

MILBURN'S LAXA-LIVER PILLS.

Act as a stimulant to the sluggish liver, clean the furred tongue, sweeten the foul, obnoxious breath, and clear away all the poisonous accumulations from the system by causing the bowels to move regularly and naturally every day, thus preventing as well as curing constipation, sick headaches, bilious headaches, water brash, heartburn, and all diseases arising from a lazy slow or torpid liver.

They are purely vegetable, small and easy to take, and there is nothing of the griping, weakening and sickening effects of the old fashioned purgatives.

Mrs. John Kadey, Chipman, N. B., writes: "I have been using Milburn's Laxa-Liver Pills for some time and can recommend them to anyone suffering from heartburn and liver trouble. I tried other remedies, but they only relieved me for a short time. I always recommend Laxa-Liver Pills to all sufferers, as I think they are a valuable remedy."

When you go to your dealer and ask for Laxa-Liver Pills, see that you get the genuine "Milburn's." Price 25c. a vial at all dealers, or mailed direct on receipt of price by The T. Milburn Co., Limited, Toronto, Ont.

M'QUEEN REPORT DISCUSSED IN THE HOUSE

(Continued from Page Two)

any reference to Mr. Smith (Carleton) was omitted from this amendment by reason of the fact that he was at the time of the transaction a private citizen and not a member of the legislature. If there was a dispute between him and the government the courts could settle the matter. The others were in a different position, they being members of the house at the time. He understood that the statement made by the premier had been prepared before his hon. friend had heard the statements of the members mentioned and he considered this a remarkable circumstance. He begged leave to move, seconded by Mr. Crockett, an amendment to the resolution.

Hon. Mr. Veniot
HON. MR. VENIOT said that the hon. member from St. John had suffered from a misapprehension when he had said that the hon. premier's statement had been prepared before hearing the defence of the hon. members mentioned. The resolution had been carefully and fully considered after hearing the statements made on the floors of the House. He might expect a statement such as that to be

made in the heat of debate but on an occasion such as this, a most solemn occasion, his hon. friend should exercise more care.

MR. CAMPBELL—"I had grounds for making that statement. I had been led to believe it."

HON. MR. VENIOT said that he knew his hon. friend had not invented it. He fully understood the responsibility which rested upon the members of the House. In all the years in which he had sat in the House he never had known it pervaded by such a tense feeling, such a sense of responsibility, as had marked the occasion that afternoon when they had sat in their seats while the hon. gentlemen opposite stood up and made their statements. Every member on his side of the House felt keenly for them. They were moved by feelings of deepest sympathy, but beyond sympathy was duty and a great duty, not only to themselves but to all their constituents and to the province at large. The hon. member from St. John (Mr. Tilley) had undertaken recently to give some friendly advice to the government, calling upon them to put forth efforts to purify the political life of the province. On this occasion they were called upon to carry out the suggestion made by the hon. gentlemen when they had before them evidence that hon. members had been guilty of conduct unbecoming them as members of the House. An amendment regret that greater care had not been taken and deprecated the suppression of the facts. The amendment did not go far enough. He was surprised that they should deprecate the suppression of the facts and not go a step farther. Under oath the leader of the opposition had admitted that a faked account had been put in with the express purpose of concealing the transactions. They had said that the commissioner was partisan, but what else could he have found. He gave the hon. gentleman who had moved the amendment credit for going so far but in face of the amendment could any one say that it was a partisan report. The evidence was given by opposition supporters in the House and the friends in the face of this evidence how could they say that the gentlemen opposite did not dare let the hon. premier's resolution go through and vote for it in its entirety. Their partisanship was too great but they did not dare face their constituents without some deprecation and so they had laid this amendment before the house for consideration.

He wished to justify the vote he was about to give but before doing that it might not be amiss to go back two or three years and recall an occasion when a commissioner had been appointed by the late government to investigate all departments. He had been a party to the charges presented on the floors of the house at that time. As a result, a statement had been made by the premier that any person could prefer charges and they would be investigated. The Attorney-General, Hon. Mr. Baxter,

had made the same promise. Acting on behalf of the opposition he had made certain charges and included among them were charges which had brought about this potato investigation. At that time he had in his possession evidence which justified an investigation. At the instigation of the opposition the names of witnesses had been put before the public accounts committee but they had not been called. He had asked Commissioner Chandler to investigate, but the next day Mr. Chandler had told him that he had no time to make investigation and the investigation never had been made. It would be remembered that a by-election was held in the county of Carleton soon afterwards. He had gone into the constituency of the then minister of public works and on the hustings had made statements regarding the potato transactions which that gentleman had characterized as falsehoods. The faked statement submitted to the auditor-general had been published for the first time in the St. John Standard a few days before the Carleton by-election. The leader of the opposition then the minister of agri-

culture, had denied that anything was wrong. He had said that the statement in the Standard was absolutely correct and that Veniot's statement was a falsehood and that if he dared to repeat it he would be sued for libel. He (Veniot) had taken up the challenge but the matter never had been pursued. He never had said that Hon. Messrs Murray and Baxter had made a cent out of the transaction but he had said that they were recreant to their duty when they attempted to deceive the public. He had been glad to hear the hon. leader of the opposition say that the business should have been made public. If it had been made public they would have had to face criticism but time heals many scars and they would not have stood tonight in a position to be censured.

Lawyers often said circumstantial evidence was more important than direct. The stronger the circumstances the greater the weight of evidence. In this matter it was found that the ex-attorney-general was connected with almost every transaction. A note of H. Colby Smith had been given but it was not discounted on that day. At that stage of the proceedings it could be found that Mr. Baxter was in constant communication with Premier Clarke. Still the note had not been discounted. There were no funds to meat that note. A cheque of the province could not be used for it would have shown that there was something crooked because the note had to be taken up at all. It was not discounted until certain hon. members were in a position to furnish the money. Mr. Tennant had said that if given the contract on the railway he would take up the loss. The ex-Attorney-General had had a consultation with Daggett and he had said that \$3,000, was lost. By his admis-

sion under oath the ex-Attorney-General had shown that he was guilty of the very thing which the hon. members of the opposition by their amendment were deprecating—the covering up of the details of the transaction. He had admitted that he had advanced \$3,000, to cover up this matter and to deceive the Auditor General. That was one reason why he (Veniot) felt constrained to vote for the resolution.

Then there was the case of the hon. member for Carleton. In the afternoon it had been said that there had been a dispute between him (Smith) and Daggett concerning his responsibility. On the stand Daggett swore that there was an arrangement by which the province held Mr. Smith responsible for shortage and also for culls. He held in his hand exhibits 1 to 71, and he would read them and let the House judge if Mr. Smith were not responsible in those particulars. The speaker then quoted a letter from Mr. Smith written from East Florenceville. There was the evidence. In the fact of that and of Daggett's evidence how could Mr. B. F. Smith say that the Commissioner was not justified in finding him responsible for shortage and culls? How could he say that the Commissioner was actuated only by partisanship in finding him responsible? Mr. Smith in his address in the afternoon had left the impression that potatoes had been rushed to avoid demurrage charges the ship for which they were intended being neither at St. John or near that Port. He had quoted Mr. Daggett in support but he had not quoted all the evidence on that point. The evidence showed that the order for the potatoes had been issued on Sept. 21st. On Oct. 3rd 125 cars had reached West St. John. At the time Daggett had given the order he had the charter party in his possession and it showed the ship would not be at St. John until Oct. 20th and that demurrage charges would not begin until that date. If the hon. gentleman claimed that he owed nothing to the province the evidence of Daggett must be false. The original evidence on enquiry showed that there had been a shortage of 1227 bbls. in racking or culling. On the evidence of Daggett the hon. member owed to the province \$2,447. If responsible only for shortage he owed \$1,098. The evidence had showed that many cars were short of what they should have had. There was a shortage by culls as well as by weight in the cars.

The speaker said he must make reference to the remarks made during the afternoon. The hon. leader of the opposition had said that the Stevens report had not been satisfactory to the Govt. as a partisan commissioner was appointed to bring in the finding of the kind wanted. The hon. member had not been justified in that remark for he did not know whether the Govt. was satisfied or not with the Stevens report. However, there was one thing which could be said of the Stevens report and that was that it had been shown that evidence on which that report had been based had been false. If that were the case what weight would that report have in the eyes of the public? It was though no fault,

culture, had denied that anything was wrong. He had said that the statement in the Standard was absolutely correct and that Veniot's statement was a falsehood and that if he dared to repeat it he would be sued for libel. He (Veniot) had taken up the challenge but the matter never had been pursued. He never had said that Hon. Messrs Murray and Baxter had made a cent out of the transaction but he had said that they were recreant to their duty when they attempted to deceive the public. He had been glad to hear the hon. leader of the opposition say that the business should have been made public. If it had been made public they would have had to face criticism but time heals many scars and they would not have stood tonight in a position to be censured.

Lawyers often said circumstantial evidence was more important than direct. The stronger the circumstances the greater the weight of evidence. In this matter it was found that the ex-attorney-general was connected with almost every transaction. A note of H. Colby Smith had been given but it was not discounted on that day. At that stage of the proceedings it could be found that Mr. Baxter was in constant communication with Premier Clarke. Still the note had not been discounted. There were no funds to meat that note. A cheque of the province could not be used for it would have shown that there was something crooked because the note had to be taken up at all. It was not discounted until certain hon. members were in a position to furnish the money. Mr. Tennant had said that if given the contract on the railway he would take up the loss. The ex-Attorney-General had had a consultation with Daggett and he had said that \$3,000, was lost. By his admis-

sion under oath the ex-Attorney-General had shown that he was guilty of the very thing which the hon. members of the opposition by their amendment were deprecating—the covering up of the details of the transaction. He had admitted that he had advanced \$3,000, to cover up this matter and to deceive the Auditor General. That was one reason why he (Veniot) felt constrained to vote for the resolution.

Then there was the case of the hon. member for Carleton. In the afternoon it had been said that there had been a dispute between him (Smith) and Daggett concerning his responsibility. On the stand Daggett swore that there was an arrangement by which the province held Mr. Smith responsible for shortage and also for culls. He held in his hand exhibits 1 to 71, and he would read them and let the House judge if Mr. Smith were not responsible in those particulars. The speaker then quoted a letter from Mr. Smith written from East Florenceville. There was the evidence. In the fact of that and of Daggett's evidence how could Mr. B. F. Smith say that the Commissioner was not justified in finding him responsible for shortage and culls? How could he say that the Commissioner was actuated only by partisanship in finding him responsible? Mr. Smith in his address in the afternoon had left the impression that potatoes had been rushed to avoid demurrage charges the ship for which they were intended being neither at St. John or near that Port. He had quoted Mr. Daggett in support but he had not quoted all the evidence on that point. The evidence showed that the order for the potatoes had been issued on Sept. 21st. On Oct. 3rd 125 cars had reached West St. John. At the time Daggett had given the order he had the charter party in his possession and it showed the ship would not be at St. John until Oct. 20th and that demurrage charges would not begin until that date. If the hon. gentleman claimed that he owed nothing to the province the evidence of Daggett must be false. The original evidence on enquiry showed that there had been a shortage of 1227 bbls. in racking or culling. On the evidence of Daggett the hon. member owed to the province \$2,447. If responsible only for shortage he owed \$1,098. The evidence had showed that many cars were short of what they should have had. There was a shortage by culls as well as by weight in the cars.

The speaker said he must make reference to the remarks made during the afternoon. The hon. leader of the opposition had said that the Stevens report had not been satisfactory to the Govt. as a partisan commissioner was appointed to bring in the finding of the kind wanted. The hon. member had not been justified in that remark for he did not know whether the Govt. was satisfied or not with the Stevens report. However, there was one thing which could be said of the Stevens report and that was that it had been shown that evidence on which that report had been based had been false. If that were the case what weight would that report have in the eyes of the public? It was though no fault,

NOVA SCOTIA MAN IS ENTHUSIASTIC

For Dodd's Kidney Pills Have Made Him Well

A Year of Growing Kidney Trouble Brought Bright's Disease but he Found a Help in Dodd's Kidney Pills

Belleville, Yarmouth Co., N. S. Mar. 28—(Special)—"You can tell everyone that it was Dodd's Kidney Pills that made me well." This is the joyful remark of Mr. Alcide Meuse, a well known and highly respected resident of this place. Mr. Meuse who suffered for a year from kidney trouble, is enthusiastic in his praises of Dodd's Kidney Pills. "I think everybody ought to use them all the time," he says. Then he gives the reasons for his enthusiasm.

"I first caught a cold," Mr. Meuse states, "and kidney trouble followed. My sleep was broken, my eyes were puffed and swollen and I had dark circles around them. I was often dizzy and always thirsty. My skin itched and burned at night. I was troubled with nervousness and my limbs were swollen."

"I had cramps in my muscles, back-disease developed. A doctor attended me but the first real relief I got was from Dodd's Kidney Pills. I took eight boxes of them and they cured me."

All of Mr. Meuse's troubles came from sick kidneys. Dodd's Kidney Pills cured his kidneys and he felt well all over. That's why he's enthusiastic. For sound kidneys make pure blood and pure blood is the foundation of health.


had made the same promise. Acting on behalf of the opposition he had made certain charges and included among them were charges which had brought about this potato investigation. At that time he had in his possession evidence which justified an investigation. At the instigation of the opposition the names of witnesses had been put before the public accounts committee but they had not been called. He had asked Commissioner Chandler to investigate, but the next day Mr. Chandler had told him that he had no time to make investigation and the investigation never had been made. It would be remembered that a by-election was held in the county of Carleton soon afterwards. He had gone into the constituency of the then minister of public works and on the hustings had made statements regarding the potato transactions which that gentleman had characterized as falsehoods. The faked statement submitted to the auditor-general had been published for the first time in the St. John Standard a few days before the Carleton by-election. The leader of the opposition then the minister of agri-

culture, had denied that anything was wrong. He had said that the statement in the Standard was absolutely correct and that Veniot's statement was a falsehood and that if he dared to repeat it he would be sued for libel. He (Veniot) had taken up the challenge but the matter never had been pursued. He never had said that Hon. Messrs Murray and Baxter had made a cent out of the transaction but he had said that they were recreant to their duty when they attempted to deceive the public. He had been glad to hear the hon. leader of the opposition say that the business should have been made public. If it had been made public they would have had to face criticism but time heals many scars and they would not have stood tonight in a position to be censured.

Lawyers often said circumstantial evidence was more important than direct. The stronger the circumstances the greater the weight of evidence. In this matter it was found that the ex-attorney-general was connected with almost every transaction. A note of H. Colby Smith had been given but it was not discounted on that day. At that stage of the proceedings it could be found that Mr. Baxter was in constant communication with Premier Clarke. Still the note had not been discounted. There were no funds to meat that note. A cheque of the province could not be used for it would have shown that there was something crooked because the note had to be taken up at all. It was not discounted until certain hon. members were in a position to furnish the money. Mr. Tennant had said that if given the contract on the railway he would take up the loss. The ex-Attorney-General had had a consultation with Daggett and he had said that \$3,000, was lost. By his admis-

sion under oath the ex-Attorney-General had shown that he was guilty of the very thing which the hon. members of the opposition by their amendment were deprecating—the covering up of the details of the transaction. He had admitted that he had advanced \$3,000, to cover up this matter and to deceive the Auditor General. That was one reason why he (Veniot) felt constrained to vote for the resolution.

Then there was the case of the hon. member for Carleton. In the afternoon it had been said that there had been a dispute between him (Smith) and Daggett concerning his responsibility. On the stand Daggett swore that there was an arrangement by which the province held Mr. Smith responsible for shortage and also for culls. He held in his hand exhibits 1 to 71, and he would read them and let the House judge if Mr. Smith were not responsible in those particulars. The speaker then quoted a letter from Mr. Smith written from East Florenceville. There was the evidence. In the fact of that and of Daggett's evidence how could Mr. B. F. Smith say that the Commissioner was not justified in finding him responsible for shortage and culls? How could he say that the Commissioner was actuated only by partisanship in finding him responsible? Mr. Smith in his address in the afternoon had left the impression that potatoes had been rushed to avoid demurrage charges the ship for which they were intended being neither at St. John or near that Port. He had quoted Mr. Daggett in support but he had not quoted all the evidence on that point. The evidence showed that the order for the potatoes had been issued on Sept. 21st. On Oct. 3rd 125 cars had reached West St. John. At the time Daggett had given the order he had the charter party in his possession and it showed the ship would not be at St. John until Oct. 20th and that demurrage charges would not begin until that date. If the hon. gentleman claimed that he owed nothing to the province the evidence of Daggett must be false. The original evidence on enquiry showed that there had been a shortage of 1227 bbls. in racking or culling. On the evidence of Daggett the hon. member owed to the province \$2,447. If responsible only for shortage he owed \$1,098. The evidence had showed that many cars were short of what they should have had. There was a shortage by culls as well as by weight in the cars.



HON. P. J. VENIOT

Then there was the case of the hon. member for Carleton. In the afternoon it had been said that there had been a dispute between him (Smith) and Daggett concerning his responsibility. On the stand Daggett swore that there was an arrangement by which the province held Mr. Smith responsible for shortage and also for culls. He held in his hand exhibits 1 to 71, and he would read them and let the House judge if Mr. Smith were not responsible in those particulars. The speaker then quoted a letter from Mr. Smith written from East Florenceville. There was the evidence. In the fact of that and of Daggett's evidence how could Mr. B. F. Smith say that the Commissioner was not justified in finding him responsible for shortage and culls? How could he say that the Commissioner was actuated only by partisanship in finding him responsible? Mr. Smith in his address in the afternoon had left the impression that potatoes had been rushed to avoid demurrage charges the ship for which they were intended being neither at St. John or near that Port. He had quoted Mr. Daggett in support but he had not quoted all the evidence on that point. The evidence showed that the order for the potatoes had been issued on Sept. 21st. On Oct. 3rd 125 cars had reached West St. John. At the time Daggett had given the order he had the charter party in his possession and it showed the ship would not be at St. John until Oct. 20th and that demurrage charges would not begin until that date. If the hon. gentleman claimed that he owed nothing to the province the evidence of Daggett must be false. The original evidence on enquiry showed that there had been a shortage of 1227 bbls. in racking or culling. On the evidence of Daggett the hon. member owed to the province \$2,447. If responsible only for shortage he owed \$1,098. The evidence had showed that many cars were short of what they should have had. There was a shortage by culls as well as by weight in the cars.

The speaker said he must make reference to the remarks made during the afternoon. The hon. leader of the opposition had said that the Stevens report had not been satisfactory to the Govt. as a partisan commissioner was appointed to bring in the finding of the kind wanted. The hon. member had not been justified in that remark for he did not know whether the Govt. was satisfied or not with the Stevens report. However, there was one thing which could be said of the Stevens report and that was that it had been shown that evidence on which that report had been based had been false. If that were the case what weight would that report have in the eyes of the public? It was though no fault,

culture, had denied that anything was wrong. He had said that the statement in the Standard was absolutely correct and that Veniot's statement was a falsehood and that if he dared to repeat it he would be sued for libel. He (Veniot) had taken up the challenge but the matter never had been pursued. He never had said that Hon. Messrs Murray and Baxter had made a cent out of the transaction but he had said that they were recreant to their duty when they attempted to deceive the public. He had been glad to hear the hon. leader of the opposition say that the business should have been made public. If it had been made public they would have had to face criticism but time heals many scars and they would not have stood tonight in a position to be censured.

Lawyers often said circumstantial evidence was more important than direct. The stronger the circumstances the greater the weight of evidence. In this matter it was found that the ex-attorney-general was connected with almost every transaction. A note of H. Colby Smith had been given but it was not discounted on that day. At that stage of the proceedings it could be found that Mr. Baxter was in constant communication with Premier Clarke. Still the note had not been discounted. There were no funds to meat that note. A cheque of the province could not be used for it would have shown that there was something crooked because the note had to be taken up at all. It was not discounted until certain hon. members were in a position to furnish the money. Mr. Tennant had said that if given the contract on the railway he would take up the loss. The ex-Attorney-General had had a consultation with Daggett and he had said that \$3,000, was lost. By his admis-

sion under oath the ex-Attorney-General had shown that he was guilty of the very thing which the hon. members of the opposition by their amendment were deprecating—the covering up of the details of the transaction. He had admitted that he had advanced \$3,000, to cover up this matter and to deceive the Auditor General. That was one reason why he (Veniot) felt constrained to vote for the resolution.

Then there was the case of the hon. member for Carleton. In the afternoon it had been said that there had been a dispute between him (Smith) and Daggett concerning his responsibility. On the stand Daggett swore that there was an arrangement by which the province held Mr. Smith responsible for shortage and also for culls. He held in his hand exhibits 1 to 71, and he would read them and let the House judge if Mr. Smith were not responsible in those particulars. The speaker then quoted a letter from Mr. Smith written from East Florenceville. There was the evidence. In the fact of that and of Daggett's evidence how could Mr. B. F. Smith say that the Commissioner was not justified in finding him responsible for shortage and culls? How could he say that the Commissioner was actuated only by partisanship in finding him responsible? Mr. Smith in his address in the afternoon had left the impression that potatoes had been rushed to avoid demurrage charges the ship for which they were intended being neither at St. John or near that Port. He had quoted Mr. Daggett in support but he had not quoted all the evidence on that point. The evidence showed that the order for the potatoes had been issued on Sept. 21st. On Oct. 3rd 125 cars had reached West St. John. At the time Daggett had given the order he had the charter party in his possession and it showed the ship would not be at St. John until Oct. 20th and that demurrage charges would not begin until that date. If the hon. gentleman claimed that he owed nothing to the province the evidence of Daggett must be false. The original evidence on enquiry showed that there had been a shortage of 1227 bbls. in racking or culling. On the evidence of Daggett the hon. member owed to the province \$2,447. If responsible only for shortage he owed \$1,098. The evidence had showed that many cars were short of what they should have had. There was a shortage by culls as well as by weight in the cars.

The speaker said he must make reference to the remarks made during the afternoon. The hon. leader of the opposition had said that the Stevens report had not been satisfactory to the Govt. as a partisan commissioner was appointed to bring in the finding of the kind wanted. The hon. member had not been justified in that remark for he did not know whether the Govt. was satisfied or not with the Stevens report. However, there was one thing which could be said of the Stevens report and that was that it had been shown that evidence on which that report had been based had been false. If that were the case what weight would that report have in the eyes of the public? It was though no fault,

THE SPRING TONIC FOR PALE, THIN PEOPLE

If You Want to Gain in Weight and Recover Your Appetite, Energy and Ambition, Try This Well Known Remedy.

With the passing of winter many people feel weak, depressed and easily tired. The body lacks that vital force and energy which pure blood alone can give. In a word, while not exactly sick, the indoor life of winter has left its mark upon them, and a blood-building, nerve-restoring tonic is needed to give renewed health and energy. Dr. Williams' Pink Pills are an all-year-round blood builder and nerve tonic, but they are especially useful in the spring. Every dose helps to make new, rich, red blood, and with this new blood returning strength, cheerfulness and good health quickly follows.

If you are pale and sallow, easily tired, or breathless at the least exertion; if your complexion is poor, or if you are troubled with pimples or eruptions, Dr. Williams' Pink Pills are just what you need to put you right. If you have twinges of rheumatism, are subject to headaches or backaches, if you are irritable or nervous, if your sleep does not refresh you, or your appetite is poor, you need the treatment which Dr. Williams' Pink Pills alone can give—you need the new blood, new health and new energy this medicine always brings.

A COMPLETE WRECK

Mrs. C. Forsythe, R. R. No. 2, Fisherville, Ont., says: "At the age of fourteen my daughter Viola was feeling very much run down in the spring. Then she was attacked with whooping cough which left her a complete wreck. She had no appetite, could not sleep well nights and was subject to chills which sometimes kept her in bed for the day. She was doctoring steadily, but not apparently getting the least benefit, and I naturally grew very anxious. One of my neighbors suggested giving her Dr. Williams' Pink Pills, and I got a box. When she began using the pills she weighed only 87 pounds. Before the box was all gone I sent and got six more boxes and under their use she constantly grew stronger. Her appetite improved, the color came back to her cheeks, she could sleep well, and feels and looks like a different person, and with all this while using the pills she gained 20 pounds in weight."

A SALLOW COMPLEXION

Miss Gladys Marshall, Chatham, Ont., says: "I suffered from nervousness and my blood was in a very poor condition. My face broke out in pimples and my complexion was very sallow. I took doctors' medicine but without beneficial results. I was feeling much discouraged when a friend recommended Dr. Williams' Pink Pills. I used in all six boxes, and was overjoyed to find that my complexion had become quite clear, pimples had disappeared, my nerves were strengthened and my old-time health and ambition returned. I shall always have a good word to say for Dr. Williams' Pink Pills."

Notwithstanding the increased cost of all drugs, there has been no change in the price of our Dr. Williams' Pink Pills. They can be had through any medicine dealer at 50 cents a box, or six boxes for \$2.50, or will be sent on receipt of price by The Dr. Williams' Medicine Co., Brockville, Ont.

TOOK SEVERE COLD ON HIS LUNGS

Coughed Phlegm and Blood.

On the first appearance of a cough or cold, do not neglect it, but get rid of it at once before it has a chance to grow worse, and gets settled on the lungs, causing bronchitis, pneumonia and other serious lung troubles.

Dr. Wood's Norway Pine Syrup will cure the cough or cold on its first inception and perhaps save you years of suffering.

Mr. G. F. Stratyckuk, Canora, Sask., writes: "Last winter I took a most severe cold on my lungs and was coughing up phlegm and blood most of the time. I had the cough for over two months, and took a great deal of different medicines, but found no relief from them. At last a friend advised me to take Dr. Wood's Norway Pine Syrup, which I did, and it gave me great relief in a very short time, and today I am enjoying good health again."

The marvellous results that Dr. Wood's Norway Pine Syrup has achieved in its cures of coughs, and colds has caused many so-called "pine" preparations to be put on the market, which do not contain any pine whatever. See that you get the genuine when you ask for it.

Put up in a yellow wrapper; three pine trees the trade mark; price 25c. and 50c. Manufactured only by The T. Milburn Co., Limited, Toronto, Ont.

of Commissioner Stevens that his report had been based on false evidence and the hon. gentleman opposite could take no hope from that. The ex-Attorney General had berated the newspapers supporting the Govt. and had given a great certificate of character to the electors of the province. He had said that "a man could not hope for a shadow of fair play from either party" so grossly partisan had become politics in New Brunswick. The hon. member had forgotten that the people always were ready to weigh each situation as it arose and he (Veniot) had every confidence in the popular verdict. The Telegraph and Times had been trampled under foot by the ex-Attorney General but the latter had forgotten to say what the Standard was doing. He also had forgotten to make any reference to the newspaper which was considered the most independent in the Province, a newspaper which was considered on the Govt. side as one from which no favors could be expected and for which none had been received since 1908. He referred to the St. John Globe.

MR. POTTS—We have it all here.

HON. MR. VENIOT—Yes but you

TOOK SEVERE COLD ON HIS LUNGS

Coughed Phlegm and Blood.

On the first appearance of a cough or cold, do not neglect it, but get rid of it at once before it has a chance to grow worse, and gets settled on the lungs, causing bronchitis, pneumonia and other serious lung troubles.

Dr. Wood's Norway Pine Syrup will cure the cough or cold on its first inception and perhaps save you years of suffering.

Mr. G. F. Stratyckuk, Canora, Sask., writes: "Last winter I took a most severe cold on my lungs and was coughing up phlegm and blood most of the time. I had the cough for over two months, and took a great deal of different medicines, but found no relief from them. At last a friend advised me to take Dr. Wood's Norway Pine Syrup, which I did, and it gave me great relief in a very short time, and today I am enjoying good health again."

The marvellous results that Dr. Wood's Norway Pine Syrup has achieved in its cures of coughs, and colds has caused many so-called "pine" preparations to be put on the market, which do not contain any pine whatever. See that you get the genuine when you ask for it.

Put up in a yellow wrapper; three pine trees the trade mark; price 25c. and 50c. Manufactured only by The T. Milburn Co., Limited, Toronto, Ont.

of Commissioner Stevens that his report had been based on false evidence and the hon. gentleman opposite could take no hope from that. The ex-Attorney General had berated the newspapers supporting the Govt. and had given a great certificate of character to the electors of the province. He had said that "a man could not hope for a shadow of fair play from either party" so grossly partisan had become politics in New Brunswick. The hon. member had forgotten that the people always were ready to weigh each situation as it arose and he (Veniot) had every confidence in the popular verdict. The Telegraph and Times had been trampled under foot by the ex-Attorney General but the latter had forgotten to say what the Standard was doing. He also had forgotten to make any reference to the newspaper which was considered the most independent in the Province, a newspaper which was considered on the Govt. side as one from which no favors could be expected and for which none had been received since 1908. He referred to the St. John Globe.

MR. POTTS—We have it all here.

HON. MR. VENIOT—Yes but you

GAINED IN WEIGHT

Mrs. M. B. Rickert, Kitchener, Ont., says: "I was weak, run down, and losing weight when I began using Dr. Williams' Pink Pills. I took the pills steadily for a month, and the difference they made in my condition was most gratifying. I gained both in strength and weight, and feel since I used the pills like a new person. I also recommended the use of the pills to my daughter-in-law, who was pale, thin and weak. When she began using the pills she weighed only 103 pounds and when she discontinued their use she weighed 127 pounds. For all weak people I think there is no medicine can equal Dr. Williams' Pink Pills."

A SPRING COLD

Mrs. Chas. Winegarden, Delhi, Ont., says: "Last spring my daughter Ruby was taken with a bad cold. She seemed weak, had a constant pain in her side, grew pale, and as the remedies usually used in cases of this kind did not help her we feared she was going into a decline. I decided to give her Dr. Williams' Pink Pills and got six boxes. Before she had used them all, she was again well and strong. The cough had left her, she had a good appetite, the pain in her side disappeared, and a nice rosy color had returned to her cheeks. I have therefore much reason to speak warmly in praise of Dr. Williams' Pink Pills."

Notwithstanding the increased cost of all drugs, there has been no change in the price of our Dr. Williams' Pink Pills. They can be had through any medicine dealer at 50 cents a box, or six boxes for \$2.50, or will be sent on receipt of price by The Dr. Williams' Medicine Co., Brockville, Ont.

TOOK SEVERE COLD ON HIS LUNGS

Coughed Phlegm and Blood.

On the first appearance of a cough or cold, do not neglect it, but get rid of it at once before it has a chance to grow worse, and gets settled on the lungs, causing bronchitis, pneumonia and other serious lung troubles.

Dr. Wood's Norway Pine Syrup will cure the cough or cold on its first inception and perhaps save you years of suffering.

Mr. G. F. Stratyckuk, Canora, Sask., writes: "Last winter I took a most severe cold on my lungs and was coughing up phlegm and blood most of the time. I had the cough for over two months, and took a great deal of different medicines, but found no relief from them. At last a friend advised me to take Dr. Wood's Norway Pine Syrup, which I did, and it gave me great relief in a very short time, and today I am enjoying good health again."

The marvellous results that Dr. Wood's Norway Pine Syrup has achieved in its cures of coughs, and colds has caused many so-called "pine" preparations to be put on the market, which do not contain any pine whatever. See that you get the genuine when you ask for it.

Put up in a yellow wrapper; three pine trees the trade mark; price 25c. and 50c. Manufactured only by The T. Milburn Co., Limited, Toronto, Ont.

of Commissioner Stevens that his report had been based on false evidence and the hon. gentleman opposite could take no hope from that. The ex-Attorney General had berated the newspapers supporting the Govt. and had given a great certificate of character to the electors of the province. He had said that "a man could not hope for a shadow of fair play from either party" so grossly partisan had become politics in New Brunswick. The hon. member had forgotten that the people always were ready to weigh each situation as it arose and he (Veniot) had every confidence in the popular verdict. The Telegraph and Times had been trampled under foot by the ex-Attorney General but the latter had forgotten to say what the Standard was doing. He also had forgotten to make any reference to the newspaper which was considered the most independent in the Province, a newspaper which was considered on the Govt. side as one from which no favors could be expected and for which none had been received since 1908. He referred to the St. John Globe.

MR. POTTS—We have it all here.

HON. MR. VENIOT—Yes but you

TOOK SEVERE COLD ON HIS LUNGS

Coughed Phlegm and Blood.

On the first appearance of a cough or cold, do not neglect it, but get rid of it at once before it has a chance to grow worse, and gets settled on the lungs, causing bronchitis, pneumonia and other serious lung troubles.

Dr. Wood's Norway Pine Syrup will cure the cough or cold on its first inception and perhaps save you years of suffering.

Mr. G. F. Stratyckuk, Canora, Sask., writes: "Last winter I took a most severe cold on my lungs and was coughing up phlegm and blood most of the time. I had the cough for over two months, and took a great deal of different medicines, but found no relief from them. At last a friend advised me to take Dr. Wood's Norway Pine Syrup, which I did, and it gave me great relief in a very short time, and today I am enjoying good health again."

The marvellous results that Dr. Wood's Norway Pine Syrup has achieved in its cures of coughs, and colds has caused many so-called "pine" preparations to be put on the market, which do not contain any pine whatever. See that you get the genuine when you ask for it.