

Imported direct from the Orient
in metal lined chests. Blended
and packed into 1 lb., ½ lb., ¼ lb.
bright **Aluminum** packages.

RED ROSE TEA "is good tea"

RED ROSE ORANGE PEKOE is extra good.

CASHIER WAS REMANDED ON THEFT CHARGE

Ottawa, May 2—John W. Robertson, of this city, who ten days ago was arrested on the charge of stealing \$3,374.36 from Hare and Chase, Limited, of Ottawa, with whom he was employed as cashier, pleaded guilty in police court today and was remanded one week for sentence.

Criminal registry files of the R. C. M. P. submitted to the court, showed that Robertson was identical with John Walter Robinson, who on December 14, 1925, had been sentenced at Halifax, N. S., to two years in Dorchester penitentiary, on the charge of theft. He was allowed out on ticket-of-leave on June 10, 1926, having served less than a year of his sentence.

VETERAN UNDER DEATH SENTENCE

Toronto, May 2—Funds to assure the fair trial of Philip Allison Goodwin, late of the Canadian Expeditionary Force, who is now in prison at San Quentin, California, under sentence of death, are being sought by the local branch of the Canadian Legion, which has taken up his case.

IS STUMPING FOR THE VOTE OF FLAPPERS

London, May 3—Miss Megan Lloyd George has inherited her famous father's silver tongue and political sense. She made her first appearance in the political arena Thursday, delivering her maiden speech before a large audience on behalf of a prospective Liberal candidate for Parliament.

Miss Lloyd George took a definite stand favoring enfranchisement of flappers and expressed the opinion that since men admitted women had outwitted them it is high time they should outvote them.

If the women's vote is larger than the men's, Miss Lloyd George said, candidates henceforth will have to put themselves at the mercy of women for the greater benefit of the community; for women, it is admitted, though sentimental, have more common sense than "the strong sex."

"Your daughter seems to be devoted to tennis."
"No, she detests it. But she has such wonderful legs for jumping over the net."

C. C. Campbell of Sackville is a guest at the Barker House.

Answers to Enquiries in The Provincial Legislature

HON. MR. LEGER in reply to Mr. Richard:

Q. 1. Was a retail license under the provisions of the Intoxicating Liquor Act, 1916, granted to John W. Waterson of St. Stephen in the county of Charlotte. If so, when was the said license granted?

A. Yes. Said license was granted on December 14th, 1926.

Q. 2. Upon whose recommendation was such license granted? Did the Government or any member thereof or any official thereof receive any petition or protest against the granting of such license? If so, when and from whom?

A. A license was granted on the recommendation of Chief Inspector William L. McFarlane. Chief Inspector has no knowledge of any petition or protest against the granting of such license over having been received by the Government or any member thereof or any official thereof.

Q. 3. If such protest was received, why was it disregarded?

A. Answered by answer to No. 2.

Q. 4. Did any member of the Government ask the Chief Inspector to recommend such license to John W. Waterson?

A. No.

HON. MR. LEGER in reply to Mr. Landon:

Q. 1. Was James Morrison of Beresford, Gloucester County, appointed Inspector under Prohibition Act 1916?

A. Yes.

Q. 2. When was he appointed and on whose recommendation?

A. February 1st, 1926 on the recommendation of Chief Inspector William L. McFarlane.

Q. 3. Was said James Morrison dismissed from said position? If so, when and why?

A. The said James Morrison was appointed on term of three months and was re-appointed from time to time on a three month term. The last appointment expired with October 31st, 1926 and he was not re-appointed. For the sake of economy the Chief Inspector believed he could dispense with the services of Mr. Morrison.

HON. MR. LEGER in reply to Mr. C. T. Richard:

Q. 1. Has the New Brunswick Liquor Commission purchased any Carling's London, Ont., beer between the first day of November, 1925 to the 10th day of March, 1927? If so, what quantity, through whose agency?

A. Yes, 690 barrels. Purchased direct from Brewery.

Q. 2. To whom did the Liquor Commission sell or give the said Carling's beer?

A. The Liquor Commission sold the beer to the Vendors ordering same. Balance on hand.

Q. 3. Was any of said beer purchased or sold by the Liquor Commission of New Brunswick seized by inspectors and revenue officers at the City of Moncton, in the month of March 1927? If so, what quantity was seized and from whom?

A. Yes, 666 cases, consigned to the Board of Liquor Commissions for New Brunswick, care of Hub Pharmacy, Moncton, were seized under mistaken apprehension and afterwards released.

HON. MR. RICHARDS in reply to Mr. Boudreau:

Q. 1. Did the Honorable J. B. M. Baxter as Attorney-General for the Province of New Brunswick, write the Minister of Customs and Excise at Ottawa approving the establishment of a bonded warehouse in the Province of New Brunswick?

A. Yes, toward the end of January last, Mr. Thomas Nagle, who is the agent for a number of steamship lines, represented to the Attorney General that occasionally there were some shipments of liquor which could not be obtained by his companies and which went through other ports for lack of permission to store in the City of Saint John. He requested the consent by the Attorney General to a customs bond, stating that the Department of Customs and Excise would not grant a bond without such consent but would grant it if the consent were given. He stated that the quantity shipped would be in all probability very large but that it was important in the interest of general traffic to be able to handle them. He gave positive assurance that there would not be even a suggestion of violating the liquor law of New Brunswick. The Attorney General wrote on first of February last stating that the consent was given upon the express condition that in case the Government of New Brunswick should at any time be of opinion that liquor stored under their consent was being disposed of illegally within the Province the consent might be withdrawn and the Department of Customs and Excise should thereupon withdraw the bonding privilege.

Q. 2. If so, when was such letter written and what were the contents of such letter?

A. Answered by No. 1.

Q. 3. Did the Attorney General receive a reply from the Minister of Customs and Excise or from any other official of the said Department in reply to his letter?

A. No reply received.

Q. 4. If so, what were the contents of such reply?

A. Answered by No. 3.

HON. MR. LEGER in reply to Mr. Doucet:

Q. 1. What was the net indebtedness of the Province at the end of the fiscal years 1916, 1917, 1918, 1919, 1920, 1921, 1922, 1923, 1924, 1925, 1926?

1916	\$14,529,759.77
1917	15,607,072.62
1918	16,710,558.39
1919	18,864,383.98
1920	20,183,157.00
1921	23,880,533.80
1922	26,236,680.88
1923	28,150,819.79
1924	29,252,648.81
1925	32,197,629.00
1926	33,736,939.15

HON. MR. LEGER in reply to Mr. Michaud:

Q. 1. Was one Sinfroid Ouelett appointed an inspector under the Provisions of the Intoxicating Liquor Act, 1916?

A. Yes.

Q. 2. If appointed, when and upon whose recommendation?

A. Feb. 1st, 1926, on the recommendation of the Chief Inspector.

Q. 3. Is the said Sinfroid Ouelett still holding any official position under the said Act?

A. No.

Q. 4. If not, why?

A. His tenure of office has expired.

Q. 5. Did he resign or was he dismissed?

A. Mr. Ouelett was originally appointed for a three months term of office. He was reappointed for another three months term which expired with July 31, 1926. At the end of that time he was automatically dropped from the list of inspectors.

Q. 6. If he resigned or was dismissed, when?

A. Answered by No. 5.

Q. 7. If he was dismissed upon whose recommendation and for what reason?

A. Set forth in answer to question No. 5.

Q. 8. Was the said Sinfroid Ouelett an inspector or holding any official position under the provisions of the Intoxicating Liquor Act, 1916, when he applied for a license as vendor in the Town of Edmundston?

A. No.

Q. 9. What salary was paid the said Sinfroid at the time of his appointment?

A. \$75.00.

Q. 10. How much did he receive (a) for salary (b) for expenses?

A. Salary, \$450. Expenses \$286.05.

Q. 11. How many informations were laid by the said Sinfroid Ouelett against violators of the Intoxicating Liquor Act during his term of office?

A. As the result of joint work with Inspector Saunders of Fredericton, nine informations were laid by Mr. Ouelett.

Q. 12. How many convictions secured under the said informations?

A. Nine convictions.

Q. 13. What is the amount of fines collected or imposed on information laid by the said Sinfroid Ouelett?

A. \$610.00.

HON. MR. LEGER in reply to Mr. Michaud:

Q. 1. Was one Michel F. Fournier of the Town of Edmundston employed as inspector under the provisions of the Intoxicating Liquor Act, 1916, between the 1st day of November 1925 and the

1st day of March, 1927?

A. Yes.

Q. 2. When was he appointed and upon whose recommendation?

A. Sept. 1st, 1926, by the Chief Inspector.

Q. 3. When was the notice of his appointment published in the Royal Gazette?

A. It was not published.

Q. 4. If the said notice was not published in the Royal Gazette why not?

A. Having been appointed under the title of Prohibition Constable, the publication in the Gazette was not necessary.

Q. 5. How much has the said Michel F. Fournier received since his appointment (a) for salary (b) for expenses.

A. Salary \$600. Expenses \$12.00.

Q. 6. How many convictions were secured against violators of the Intoxicating Liquor Act, 1916, upon the information of the said Michel F. Fournier?

A. Mr. Fournier has secured three convictions besides assisting other officers who laid the information.

Q. 7. What are the names of the persons convicted?

A. Joseph S. Cyr, Emile Albert, and Leonard Soucy.

Q. 8. What is the amount of the fine in each case?

A. Joseph S. Cyr, \$100; Emile Albert \$100; Leonard Soucy, \$100.

Q. 9. When was such fine or fines imposed and paid?

A. Fine of Joseph S. Cyr was imposed Jan. 5th; Emile Albert Jan. 5th; Leonard Soucy, Jan. 15th.

Q. 10. When was such fine or fines returned to the Government or Chief Inspector?

A. Up to March 1st no record of any fines having been remitted to the Provincial Secretary-Treasurer or to the Chief Inspector.

HON. MR. LEGER in reply to Mr. Michaud:

Q. 1. Was one Aurele Berube of the Town of Edmundston appointed an inspector under the provisions of the Intoxicating Liquor Act, 1916, between the first day of November 1925 and the first day of March 1927?

A. Aurele Berube was appointed a Provincial Constable which carried all the rights, authorities and privileges of an inspector.

Q. 2. If appointed, when? Upon whose recommendation and of what salary?

A. He was appointed August 1st, 1926, by the Chief Inspector at a salary of \$100 per month.

Q. 3. Was a notice of the appointment published in the Royal Gazette?

A. No.

Q. 4. If not, why not?

A. It was not necessary.

Q. 5. How many fines were imposed upon informations laid by the said Aurele Berube?

A. Twenty-one.

Q. 6. Against whom were such fines imposed, what was the amount of such, when paid and by whom?

A. The following were convicted and the fines set opposite their names imposed and the fines remitted by Magistrate J. A. Charest to the Provincial Secretary-Treasurer on the date given.

Claude Cyr, \$200, fine unpaid as respondent left the country.

Emile Albert, \$200, December 7.

Charles Devillers, \$150, December 7.

Seraphine Hagebis, \$100, December 7.

Camille Gaudet, \$50, December 7.

Laurent Garret, \$100, January 12.

Victor Millard, \$50, December 7.

George M. Cyr, \$50, January 12.

Laurent Parent, \$100, January 12.

Ernest Cyr, \$100, January 12.

Eupheme Thibedeau, \$150, January 12.

Donet Sirois, \$200, February 8.

Frank Gaudreau, \$100, January 12.

Frank Gaudreau, \$50, January 12.

Magloire Soucy, \$100, January 12.

Deline Plourde, \$50, February 8.

Henry Soucy, \$50, February 8.

J. Peter Soucy, \$50, February 8.

Catherine Launier, \$75, February 8.

John Lee, \$50, February 8.

Joseph Cyr, \$100, this case was tried on February 28 and no report and fine has undoubtedly been remitted to the Provincial Secretary-Treasurer's office and report of same will not reach office of the Chief Inspector until after the close of March.

HON. MR. LEGER in reply to Mr. Michaud:

Q. 1. Was one Emile V. Albert at the Town of Edmundston on Jan. 5th, 1927, convicted of any violation of the Intoxicating Liquor Act, 1916, before Magistrate C. N. Begin?

A. Yes.

Q. 2. If convicted, what was the offence charged, the fine imposed, and cost taxed?

A. He was charged with illegal possession. A fine of \$100.00 and costs fixed at \$25.00 were imposed.

Q. 3. When was such fine paid and remitted and by whom and to whom?

A. The fine has not been remitted.

Answer. The information asked for, is contained in copy of payrolls.

HON. MR. STEWART in reply to Mr. Dysart:

Q. 1. What repairs if any were affected on the French Village Bridge, Kings County, during the past year?

Q. 2. Who was the foreman, who were the men employed, giving each man's time, rate of pay and amount received by each?

Q. 3. What supplies were used in connection with same? Attach invoices.

Answer. No repairs made during 1926.

HON. MR. STEWART in reply to Mr. Doucet:

Q. 1. Has the Government any report on the following roads situate in the Parish of Inkerman:

(1) The Short Road, so called, leading from Six Roads to Inkerman Bridge.

(2) That portion of the Inkerman Road extending from Geoffrey Blanchard to Inkerman Bridge.

A. (1) No; (2) No.

The road construction programme of the Dept. for coming season has not been completed.

Q. 2. If so, what amount of money will be proportioned to each road?

A. Answered by latter part of answer to Question No. 1.

HON. MR. STEWART in reply to Mr. Doucet:

Q. 1. What quantity of road machinery was purchased by the Provincial Government for the year ending October 31st, 1926, giving details of what machinery purchased, the name of the manufacturer, whether Canadian or American. If Canadian, give name of location of head office or chief place of business. If not purchased direct, give name of local agents, price and extent of purchases generally.

A. 45 Sawyer Massey No. 5 Maintenance Graders at \$220.00 each, 10 Sawyer Massey No. 6 Graders at \$335.00 each, manufactured by the Sawyer Massey Co., Ltd., Hamilton, Ont. Smith Foundry Co., Ltd., Fredericton, Agent.

20 Russell No. 2 Maintenance Graders at \$204.10 each, 20 Russell No. 3 Motor Graders at \$3,772.00 each, manufactured by the Russell Grader Mfg. Co., Minneapolis, Minn., and Winnipeg, Man. The General Supply Co., Moncton, Agent.

1 Wehr Motor Grader at \$2,550.00, manufactured by the Wehr Co., Milwaukee, Wis. The Lounsbery Co., Ltd., Moncton, Agent.

DEAD APRILS.

These Aprils drenched with sun and rain,

When the blithe robin in the tree
Sings the familiar song again
She heard with me.

These dawns of pearl, these eyes of gold,
In Spring's triumphant pageant
spread—

The fire they stirred to flame is cold
Now she is dead.

The days when life and love were young—
They fled, alas! too long ago;
New Aprils speak an alien tongue
I do not know.

—EDWARD FULLER in Philadelphia Inquirer.

—EDWARD FULLER in Philadelphia Inquirer.

Say It With Flowers

Flowers are the only gift with which to remember Mother on this her day. Headquarters for Cut Flowers, Roses, Carnations, Daffodils, etc.

Pot Plants, Palms, Ferns, Rambler-Roses, Azaleas, Begonias, Primulas, Geraniums, Kennets, etc. Give her a nice plant or box of choice cut flowers. Prices to suit all pockets.

Ada M. Schleyer

326 CHARLOTTE STREET
Phone 217

Every man has a style of his own. Wear styles that harmonize with your individuality. Beau Brummell

We build clothes to make them a very personal element of your entire make-up. We incorporate in them the correct styles, but in such a manner as to make the style harmonize with your individuality. "Tailors of Quality" Walker Bros., Ltd. Phone 278-41. 385 QUEEN STREET

King YOU!

YOU live better than any of the kings of old. Where you switch on the electric light, he had a smoky torch. You go to bed on springs—his couch rested on planks. A few overworked minstrels were the best he could get when he wanted music. You turn a dial and a great symphony fills the room. And today, a thin copper wire carries more power than his scepter ever boasted.

You have a thousand kingly comforts that the emperors of distant days never knew. To what magic power should you offer thanks? Turn the pages of this paper to the advertisements!

ADVERTISING tells you about each new invention, every improved product that comes on the market. It describes what these new products will do, where to get them, and just how much you should pay for each one. It helps you purchase wisely and economically. Through it you hear—far sooner than the fastest courier of ancient times—what's NEW!

Read the Advertisements. They are Couriers of Comfort and Economy.

It Pays to Advertise in the Mail