

House of Assembly—Fredericton, Thursday, February 20.

GRAND MANAN FERRYING.

The House went into Committee of the whole on this bill, which was brought in by Mr. J. M. Wilnot, in conformity with the report of the select committee appointed to investigate the subject.

The bill was very stoutly opposed by Messrs. Brown, Wier, and Clinch, and an energetically supported by Messrs. Allen, Partelow and J. M. Wilnot.

The bill was then agreed to.

WAYS AND MEANS.

The House in Committee of Ways and Means, Mr. Palmer in the chair, Mr. G. C. B. proposed an additional duty on all wines imported into this Province.

A lengthy debate followed, which we have not room to mention.

The question was finally taken on Mr. Johnston's amendment, which was carried in the affirmative, by 16 to 14.

The question brought up another long debate; it being supported by Messrs. Hill, Brown, Connell, Clinch, Dal, J. M. Wilnot, and Wier, and opposed by Messrs. Gilbert, Taylor, Allen, Chandler, and Shaw; and upon the question, the resolution was negatived without a division.

Mr. CHANDLER moved a resolution that in the opinion of the Committee it was expedient to impose an additional duty of one penny per gallon on brandy, which was seconded by Mr. Johnston, who said he should propose 2s. per gallon.

Messrs. Partelow, Stewart, J. M. Wilnot, and Wier briefly opposed it, as tending only to increase smuggling; to which no reply was made by the other side.

The question was thereupon taken, and the resolution adopted, 16 to 11.

Messrs. Partelow, Stewart, J. M. Wilnot, Taylor, Hayward, Shaw, Burns, Morehouse, Connell, Weldon, Stewart, End, Allen, Ford, Woodward.

Mr. WELDON then observed, that as there ought to be some articles mentioned in the Revenue Law as being subject to the duties on British Goods, such as Books, &c. he would move a resolution, that in the opinion of this committee, the present Revenue Law should be continued and amended, with certain exceptions.

The resolution was adopted.

On motion of Mr. Weldon, it was then resolved, that the Chairman do leave the chair, and report the resolutions, and that this Committee do rise.

SATURDAY, February 21.

SAINT JOHN MILL BRIDGE.

In Committee of Supply, Mr. Partelow moved a resolution, to grant to the Mayor, Aldermen, and Commonalty of the City of Saint John, the sum of £250, to be applied towards widening and improving the Mill Bridge between Saint John and Portland.

This motion was in pursuance of the report of the Select Committee, and after some opposition from Mr. Speaker, and Mr. L. A. Wilnot, on the ground that the Corporation would not be likely to make a proper and judicious use of the money, and that therefore the grant ought to be made to Commissioners to be appointed by the Legislature.

PERQUISITES.

The Bill for the better securing of the navigation of the Inner Bay of Passamaquoddy having been read a second time, the Legislature proceeded to the consideration of the same.

Messrs. J. M. Wilnot, Weldon, Partelow, and Hayward were of the opinion, that it would be dangerous to allow the slightest interference in any way or manner, by the Legislature, in the prerogatives of the House.

Mr. CHANDLER's subsequent proposition, to confer on them more power to express the opinion of the House by a resolution.

On the question being put, as to concurring in the amendments, it was negatived, and the bill, therefore, lost.

BANK OF NEW-BRUNSWICK.

The bill for increasing the Capital Stock and extending the duration of the Charter of the Bank of New-Brunswick, was this day committed.—Mr. M. Leod in the chair.

Mr. PARTELOW said, that the Directors of the Bank had petitioned the House for an increase of the Capital Stock, and for an extension of their charter.

Mr. BURKS regretted the hon. member had not abandoned the whole of the bill, instead of only a part of it.

part of it, because he must have convinced himself, that he would not have abandoned any part of it.

The hon. member had alluded to a petition; but who was that petition signed by? By the President, and two or three of the Directors of the Bank of New-Brunswick.

That fact, therefore, was a most important one; because the petition was not signed by the public generally, or even by the Stockholders in the Bank themselves.

But in fact, it would be quite impossible for this bill ever to go into operation, even if it were signed by the public generally, and the hon. member intended to propose.

The Bank had now five years to run under its present charter; and if the charter should be extended by a bill so long and restricted, it would be a very different bill, than the one now before the House.

Under the present charter, their paper was payable on demand; and if the charter should be extended, it would be a bill so long and restricted, it would be a very different bill, than the one now before the House.

Under the present charter, their paper was payable on demand; and if the charter should be extended, it would be a bill so long and restricted, it would be a very different bill, than the one now before the House.

Under the present charter, their paper was payable on demand; and if the charter should be extended, it would be a bill so long and restricted, it would be a very different bill, than the one now before the House.

Under the present charter, their paper was payable on demand; and if the charter should be extended, it would be a bill so long and restricted, it would be a very different bill, than the one now before the House.

Under the present charter, their paper was payable on demand; and if the charter should be extended, it would be a bill so long and restricted, it would be a very different bill, than the one now before the House.

Under the present charter, their paper was payable on demand; and if the charter should be extended, it would be a bill so long and restricted, it would be a very different bill, than the one now before the House.

Under the present charter, their paper was payable on demand; and if the charter should be extended, it would be a bill so long and restricted, it would be a very different bill, than the one now before the House.

Under the present charter, their paper was payable on demand; and if the charter should be extended, it would be a bill so long and restricted, it would be a very different bill, than the one now before the House.

Under the present charter, their paper was payable on demand; and if the charter should be extended, it would be a bill so long and restricted, it would be a very different bill, than the one now before the House.

Under the present charter, their paper was payable on demand; and if the charter should be extended, it would be a bill so long and restricted, it would be a very different bill, than the one now before the House.

Under the present charter, their paper was payable on demand; and if the charter should be extended, it would be a bill so long and restricted, it would be a very different bill, than the one now before the House.

Under the present charter, their paper was payable on demand; and if the charter should be extended, it would be a bill so long and restricted, it would be a very different bill, than the one now before the House.

Under the present charter, their paper was payable on demand; and if the charter should be extended, it would be a bill so long and restricted, it would be a very different bill, than the one now before the House.

member meant, as to the manner in which the petition had been signed and brought forward.

He (Mr. J.) was present when the Board of Directors discussed the propriety of petitioning for an extension of their charter.

In the course of the discussion, it was suggested, that they should ask for an increase of capital stock; but he was quite sure that none of them, collectively or individually, had any idea of bringing forward a petition to the Legislature.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

he had said, that the whole of the £20,000, additional stock in 1825, was taken up by the original stockholders.

He (Mr. J.) was present when the Board of Directors discussed the propriety of petitioning for an extension of their charter.

In the course of the discussion, it was suggested, that they should ask for an increase of capital stock; but he was quite sure that none of them, collectively or individually, had any idea of bringing forward a petition to the Legislature.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

that hon. member really knew as little of banking as the hon. member who had just spoken.

He (Mr. J.) was present when the Board of Directors discussed the propriety of petitioning for an extension of their charter.

In the course of the discussion, it was suggested, that they should ask for an increase of capital stock; but he was quite sure that none of them, collectively or individually, had any idea of bringing forward a petition to the Legislature.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

to unless it were entirely retrospective—neither could any provision be admitted respecting the renunciation of any allegiance, or supposed allegiance, to a foreign State.

He (Mr. J.) was present when the Board of Directors discussed the propriety of petitioning for an extension of their charter.

In the course of the discussion, it was suggested, that they should ask for an increase of capital stock; but he was quite sure that none of them, collectively or individually, had any idea of bringing forward a petition to the Legislature.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

ed, prore ineffectual, it is highly necessary to adopt other.

He (Mr. J.) was present when the Board of Directors discussed the propriety of petitioning for an extension of their charter.

In the course of the discussion, it was suggested, that they should ask for an increase of capital stock; but he was quite sure that none of them, collectively or individually, had any idea of bringing forward a petition to the Legislature.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

Mr. PARTELOW said that the hon. member for the city (Mr. Burns) had taken an exceedingly wide range in his observations, and had travelled altogether out of the subject matter before the committee.

Mr. BURKS said that what he had asserted, he was prepared to prove; and he repeated that the Bank had obtained the letter of credit for £20,000, exactly as he had stated.

[From the Fredericton Gazette, Feb. 25.]

Woodstock, February 10, 1835.

Mr. SIMPSON.—Sir.—It appeared by certain Resolutions, published in the Saint John Courier of the 10th, and Observer of the 13th January, that at a meeting of the Canadian Alliance Society, held at Toronto, (C. C.) on the 26th December last, it was appointed that Society should be re-named the "Canadian Alliance Society for New-Brunswick; I therefore deem proper to state that the appointment was made without my knowledge or consent, and that I disavow any such appointment as Sir, &c.

Respectfully yours, B. C. BEARDSLEY.

The Courier.

SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 1835.

A Boston paper of Saturday last, received by a Mercantile House in this City on Tuesday, gives us a list of the names of the members of the House of Representatives, elected to the 18th January—The names, which are important, are given on our last page.

The elections which had terminated, give a return of 456 members; of these, nearly 800 are in favour of Reform; and it is calculated in a Liverpool paper of the 17th, that the majority in the new House of Commons, opposed to the present Ministry, will amount to about 200.

The affairs between the United States and France, are rather threatening in consequence of the demands of the United States upon France, for spoliation upon her commerce many years since, never having been satisfied, the President, in his Message at the opening of the present session of Congress, alluded to the subject in very strong terms, and suggested, in consequence of the receipt of the Message in Paris, the French Government determined upon the recall of their Minister from Washington; at the same time, informing Mr. Livingston, an American Minister in Paris, that he passes to enable him to proceed to the country, where his dismission was reported that Mr. Livingston would leave the French capital for London on the 15th January.

The price of Stocks had fallen in Paris, and it appeared to be the general opinion there, that hostilities would ensue.

THE LEGISLATURE.—It is expected that the Legislature will be prorogued about the 10th of March.—We are informed that the subject of the debate on the Bank Bill in the House of Assembly on Monday last, and intending publication the remainder next week: the entire report of that day's proceedings, extends to forty-three pages of manuscript.

THE QUIT RENTS.—An official notification from the Secretary's Office, appears in the Royal Gazette of Wednesday last, stating, "that all persons owing Quit Rents in this Province, are required to pay the amount due, on or before the 1st of March, 1835, (the period to which His Majesty was pleased to remit them,) to the Receiver General at Fredericton, or to such Deputies as shall be appointed in the several Counties, on or before Midsummer next, or, in default of so doing, legal proceedings will then be instituted against them."

All persons, however, who may be desirous of redeeming their Rents, will be permitted to do so, at Sixteen Years' purchase, if the redemption may be paid on or before Midsummer, 1835; at Eighteen Years' purchase, if paid by Midsummer, 1837; and at Twenty Years' purchase of these periods, will be required, after Midsummer, 1839, to pay Twenty Years' purchase for their redemption."

LONGITUDE.—We understand Mr. J. Lee is busily engaged in preparing the manuscript of his discovery on this subject, for submission to competent scientific authorities. He has entitled it—"CALCULUS FELIX"—or, "SYSTEMATICALLY DERIVED FROM THE NEWTONIAN ASTRONOMY, FOR THE FACILITATION OF THE DISCOVERY OF LONGITUDE."

TO READERS AND CORRESPONDENTS.—The communications promised last week, will be found on our first page.—Mr. LEE'S Letter to the Gentlemen of St. John, respecting the establishment of a Nautical Institute, and the exertions of the Legislature, in relation to the subject, is a specimen of a young gentleman's talents in England; to his friend in this Province, will appear soon.

MARRIED.—On the 10th instant, by the Rev. Richard Vecker, Mr. Hugh Maynes, to Miss Bridget O'Reilly, both of Kemebecque.—On the 11th instant, by the Rev. Mr. W. H. W. to Miss Ann Faenon, both of Salmon River.—On Sunday last, by the Rev. James Dunphy, Mr. John Rodney, to Miss Eleanor Carney.—On Tuesday, by the same, Mr. Daniel O'Neil, to Miss Joanna Logan, all of St. Malachy's Church.

At Sussex Vale, on the 10th instant, by the Rev. H. N. Arnold, Mr. William Secord, of Kingston, to Ann, eldest daughter of Mr. Wallace, of the former place.

On Sunday the 15th instant, by the Rev. Elias Scovill, Mr. Elijah A. Perkins, of Kingston, to Miss Elizabeth S. Raymond, of Springfield.

On the 10th inst. at Bellefleur, by the Rev. Mr. Cookson, Mr. Andrew Champier, to Miss Hannah Wheaton, daughter of the late Mr. Ephraim Wheaton.

At Fredericton, on the 19th instant, by the Rev. J. Kirkcubright, A. M., Mr. Saml. Sisson, to Miss Margaret Evingson, both of the parish of Fredericton.

At Waterbury, on the 19th instant, by the Rev. Michael Doyle, Baptist Minister, Mr. Joseph Wasson, to Miss Elizabeth Barton, daughter of Mr. John Barton—all of Waterbury, Conn. and Lake.

DIED.—On Sunday last, after a short but painful illness, which he bore with fortitude and resignation to the Divine will, THOMAS SANDS, Esquire, in the 50th year of his age, departed this life. He was a native of New Brunswick, and was a kind husband, an affectionate parent, and a sincere friend.—As a citizen and a public character, he was justly and universally respected; and his death is a severe loss to the community, and to his family. He was buried on Tuesday last, at 10 o'clock, in the presence of a large number of his friends, and his remains were interred in the burying ground, at the same place. He was a member of the Methodist Episcopal Church, and was a zealous and active member of the same. He was a man of a liberal and enlarged mind, and was a man of a high character. He was a man of a high character, and was a man of a high character.