

INSIDE
 This Issue

News

Exclusive: Neill residents talk to Gordon Loane about their appeals.
 - p.1, 3

Council delays budget
 - p.3

Ed/Spect

Supplement this
 - p.6

Mugwump the elections
 - p.8

Entertainment

The big Celtic invasion of 96
 - p.10

Big, big colour with Genocide, and Kim Stockwood
 - p.12

Elections 96

The Brunswickan looks at the candidates for next year's SU Executive
 - p.1A-20A

Sports

UNB Varsity Reds Awards Banquet winners
 - p.19

The Brunswickan gives out its Sports Award
 - p.20

Classifieds

- p.21-23

Bruns Bits

It is a little known fact that:

That the real reason the Academy Awards are called "The Oscars" is because "The Maynards" just wouldn't be the same.

Old people, even those who are over 100 eventually die.

Campaign posters are the only thing more annoying than sublets.



Many UNB students look up to STU, but why do so many STU students look down at UNB?

Photo: Jud Delong

UNB, STU SUs to "review" relationship

by Joe FitzPatrick
 Co-News Editor

STU Student Union Vice-president (Administration) Shawn Rouse indicated to *The Brunswickan* that UNB is taking a message from the NO vote at STU's recent

SUB Expansion referendum.

"UNB is taking a serious look at its relationship with St. Thomas," said Rouse. "And UNB is taking to heart the apparent direction from the 'NO' side at STU."

UNB SU President, Kelly Lamrock, is sensitive to the delicacy of the situation.

"There will be no reprisals [for the no vote]. But [UNB-STU relations] would take some time to smooth over."

"It's UNB's prerogative to [review its relationship with STU]," Rouse acknowledges. "But there's a danger of a backlash. We can't predict how STU students would respond to any changes."

Rouse feels it would be wrong for UNB to stop providing STU students with services and privileges which had been agreed to in the past.

"At the end of the day, UNB must work to safeguard the interests of its students," counters Lamrock.

"I believe UNB benefits from STU students' access to the Cellar, the Help Centre, the Resource Centre, student discount concerts and so forth. STU students make these services and privileges even more invaluable," said Rouse.

As for future prospects, Rouse believes that UNB and STU should sit down and discuss the possibility of joint funding. "We want UNB to come to the table and discuss the privileges

previously afforded to STU students. We could discuss a donation [from STU]."

When all is said and done, Lamrock agrees, stating that "the real point is to sit down and discuss our relationship."

Any fear of reprisals for STU need not involve the Cellar, according to Shona Bertrand, chair of the Student Union Beverage Services (SUBS) Board of Directors, which oversees the operations of the Cellar; there will not be a membership fee for St. Thomas Students at the Cellar.

"There has been absolutely no discussion to make such a move this year," the second-year student told *The Brunswickan*.

"In each of the two previous years, the matter was raised," she admits. "But, in each case, the Board decided that it was not in the best interest of the Company to institute a fee."

"STU makes up a large portion of our customers," she says. "It would be a poor business decision to restrict their access by

Continued on Page 3

Armstrong refuses hearing on appeals

by Gordon Loane
 Brunswickan News

UNB President Robin Armstrong has dismissed the appeals of four former Neill House residents who were evicted from the all-male residence following incidents in connection with an all-night party January 19 and 20.

The four, Shane Betts, Lyle DeMerchant, Craig Rayner, and Jamie Robinson, had appealed Dean of Residence John Craighead's eviction notice to the President on February 2.

The four dispute and deny any breach of rules and regulations or inappropriate behaviour in connection with the "moosemilk" event, a tradition in Neill House. They further allege the disciplinary process was improper. In their letter of appeal, the four ask that the disciplinary action be quashed, be declared unfair and improper, and that a declaration be made that no specific provision of residence rules had been breached. The appeal further asked, that the disciplinary action, taken be removed from their student files, that they be re-admitted to Neill House and that financial compensation for losses incurred be awarded as a result of the disciplinary action. The four also wanted a written apology from Dean Craighead.

The appeal was filed with the assistance of a legal advisory, a copy of which has been obtained by *The Brunswickan*.

Since that time, one of the four, Jamie Robinson, has dropped his appeal. Six and a half weeks later, in a letter dated March 20, Armstrong replied.

"I can see no constructive purpose in convening an appeal hearing to re-visit what is essentially a dispute about the facts of your behaviour in relation to the 'moosemilk' event," wrote Armstrong in his letter to Betts, DeMerchant, and Rayner.

"I am satisfied that the Dean's finding of fact is reasonable in the context," he further stated in his letter.

"Nor can I find grounds to indicate that the Dean failed to follow normal practice and procedure in conducting the disciplinary process that would warrant a further hearing on the matter," Armstrong continued in his reply, a copy of which has also been obtained by *The Brunswickan*.

The Brunswickan attempted to contact Dr. Armstrong for information on this appeal on Wednesday, March 20, the same day he wrote the letters dismissing the appeals. At time of press, Armstrong has

Continued on Page 4



Playing pool at the Cellar.

Photo: Jud Delong