enters through a crevice known only to the original builder. But, if you're lucky, there will be marvellous forgotten things such as window boxes and dumb waiters.

The owner of an older house will be able to point with pride to the flaws in his home... they add to its character and its ancient mystique... and his children will spend the childhood hours of wonder imagining the other children who were born here and played here... especially after they find an old McGuffey's Reader in a dusty attic corner. Pity the man in the ranch house... at the discovery of every defect, he can only curse at the contractor who built it... his children wonder only at why their mother won't let them play on the hardwood floor in the living room... and any raised voice is heard not only throughout the house, but throughout the neighbourhood. Every houseguest will discover that their host snores, that the tap in the kitchen drips, that the front window vibrates every time a car passes, and that he has to lay uncomfortably in his bed, being too embarrassed to disturb everyone by going to the bathroom again.

Not only are some people foolish to build the type of house they do, but they are positively demented to build it where they do. Give me an old farmhouse with a lawn and trees out front where I can talk in private, and a backyard where I can park the cars of all my friends and relatives. Give him a \$50,000 prize-winning design out of *Better Homes and Gardens*, and he will build it twenty-five feet from a main highway where transports whizz by all night, and where his neighbours have built twenty feet on either side of him, and where he has room behind his house only for two plastic lawn chairs and a sprinkler for grass which won't grow anyway. Small wonder there are so many divorces... the idea can spread so easily.

Yup, give me an older house... it's already broken in as a home. It may cost me more in the long run, and I'll have to work a lot harder keeping it up... but I'll be happier.

Committee To Study Residence System

The University is establishing a committee to study the Men's Residence System, an informed source said last week. The committee will have no students as members.

The source said that the committee was set up by UNB President Colin B. Mackay as a result of discussions last term. The discussions were said to have resulted from University concern for the system after Neil McGill, then Don of Neill House, was re-

leased from his position. A student riot in the Men's dining hall took place after the dismissal became public knowledge.

The study committee will be chaired by Dean Ryan of the Law School. Other people on the committee will be Professor Barry Thompson, Stan Cassidy (representing the Alumni), University Vice-president MacAulay, and other University and Alumni officials. Further details on membership were not available at press time.

The committee will reportedly be accepting briefs from any interested parties. The purpose of the committee will be to recommend changes or improvements in the residence system.

Students at the University have registered dissatisfaction with some of the rules at the University residences. These complaints range from subjects like women in residences to the quality of food in the dining hall.